

PUBLIC HOUSING — TENANT BEHAVIOUR

764. Hon Lynn MacLaren to the Minister for Agriculture and Food representing the Minister for Housing:

In the implementation of the disruptive behaviour management strategy in the financial year 2011–12, for each public housing zone administered by the Department of Housing:

- (a) how many first strikes were issued;
- (b) how many second strikes were issued;
- (c) how many third strikes were issued;
- (d) how many tenancies were terminated as a direct result of disruptive behaviour;
- (e) how many tenancies were terminated when disruptive behaviour was present and the tenant was required to vacate for other reasons;
- (f) how many tenancies were terminated prior to the issue of three strikes;
- (g) how many tenancies were subject to third strikes but the tenants were not evicted or did not vacate;
- (h) how many children were represented in the total number of tenancy terminations;
- (i) how many Aboriginal children were represented in the total number of tenancy terminations;
- (j) how many people with disabilities were represented in the total number of tenancy terminations; and
- (k) how many women seeking to escape violent former partners were represented in the total number of tenancy terminations?

Hon Ken Baston replied:

The Department of Housing advises:

- (a)–(d) The Department is only able to provide figures based on its regions. [See paper 1328.]
- (e) Sixty-four tenancies were terminated for reasons other than disruptive behaviour where the maximum action under the disruptive behaviour management strategy was concurrently taking place. This data is not collated by region.
- (f)–(k) The Department is unable to answer these questions. The Department has a memorandum of understanding and works closely with the Department for Child Protection and Family Support in relation to families that are at risk of termination.