

POLICE — KIMBERLEY DISTRICT

1629. Mrs M.H. Roberts to the Minister for Police:

I refer to police numbers in the Kimberley District, and ask:

- (a) as at 31 October 2013, how many sworn police officers (provide by full-time equivalent (FTE) and actual head-count) were employed in this District;
- (b) as at 31 October for each year 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, how many sworn police officers (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District;
- (c) as at 31 October 2013, how many auxiliary officers (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District;
- (d) as at 31 October 2013, how many detectives (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District;
- (e) as at 31 October for each year 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, how many detectives (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District;
- (f) as at 31 October 2012, how many forensic officers (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District; and
- (g) as at 31 October for each year 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, how many forensic officers (provide by FTE and actual head-count) were employed in this District?

Mrs L.M. Harvey replied:

- (a)–(g) The table below represents the planned and actual strengths for the Kimberley District as at 31 October each nominated year.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Planned Strength	161	166	173	178	179
Actual Strength	162	165	160	176	173
Planned Auxiliary	–	–	–	–	0
Actual Auxiliary	–	–	–	–	0
Planned Detectives	10	10	10	10	12
Planned Forensic	2	3	3	3	3

The Actual Strength number includes officers on Leave Without Pay.

The reduced Actual Strength in 2011 is due to staff relocation for CHOGM.

The response required for parts of questions (d–g) relating to the actual officers undertaking detective and forensic roles in the districts would take a significant amount of time and resources to collate and process. It is therefore not possible for Police to obtain this information without significantly compromising other core activities.