

REGIONAL PRISONS — WARRANTS OF COMMITMENT

1525. Ms M.M. Quirk to the Minister for Corrective Services:

- (1) Can the Minister advise for the 2011–2012, 2012–2013 and 2013–2014 (year to date), how many persons served time in Broome, Derby, Greenough or Roebourne Prisons under a warrant for commitment?
- (2) For each year what was the average length of stay in each prison?
- (3) In total for each year what was the cost of transport for persons taken to one of these prisons to serve out time under warrants of commitment?

Mr J.M. Francis replied:

The Department of Corrective Services advises:

Information provided relates to warrants of commitment for fine defaulters only as defined under Section 53 of the *Fines, Penalties and Infringement Notices Enforcement Act 1994*, which issues a warrant of commitment for non-payment of fines.

(1)

Individuals in Facility

Prisoners who were sentenced status with Fine Default Terms only

Excludes cases with Non-Fine Default Terms during the same placement

Excludes cases where there are remand warrants current during the same placement

Facility Name	Reporting Period		
	2011/2012	2012/2013	1/7/13-31/10/13
BROOME REGIONAL PRISON	123	141	31
GREENOUGH REGIONAL PRISON	70	89	68
ROEBOURNE REGIONAL PRISON	80	52	11
WEST KIMBERLEY REGIONAL PRISON		20	6

Note: Each person is counted once only during each reporting period, irrespective of the number of placements at the facility or receptions into custody.

Average Days in Facility

Prisoners who were sentenced status with Fine Default Terms only

Excludes cases with Non-Fine Default Terms during the same placement

Excludes cases where there are remand warrants current during the same placement

Facility Name	Reporting Period		
	2011/2012	2012/2013	1/7/13-31/10/13
BROOME REGIONAL PRISON	4.75	4.99	3.40
GREENOUGH REGIONAL PRISON	3.50	3.53	3.89
ROEBOURNE REGIONAL PRISON	3.94	4.56	3.44
WEST KIMBERLEY REGIONAL PRISON		4.77	5.43

Note: Days spent in the facility before the start of the reporting period and after the end of the reporting period are excluded. If a person was received into custody more than once during the reporting period, each custodial period was calculated separately. Separate placements at the same facility during the same custodial period were added together.

- (3) The Court Security and Custodial Services Contract does not separate the costs of prisoner by transport by warrant type. The Department is not able to provide a response to the question.