

**POLICE — CRIME STATISTICS — AVELEY, BELHUS, ELLENBROOK AND THE VINES
POLICE — CRIME STATISTICS — DOUBLEVIEW, GWELUP, INNALOO,
OSBORNE PARK, SCARBOROUGH AND TRIGG**

Questions on Notice 2344 and 2345 — Answer Advice

MRS L.M. HARVEY (Scarborough — Deputy Leader of the Opposition) [3.11 pm]: Under standing order 80(2), I seek from the Minister for Police —

Point of Order

Mr W.J. JOHNSTON: The time for this has expired. Mr Speaker, you called the member for Riverton on his personal explanation, so question time has been completed. The member can only rise under standing order 80(2) at the conclusion of question time. Question time, clearly, has concluded.

The SPEAKER: I accept it.

Debate Resumed

Mrs L.M. HARVEY: I am baffled why the member for Cannington is so sensitive about my seeking a response from the Minister for Police under standing order 80(2) for answers to my questions without notice 2344 —

Several members interjected.

The SPEAKER: Members!

Mrs L.M. HARVEY: Mr Speaker, this is a serious matter. These questions have been outstanding for 107 days.

The SPEAKER: It is not a debate!

Mrs L.M. HARVEY: I seek from the Minister for Police an answer to when I will get answers to questions on notice 2344 and 2345, lodged on 31 October last year.

MRS M.H. ROBERTS (Midland — Minister for Police) [3.12 pm]: I am not aware of there being any outstanding answers to questions. I expect that they are in the process of —

Dr M.D. Nahan interjected.

The SPEAKER: Leader of the Opposition, for someone who is on three calls—honestly, I just do not understand you sometimes. It is as though you do not want to be here.

Mrs M.H. ROBERTS: I am not aware of there being any outstanding questions. I believe all my questions have been signed off and answered. But given the member has raised the issue, I am more than happy to look into the matter.

Point of Order

Mrs M.H. ROBERTS: While I am on my feet, I would also like to make a point of order with respect to the personal explanation given by the Leader of the Opposition. He finished that personal explanation with an attack, by saying, “That is what you should have done”, and so forth. That was completely out of order and I ask you to call him to order and to set clear guidelines for him in any future personal explanations. This is not the first time that he has abused a personal explanation.

The SPEAKER: It has already happened. We are moving on.