[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 31 May 2012] p672a-675a

Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Eric Ripper; Mr Ben Wyatt; Mrs Liza Harvey

Division 39: Finance (Except Service 12 — Energy Policy and Programs) —

[Supplementary Information No B26.]

Question: Ms R. Saffioti asked: What has been the additional cost to relocate distance learning education staff from Hale House to Beechboro and then to Padbury?

Answer: The Centre for Inclusive Schooling was relocated in May 2011. The estimated cost to relocate the Centre for Inclusive Schooling from Hale House to alternative premises in Beechboro (leased from the Anglican Schools Commission) was around \$110,000. The Department of Education pays around \$23,000 in rent per month.

No additional costs have yet been incurred for the Centre for Inclusive Schooling's relocation to Padbury.

[Supplementary Information No B27.]

Question: Ms R. Saffioti asked: Will the Treasurer provide a list of the 90 schools that registered for the solar schools program but did not enter into contracts?

Answer: The 90 schools which registered in the Solar Schools Program are outlined in the table below:

I		
Morley Senior High School		
Newman Senior High School		
Newton Moore Senior High School		
Ngalapita Remote Community School		
Osborne Primary School		
Our Lady of Lourdes School		
Padbury Catholic Primary School		
Perth Waldorf School		
Peter Carnley Anglican Community School		
Pioneer Village School		
Rehoboth Christian College		
River Valley Primary School		
Riverlands Montessori School		
Rockingham Montessori School		
Sacred Heart Primary School		
Sacred Heart School		
Sacred Heart School, Highgate		
Scotch College, Morley		
SMYL Community College		
South Lake Primary School		
Spirit of Play Community School		
St Augustine's Primary School		
St Brigid's School		
St Denis Catholic School		
St Emilie's Catholic Primary School		
St Gerard's Primary School		
St Helena's Catholic Primary School		
St John's Catholic Primary School		
St Joseph's School		
St Joseph's School, Busselton		
St Lawrence Primary School		
St Mary Star of the Sea Catholic School		
St Mary's Catholic Primary School		
St Mary's Catholic School		
St Mary's College,Broome		
St Mary's School, Merredin		
St Peter's Primary School		
St Stephen's School		
Swan View Senior High School		
The Montessori School		
The Montesson School		
The Montessori School Thornlie Primary School		

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 31 May 2012] p672a-675a

Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Eric Ripper; Mr Ben Wyatt; Mrs Liza Harvey

	Wongutha Christian Aboriginal Parent-
Mandurah Catholic College	Directed School
Mater Dei College	Woodbury Boston Primary School
Meekatharra District High School	Woodthorpe School

[Supplementary Information No B28.]

Question: Mr E.S. Ripper asked: What price per tonne of carbon abated is the government currently paying for its carbon neutral policy?

Answer: The Government is currently paying \$1.69 per tonne.

[Supplementary Information No B29.]

Question: Ms R. Saffioti asked: Has there recently been or is there currently underway a review of the types of equipment that can be subsidised under the life support equipment subsidy scheme; and, if so, what are the results of that review?

Answer: Yes. The Tariff and Concession Framework Review undertaken by the former Office of Energy considered the way in which the Life Support Equipment Electricity Subsidy should be managed and developed. The review is currently being considered by the Minister for Energy.

[Supplementary Information No B30.]

Question: Mr B.S. Wyatt asked: Will the Treasurer provide a breakdown of the individual items of expenditure pursuant to the \$192.280 million indicated for works program turnover in 2012–13?

Answer: Building Management and Works (BMW) manages a large portfolio of capital, maintenance and office accommodation programs on behalf of agencies. BMW estimates for project/program/lease expenditure cash flows across this portfolio are based on best information available from agencies at the time.

These estimates are subject to change, and some readjustment of cash flow expenditures is expected as more accurate information is made available to BMW:

- existing projects and programs within the overall portfolio are progressed and more accurately defined, resulting in adjustments to earlier estimates to more accurately reflect reality of current circumstances; and
- new projects and programs are identified and funded to the agency in the period since the last budget was set, impacting the Department's expense limit.

The \$192 million in 2012-13 reflects an increase of:

- \$139 million across the capital works program managed on behalf of agencies, consisting of:
 - new projects and programs identified and funded to the agency since the last budget:
 - the Department of Health's Southern Inland Health Initiative, a 5-year \$325 million program involving upgrades to six major hospitals and 31 smaller health facilities, funded through Royalties for Regions, with an estimated turnover of around \$40 million in 2012-13;
 - o various Department of Health hospital projects, including at Sir Charles Gairdner, Carnarvon, Esperance, Exmouth and Onslow, with an estimated turnover of around \$30 million in 2012-13;
 - various Department of Education projects, including the air-cooling program, the ember protection program and the Year 7 transition to high schools, with an estimated turnover of around \$31 million in 2012-13; and
 - readjustment of cash flow expenditures for current works-in-progress resulting in a net increase of about \$38 million in 2012-13;
- \$26 million in the building maintenance program for agencies; and
- \$27 million in the office accommodation program of agencies.

[Supplementary Information No B31.]

Question: Ms R. Saffioti asked: For the hardship efficiency program, will the Treasurer provide a breakdown of the people who were visited; how many people were employed in the scheme; what the outcome was for all the people who were visited; information about the number of households that were reached and what happened to each household, inclusive of how much of the annual budget for each year was spent and what amount of it went on wages?

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 31 May 2012] p672a-675a

Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Eric Ripper; Mr Ben Wyatt; Mrs Liza Harvey

Answer: Breakdown of the number of households visited under the Hardship Efficiency Program since its commencement in January 2009 – the data for 2011-12 covers the period to 6 May 2012, with data for the remainder of the year still being collated.

Year	Number of Households Assessed
2008-09	337
2009-10	702
2010-11	905
2011-12	3,056
TOTAL	5,000

The Hardship Efficiency Program provided households with education assistance to help them increase their energy and water efficiency around the home, and the associated products to help achieve such a reduction. The number of products provided to recipients over the period of the program to December 2011 is outlined in the following table.

Hardware Items	2009*	2010*	2011*	Total
Shower heads	338	843	1,138	2,319
Shower timers	471	936	703	2,110
Compact fluorescent lamps	2,533	5,785	12,810	21,128
Weather stripping	404	950	1,211	2,565
Thermometers	474	958	346	1,778
Power boards	Nil	Nil	1,722	1,722
Hot water pipe insulation	Nil	Nil	439	439
Clothes airers	Nil	Nil	452	452

^{*}Data only available by calendar year

The Public Utilities Office has previously been advised by Synergy's contracted Hardship Efficiency Program provider that between 12 and 14 people were employed to provide the program in 2011-12.

How much of the annual budget for each year was spent? The total budget for the Hardship Efficiency Program covered a range of programs, including the provision of home energy efficiency assessments and product replacements. The following table illustrates the actual expenditure on the energy efficiency assessments and product replacements, as a portion of the overall Hardship Efficiency Program budget.

Please note that the total expenditure numbers for 2011-12 are not complete as a number of invoices remain to be issued by Synergy and Horizon Power following the closure of the program.

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Overall HEP Budget	635	6,045	6,000	11,900
Overall HEP Expenditure Actual	597	1,670	7,571	5,738**
Home Assessment Actual	101	232	385	1,614
Fridge Replacement Actual	Not applicable*	79	449	2,104

^{*} The Fridge Replacement Scheme did not commence operation until 2009-10.

Information on wages for contracted service providers under the Hardship Efficiency Program is not available as this was the business of the service providers. However, the average cost of an in-home energy efficiency and education service under the program in 2011-12 was \$528, inclusive of all costs.

[Supplementary Information No B32.]

Question: Mrs L.M. Harvey asked: Will the Treasurer provide a breakdown between the amount of expenditure in the budget and out years that goes, firstly, to local government pensioner concessions and, secondly, to local government water rates for pensioner concessions?

Answer: In relation to the figures on page 457 under "Pensioner concessions – local government and water rates", no detailed breakdown between local government and water rates is readily available for the budget and

^{**} Expenditure by Office of Energy and Public Utilities Office to 31 May 2012.

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 31 May 2012] p672a-675a

Ms Rita Saffioti; Mr Eric Ripper; Mr Ben Wyatt; Mrs Liza Harvey

three out years. What can be advised is that in relation to 2011-12, as at 31 May 2012, around \$280,000 had been paid for water and drainage concessions out of a total amount paid for concessions under this item at that date of \$70.9 million. These claims for water and drainage charge concessions related to 14 local government authorities and two country water boards.

Water rates charged by the Water Corporation, and for which concessions are payable under the *Rates and Charges (Rebates and Deferments) Act* to eligible pensioners and seniors, are claimed back by the Water Corporation under an Operating Subsidy Payment direct from the Government. As such, the extent of the Water Corporation concessions are not included in this expenditure item.