

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

PREMIER — AWARENESS OF ISSUES

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion

MRS L.M. HARVEY (Scarborough — Leader of the Opposition) [11.08 am]: — without notice: I move —

That the standing orders be suspended so far as to enable the following motion to be moved forthwith —

That this house —

- (1) Notes the Premier was conveniently unaware of three controversial major issues of state significance, namely —
 - (a) the Environmental Protection Authority greenhouse gas emissions guidelines, a policy that will potentially cost tens of billions of dollars and risk tens of thousands of jobs;
 - (b) the \$206 million Huawei Metronet contract, a contract with a company accused of spying and a matter of national security; and
 - (c) that one of two tunnel-boring machines had broken down on the Forrestfield–Airport Link while the Premier was leading a major media tour.
- (2) Calls on the Premier to explain how he was unaware of such important matters given his personal staff had been briefed in advance:
 - (a) was it because his mismanagement of his personal staff has led to a dysfunctional office of the Premier of Western Australia; or
 - (b) is the Premier misleading the Parliament and being fundamentally dishonest with the people of Western Australia?

The reason that we have taken the unusual step to move to suspend standing orders at this time in Parliament is that it has come to the opposition’s attention, through the freedom-of-information process and also through questions that have been asked in the Legislative Council, that the Premier has been utterly dishonest with his representations to the community and this Parliament about his knowledge of these very important matters. The Premier has this stance of plausible deniability on very important issues. He has said to this chamber and the community on various occasions: “I was not made aware of it. I found out about this the day that it was announced. I had nothing to do with it. My office had nothing to do it. Our ministers had nothing to do with it.” He said, “This is an agency gone rogue” in respect of the Environmental Protection Authority. We know from our processes that he has been fundamentally dishonest with those representations. We know that he was briefed on these matters. Instead of being a leader, a decision-maker and a responsible Premier and stopping the ripple of uncertainty and threatening sovereign risk for our major resources sector, the oil and gas sector, with respect to the EPA policy, the Premier has let the EPA run away with the policy. Perhaps it is because he did not understand what he was reading; maybe he did not care what he was reading or his office is so dysfunctional that in a matter such as this that could cost billions and billions of dollars to industry in Western Australia and prevent the expansion of industry in Western Australia, his office did not advise him of the enormity of the issue.

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr R.S. Love): Member, at this point, you need to be arguing why there is an urgency to this matter.

Mrs L.M. HARVEY: I believe that the government has agreed to this suspension of standing orders, so I will sit and let the Leader of the House comment.

Standing Orders Suspension — Amendment to Motion

MR D.A. TEMPLEMAN (Mandurah — Leader of the House) [11.12 am]: I move —

To insert after “forthwith” —

, subject to the debate being limited to 15 minutes for government members and 15 minutes for non-government members

Amendment put and passed.

Standing Orders Suspension — Motion, as Amended

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr R.S. Love): Members, as this is a motion without notice to suspend standing orders, it will need the support of an absolute majority for it to proceed. If I hear a dissentient voice, I will be required to divide the Assembly.

Question put and passed with an absolute majority.

Motion

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

MRS L.M. HARVEY (Scarborough — Deputy Leader of the Opposition) [11.13 am]: I move the motion.

The opposition has brought forward this matter because it goes to the heart of the integrity and honesty of the Premier of Western Australia, the Minister for Environment and the Premier's handpicked mate, Darren Foster, the director general of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet. I might add that Darren Foster was formerly employed by the EPA and was known for his overreach on environmental matters during his time in the Environmental Protection Authority. As an opposition, we have been chasing this issue for months.

On 7 March, the EPA made an announcement that had the potential to cost industry billions of dollars and potentially hundreds of thousands of jobs in Western Australia. As everyone is aware, the guidelines requiring major projects in Western Australia with more than 100 000 tonnes of scope 1 net direct emissions would be required, by this government, to completely offset those emissions. The Premier came out and said: "I was not made aware of this. This is the EPA's policy and directive and I had nothing to do with it. My government had nothing to do with it. They are an independent body and it is up to the EPA to do as they see fit in their role as the environmental protection watchdog." When asked about the EPA guidelines in estimates hearings on 20 June in the other place, Mr Foster said —

I became aware of the guideline when it became a public issue. ... I saw no advance copy or had any intimate knowledge of what was proposed. I saw it when it became a public document.

We have since found out through the FOI process and our processes in the other place that Mr Darren Foster was briefed by the EPA the day before the announcement, as was the director general of the Premier's other agency, the director general of the Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation. This Premier says that his two directors general—the most senior director general in the public sector and the director general of the department responsible for jobs, and, indeed, preventing the destruction of jobs—were briefed the day before. The Premier tells us to swallow this absolutely dishonest codswallop that he was not briefed by those people on something that would cost tens of billions dollars' worth of investment and hundreds and thousands of jobs. It is just not believable. The Premier needs to stand in this place and explain to the people of Western Australia and to all the industries that went into shock and meltdown when they found out about this EPA directive. It sent a shockwave through industry. People in industry were scrambling to work out how they would pay for this and how it would affect their investment. This will destroy jobs. Industry was not consulted on this guideline when it was being drafted. This Premier says that he was not briefed on something that was a threat to sovereign risk and the expansion of the jobs in Western Australia.

This Premier stands in here and says: "My director general didn't tell me about this policy. I was unaware. Nothing to see here." He is like Sergeant Schultz from *Hogan's Heroes*: "I see nothing! I hear nothing! I know nothing!" The Premier's job is to know about these things before a guideline goes out into the community and sends a shock wave through all our major industries that hold up this economy in Western Australia. It is his job to know. He needs to tell his director general that he needs to let him know about these things or he needs to come clean and tell us that he knew about it—and either he did not understand what he was reading, he was not paying attention, he was asleep at the wheel or he did not understand what was going on, because we know that he knew and he did not do the right thing and stop that policy from seeing the light of day.

MR Z.R.F. KIRKUP (Dawesville) [11.18 am]: I, too, join the opposition in condemning —

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members! Settle down. I want to hear the member for Dawesville.

Mr Z.R.F. KIRKUP: I, too, join the opposition in noting the Premier was conveniently unaware in controversial major issues. The opposition leader has already spoken about concerns about the Environmental Protection Authority's guideline and its impact on the economy. I would like to talk about the Huawei contract and the risk it has to state security. Once again, we have a Premier who conveniently said that he knew nothing when —

Several members interjected.

Ms S. Winton interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Wanneroo!

Point of Order

Ms L. METTAM: Mr Acting Speaker, there is a wall of noise over here. Can we hear the member for Dawesville in silence.

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER (Mr R.S. Love): Members! Thank you, member for Vasse. I think we know that this will be a lively discussion, but we must be able to hear the member make his contribution, so could members just settle down and listen to the member for Dawesville.

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

Debate Resumed

Mr Z.R.F. KIRKUP: On a number of occasions, the Premier said, conveniently, that he was unaware of what was happening with a major contract with the Public Transport Authority. That contract raises significant state and national security concerns. We would think that when a contract is being tendered for the PTA radio network with a company like Huawei, that would raise some concerns in cabinet. We would think that would come to the attention of the Premier of Western Australia, yet time and again, under questioning from the opposition, the Premier said he was not aware. Bear in mind, members, that this is a \$200 million-plus contract with a company about which issues have been raised by security agencies across the globe. We have seen Australia, New Zealand, Czechoslovakia, the African Union, Great Britain, the United States, Canada, Google, the National Security Agency in the United States and the Australian Signals Directorate all echo their concerns about this company. In August last year when the now Leader of the Opposition asked questions of the Premier about this contract, the Premier said he was absolutely unaware of the contract that the member was referring to. We followed that up a day later and gave the Premier 24 hours' notice to find out more information, and, once again, the Premier said he was unaware of what the opposition was referring to. Those questions were about Huawei.

We followed that up in the upper house to try to understand whether there had been any verbal briefings or any notes whatsoever to the Premier or his office, and, once again, the Premier said that he was unaware. So, what did we do? We FOI-ed and found out that actually there had been briefing notes to the Premier's office.

Mr M.J. Folkard interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Burns Beach, I call you for the first time. Carry on, member for Dawesville.

Mr Z.R.F. KIRKUP: On 2 July, there was a briefing note to the Premier from the Department of the Premier and Cabinet, office of state security and emergency coordination, which echoed concerns about the security risks with this contract. When the Premier was asked about that, he said he was not aware. We also FOI-ed the Minister for Innovation and ICT, Hon Dave Kelly and found that he received a briefing note on 29 June 2010 that noted DPC's concern with the technology and level of security required for future uses of that network as part of the automatic train control contract. We know that that briefing note was signed and noted by the minister. But, once again, we have convenient deniability from the government. The government continues to suggest that there is no issue to see here, it is not aware of any issues that came up, and nothing went to cabinet. This is on a contract that raises significant national and state security concerns. That is absolutely deceptive and misleading. That is part of a theme that we see with this government. The Premier and his cabinet conveniently find reasons to be unaware of very significant issues and proposals that damage the economy of Western Australia or might risk our state and national security. That is not good enough. The opposition calls on the Premier to explain how he was unaware of such important matters. There can be only two options—either the Premier's personal staff were briefed and the Premier's office is dysfunctional and leaves the Premier of Western Australia, the elected leader of this state, not aware of important state and national security issues, or the Premier is misleading the Parliament of Western Australia and is fundamentally being dishonest with the people of our state.

MS M.J. DAVIES (Central Wheatbelt — Leader of the Nationals WA) [11.23 am]: I rise on behalf of the Nationals WA to support this motion. I do so because this is an issue that the Nationals have also raised. On Tuesday, the member for Moore asked the Premier a question without notice about his knowledge of the apology that the Minister for Environment had made. The Premier said he was unaware of that. Yesterday, in the debate on the matter of public interest, the Minister for Mines and Petroleum was heavily critical of the member for Moore's contribution. The only conclusion we on this side of the house can draw is that either the government is not across its brief—that includes the Premier—or it is trying to hide something. The time lines do not match up. The government has denied that it has seen briefing notes that have been provided. Freedom of information requests and questions in the other place have actually revealed that this was well known within government. I am not talking about an insignificant policy. I am talking about the Environmental Protection Authority guidelines, if members have not related that back to the questions that we raised on Tuesday in Parliament. I will remind the Premier of the question asked by the member for Moore. The question was —

I refer to the apology the Premier's Minister for Environment gave in the other place for his failure to disclose that he had prior knowledge of the Environmental Protection Authority's secret carbon tax plan.

The member for Moore then said: can the minister now admit that he signed off on a briefing note containing the new tax on 28 February? The Premier stood and said —

I am unaware of any apology ...

I refer to an article in WAtoday of 18 June that reported—this would be well known to members in the other place—that the Minister for Environment in the other place stood and apologised for saying that he had not disclosed that he was aware of the plan.

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

There is chaos on that side of the house on this matter, because the Premier is trying to distance himself from his discussions with colleagues who want him to push this agenda, and is balancing that against being so close to the mining industry that he could not possibly upset it. The Premier is walking a tightrope—and it is all unravelling. This is only one example. I think the Premier has answered these questions by deflecting to the opposition and the National Party, as he has done this entire week. When the Premier is under pressure, he deflects from what is going on behind the scenes. Unfortunately, the Premier has been caught out, because evidence has been presented in budget estimates, in the Legislative Council, and in the media, from people who are in cabinet, about the Premier's knowledge of this issue going forward. There is something to be answered here. The Nationals support this motion.

MR P.A. KATSAMBANIS (Hillarys) [11.25 am]: I rise to support this motion and to indicate that this Premier has form on this matter. This is the Premier who promised before the election gold-standard transparency and gold-standard openness in government, but since the election has delivered nothing but that.

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members! I cannot hear the member for Hillarys, and I would really like to hear the member for Hillarys.

Ms S.E. Winton interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Wanneroo, I am on my feet. I call you for the first time.

Mr P.A. KATSAMBANIS: This Premier has delivered nothing at all like that—nothing. I will tell members why he has delivered nothing on gold-standard transparency. Either the Premier's office and his ministers are dysfunctional, or the Premier is dishonest. It is as simple as that.

I turn to the Forrestfield–Airport Link. On 18 February last year, the Premier and the Minister for Transport went to the site of the Forrestfield–Airport Link, in their high-vis vests and hard hats, and spruiked the tunnel boring machines. They told everyone that everything was on track. The press release on that date is headed, “Forrestfield–Airport Link tunnel to Airport Central on track”. The tunnel boring machines were mentioned eight times in their press release. A month later, the “Rumour File” on 6PR said that the tunnel boring machines —

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members! Member for Warnbro, thank you! I really am enjoying the member for Hillary's contribution, and I would like to continue to be able to hear it. So, settle down, and let us hear the member for Hillarys.

Mr P.A. KATSAMBANIS: The rumour on the “Rumour File” was that the tunnel machines had stopped boring. On the same day, the ABC published an article on its website indicating that the machines had stopped four days before that media tour on 18 February. The ABC confirmed that the minister had been told on 15 February, three days before that media tour. The next day, the Premier said on the Gareth Parker program on 6PR that he knew nothing about it—he did not know that one of the two machines, Grace, had stopped boring. He said the first time he heard about it was on the 6PR “Rumour File”—that is when he found out. That is despite the fact that the minister had known three days earlier. We then went through the FOI process, and that proved that the Premier's communications director had been told on the same day the minister had been told—three days before the media tour. Either the minister and the communications director did not tell the Premier or, when it got too hard, the Premier simply said that he knew nothing about it. I have been an adviser. I have been a chief of staff. If I had been informed of that information and had not told my minister, I would have been packing my desk before the minister had come back from the radio interview with Gareth Parker. This minister would have done exactly the same. It does not pass the pub test that this Premier did not know when his minister knew and his communications director knew. He has form in this regard. This Premier is fundamentally dishonest, and that is what this motion is all about. This is a Premier who claims one thing and does another. The public of Western Australia deserves better than that.

MR M. McGOWAN (Rockingham — Premier) [11.29 am]: Obviously, the government will not support the motion put forward by the opposition. I must say that in the annals of incomprehensible, ridiculous, nonsensically worded motions, this one comes out on top. Members of the opposition should actually read what is written here. It is preposterous, bizarre, full of conspiracy theories and does not make any sense. Maybe the opposition should get someone new to write its material for it. The motion massacres the English language and grammar. My advice is that when writing a motion, make it short and sharp and have it make sense. If the opposition does that, the motion might get some attention. Then again, the motion is based on a range of conspiracy theories. Listening to the member for Hillarys a moment ago in his rather breathless presentation, I was expecting him to start talking about Roswell, the aliens arriving and me somehow having something to do with it. It was at that level. The opposition is desperately grasping at a range of series generated from the rumour file in February 2018—that is February 2018—that it is now throwing around the Parliament as though they are issues of some moment or even of some substance, and they are clearly not.

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

I will just go through a few of the issues. I will deal with the member for Dawesville first of all. His complaint is about the communication system contract the government entered into with Huawei via the Public Transport Authority last year for communication between train drivers and headquarters using a new digital system that is in place in New South Wales for ambulance drivers and the like. It was brought to my attention by the Treasurer that as part of his argument he said other nations have banned it. I quote what he said—“nations like Google and nations like Czechoslovakia”. Czechoslovakia ceased to exist 25 years ago. Although the member for Dawesville is a young man and his geographic knowledge might not be great, I advise him that Google is not actually a country. Google is a system that provides support to the World Wide Web. That is what it is. It is not actually a country. When the member cites Google as a country he might want to go and consult this old-fashioned thing called an atlas and he might work it out.

Mr F.M. Logan: Consult Google!

Mr M. McGOWAN: Yes, consult Google! He should consult Google and put in “Is Google a country?” and see what answer he comes up with!

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members, settle down. Let the Premier make his contribution.

Mr M. McGOWAN: The member for Dawesville rather breathlessly attacked the Huawei deal, whereas on 21 June last year he was in Parliament endorsing what the government did with that.

Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup interjected.

Mr M. McGOWAN: Yes, he was. The member for Dawesville said —

... I do not question the validity of the advice given to the state government by the commonwealth agencies. That is something that any prudent state government would do if it had a tender from a company that had been somewhat controversial.

There you go, my friend. The rest of it is in a similar vein.

Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup interjected.

Mr M. McGOWAN: The member for Dawesville can go and consult Google and look it up.

I make one point about the arrangement we entered into, about which we received advice from the commonwealth, from the relevant security agencies, as the member put it, and that is this: all the opposition does in the rather disgraceful wording of its motion is once again attack the relationship between Western Australia and China. That is all the opposition is doing. It should have a look at the wording. The words “national security” are there when the proponent of the argument even admits there is no national security issue. That is on record in *Hansard*. I saw the Leader of the Opposition the other week at an event with the new Chinese consul. All the opposition does is attack the relationship with China, our largest trading partner, responsible for one-quarter of the jobs in the state. What the opposition is doing is grossly irresponsible. I had a conversation with no less than the Prime Minister the other day about the importance of that relationship and how we need to work together to make sure that relationship is kept strong. All that our relatively low quality state colleagues do is run the relationship down.

The other component, apart from the rumour file about me visiting a tunnel boring machine 18 months ago, which I am sure other members will deal with, is around the Environmental Protection Authority. That seems to be the crux of the argument. The opposition’s argument is that three and a half months ago a draft policy was produced by the EPA, which was withdrawn four days later and no longer exists, and somehow there is some huge conspiracy around the document produced by the EPA. I remind members that under the law the EPA is independent. Its members, every single one, were appointed by the Liberal Party in government.

Several members interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members, please!

Mr M. McGOWAN: As I said at the time, I received a high-level briefing from Dr Hatton maybe four or five days before the EPA launched its draft policy. I will give the opposition a tip: I do perhaps 11 or 12 meetings or briefings a day.

Mrs L.M. Harvey interjected.

Mr M. McGOWAN: Honestly, I am speaking now.

Mr A. Krsticevic interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Carine!

Mr M. McGOWAN: I get lots of briefings. The EPA gave me a high-level briefing about a draft policy it was going to release for consultation and the opposition makes out it is somehow World War III. The EPA withdrew

Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

the policy four days later. What is the opposition's complaint? What is its argument? We have worked cooperatively with the industry. The opposition ought to try to work cooperatively with industry on these matters. There are the Nationals WA over there. The Leader of the National Party in her speech even attacked the mining industry.

Mr M.P. Murray: It's at war with them.

Mr M. McGOWAN: The National Party is at war with the mining industry. The leader attacked it in her speech. As I have said, do not mislead the house. I told the opposition that I had a high-level briefing from Dr Hatton maybe three or four days prior. There it is. I said it at the time. The EPA withdrew the document. There is some briefing note that went to one of my staff and it was never brought to my attention.

Mrs L.M. Harvey interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Scarborough!

Mr M. McGOWAN: I did not interject on the Leader of the Opposition.

Several members interjected.

Mr M. McGOWAN: I did not interject on her.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Members!

Mr M. McGOWAN: The opposition is suspending standing orders because over 18 months ago I visited a tunnel boring machine that is now operating, over the contract for communication between train drivers and headquarters that the member for Dawesville endorsed —

Mr A. Krsticevic interjected.

The ACTING SPEAKER: Member for Carine, I call you for the second time.

Mr M. McGOWAN: — using the argument that the nation of Google is concerned and, lastly over a draft policy that the EPA issued, which was withdrawn four days later. Honestly, this opposition is pathetic.

MR W.J. JOHNSTON (Cannington — Minister for Energy) [11.38 am]: I want to talk about part 1(a) of this ridiculous motion. I just want to point out that when we talk about disclosure, the member for Hillarys never tells us why he gave a visa to a murderer. That has never been explained by him. He never explains that. He talks about wanting to be honest with the people of the state. Did he explain that to the voters in Hillarys? Talk about being honest—let us hear him explain that. Perhaps after this he can get up under standing order 148 and make a personal explanation.

Let us make a point. Part 1(a) of this motion does not properly characterise what the EPA was talking about. Dr Tom Hatton, who was appointed by the member for Nedlands to the position of chair of the EPA, released a draft policy guideline. It was a draft policy guideline.

Mrs L.M. Harvey: That is what you are calling it now.

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: This is the problem. One cannot debate with a stupid person. Let us make it clear that that is what —

Withdrawal of Remark

Mr D.C. NALDER: I ask that the member withdraw that comment. It was unparliamentary.

The ACTING SPEAKER: I ask you to withdraw that comment.

Debate Resumed

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: If I am directed to withdraw, I withdraw.

Let me make it clear. I did not say that they were draft policy guidelines. That is what Dr Tom Hatton said. Anybody who says that that is not true is either wrong or lying. If somebody comes into this chamber any time after this and says that that was a policy document, then they are telling a lie. It was a draft policy guideline. The moment that the government was able to do anything about it, we had it withdrawn. The government continues to work cooperatively with industry to solve the problem created by the federal Liberal government of having set a 26 per cent carbon target, which Western Australia is required by law—not by our law, by the commonwealth government's law—to meet. That is what gets left out of this debate. Tony Abbott set the 26 per cent target for us and we are required to meet it, not because we want to, but because the law says we have to achieve it. The question is not: what is the Western Australian government policy? The question is: how does the commonwealth government intend to make sure that it achieves the target it has set?

Extract from *Hansard*

[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]

p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

MS R. SAFFIOTI (West Swan — Minister for Transport) [11.41 am]: What a day! The member for Scarborough, the Leader of the Opposition, has accused somebody else about not being across the detail. When the Leader of the Opposition was the Acting Premier and asked what was the debt level, the deficit level or the unemployment level, she said, “I don’t know.” When she was Acting Premier, did she know, was she misleading the house, was she not briefed or had she not read a briefing note? Now she has come in here and accused the Premier of not being across the detail. This is a Leader of the Opposition who during question time has to ask the member for Bateman about the difference between gross state product and state final demand. She should not come in here with an absolutely ridiculous motion to suspend standing orders. Honestly, if we go back to briefing notes from 18 months ago, we can see that she has tried to misrepresent continuously. As a past minister, she misled this house on a number of occasions and she has never apologised. I will give members an example. When she was the Minister for Police and hosting political police forums in electorates across the community, one was held in my electorate with the then candidate. I asked why they were hosting a police forum in my electorate without inviting me, but inviting a candidate. The member for Scarborough, the then Minister for Police, said, “Were there police officers there? There were no police officers there.” Yet, they were tweeting that police officers were at the political forum. She never apologised for that. Was she briefed? Did she know about it? Did she mislead? The member for Dawesville, the once friend of Huawei, now comes in here and says that he is not a friend. He said in this place —

I think it is only inter-train communications.

The member is right —

To see a company like that pitching is indeed the start of something that has existed in other jurisdictions for some time. I do not think there is a need to be fearful or anything like that.

But now, together with Czechoslovakia, he is very fearful.

Mr P. Papalia: You had better put it in *Hansard* that you understand that Czechoslovakia —

Ms R. SAFFIOTI: I understand that Czechoslovakia is no longer a nation.

I saw a beautiful tweet from Julie Bishop with the member for Scarborough, the new Leader of the Opposition, holding hands with the new Chinese Consul-General. Did the Leader of the Opposition raise her concerns about Huawei with the new Chinese consul while she was there? No, she was pretending to be a friend of China when we know that she is trying to undermine our relationship with China. Of course, everyone in this state knows that our economy relies very much on China and she is trying to run it down. She is risking Western Australian jobs and she is risking our trade relationship for petty political pointscoring.

Good on them! They had a go. I like to see people have a go. Sometimes opposition members have a “Have a Go Day”. They pick some random bunch of things to put into a motion and everyone stands up and has a go. That is a good thing. We want community participation in this chamber. It is good that they are having a go and sharing it around but, seriously, they should think of something better.

Division

Question put and a division taken, the Acting Speaker (Mr R.S. Love) casting his vote with the ayes, with the following result —

Ayes (17)

Mr I.C. Blayney
Ms M.J. Davies
Mrs L.M. Harvey
Mrs A.K. Hayden
Dr D.J. Honey

Mr Z.R.F. Kirkup
Mr A. Krsticevic
Mr S.K. L’Estrange
Mr R.S. Love
Mr W.R. Marmion

Mr J.E. McGrath
Dr M.D. Nahan
Mr D.C. Nalder
Mr K. O’Donnell
Mr D.T. Redman

Mr P.J. Rundle
Ms L. Mettam (*Teller*)

Extract from *Hansard*
[ASSEMBLY — Thursday, 27 June 2019]
p4856a-4862a

Mrs Liza Harvey; Mr David Templeman; Mr Zak Kirkup; Ms Mia Davies; Mr Peter Katsambanis; Mr Mark McGowan; Mr Bill Johnston; Ms Rita Saffioti

Noes (37)

Ms L.L. Baker	Mr M. Hughes	Mrs L.M. O'Malley	Mr C.J. Tallentire
Dr A.D. Buti	Mr W.J. Johnston	Mr P. Papalia	Mr D.A. Templeman
Mr J.N. Carey	Mr D.J. Kelly	Mr S.J. Price	Mr P.C. Tinley
Mrs R.M.J. Clarke	Mr F.M. Logan	Mr D.T. Punch	Mr R.R. Whitby
Mr R.H. Cook	Mr M. McGowan	Ms M.M. Quirk	Ms S.E. Winton
Ms J. Farrer	Ms S.F. McGurk	Ms C.M. Rowe	Mr B.S. Wyatt
Mr M.J. Folkard	Mr K.J.J. Michel	Ms R. Saffioti	Mr D.R. Michael (<i>Teller</i>)
Ms J.M. Freeman	Mr S.A. Millman	Ms A. Sanderson	
Ms E.L. Hamilton	Mr Y. Mubarakai	Ms J.J. Shaw	
Mr T.J. Healy	Mr M.P. Murray	Mrs J.M.C. Stojkovski	

Pairs

Mr P.A. Katsambanis	Mr J.R. Quigley
Mr V.A. Catania	Mrs M.H. Roberts

Question thus negatived.