

HOSPITALS — PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

3037. Hon Ken Travers to the Minister for Transport representing the Minister for Health
- (1) Has the Government completed the evaluation of a public private partnership for each of the following projects —
 - (a) Midland Health Campus;
 - (b) Albany Health Campus;
 - (c) Busselton Hospital;
 - (d) QE II Medical Centre Carpark;
 - (e) New Children's Hospital; and
 - (f) Fiona Stanley Non clinical services?
 - (2) Does the evaluation include a public private comparator?
 - (3) Does the Government intend to make the completed evaluations public?
 - (4) If no to (3), why not?
 - (5) Will the Minister table the completed evaluation and any public private comparators?
 - (6) If no to (5), why not?

Hon SIMON O'BRIEN replied:

- (1)
 - (a) No, the evaluation will not be complete until final bids are received and assessed. A procurement options analysis concluded that a public private partnership has the potential to offer value for money.
 - (b) A procurement options analysis concluded that a public private partnership was not the recommended procurement option and the facility is being procured under a publicly financed model.
 - (c) A procurement options analysis has concluded that a public private partnership was not the recommended procurement option.
 - (d) No, the evaluation will not be complete until final bids are received and assessed. A procurement options analysis concluded that a public private partnership has the potential to offer value for money.
 - (e) No, the evaluation was ceased following Government's decision not to use private finance for the project.
 - (f) The procurement of the non clinical services at Fiona Stanley Hospital is not a public private partnership because it does not involve the building of the hospital.
- (2)
 - (a) A public sector comparator will be developed and compared to the bids received.
 - (b) Not applicable.
 - (c) Not applicable.
 - (d) A public sector comparator has been prepared and will be compared to the bids received.
 - (e) Not applicable.
 - (f) A public sector comparator was developed and was used in the comparison of bids received.
- (3) No.
- (4) The information used in the comparison is commercially confidential.
- (5) No.
- (6) The information used in the comparison is commercially confidential.