

ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Date: Thursday 11 October 2012

Department of Sport and Recreation

Question No C1: Hon Ken Travers asked

Can you give us a reconciliation of the Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF) from the 2008/9 year, starting with what was held in the fund as residual, what has been allocated into it, what has been appropriated into it, what has been allocated out of it and what has actually been drawn down from it?

Answer:

Cash at Bank carried forward:

\$969 Thousand

Appropriated into the fund: \$90.9 million

Allocated out of the fund:

\$94.373 million

Drawn down to date:

\$60.6 million

Footnotes:

1. For the purposes of this question the amount allocated into the fund has been interpreted as being the same as what has been appropriated into it.

2. Prior to 2008/9 there will have been some project grants from previous rounds that were not yet drawn down, or drawn in part, due to the tri-ennial nature of allocating grants.

3. It is not unusual for the allocation of grant funds to be over-allocated as there is a history of some projects either not proceeding or of projects coming in below the original anticipated project budget, resulting in savings.

4. The above covers the period from 2008/9 to 2012/13

Market

ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Date Thursday 11 October 2012

Department of Sport and Recreation

Question No C2: Hon Ken Travers asked

What grant amount for Town of Cambridge PNA

Answer:

The grant amount paid to the Town of Cambridge in 2011/12 was \$1million.

A further \$5.601 million is budgeted to be paid to the Town of Cambridge over the life of the PNA project.



ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Date Thursday 11 October 2012

Department of Sport and Recreation

Ouestion No C3: Hon Lilijana Ravlich asked

For an update on what is happening with the Western Knights

Answer:

Background

- The Western Knights Soccer Club is based at Nash Field in the Town of Mosman Park.
- In the 2011/12 Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF) Forward Planning round the Western Knights applied for \$315,000 for an upgrade of the existing facilities at Nash field including extending the playing surface, match standard floodlighting, change room upgrades, clubroom improvements, officials change room, media facilities, covered seating and a first aid room. The total project cost was \$949,000.
- CSRFF is a fund that supports basic infrastructure that assists physical activity and participation in sport and recreation.
- The application was unsuccessful due to a number of factors including a significant shortage in applicant funding rendering the project a very high risk of not proceeding.
- There were also elements of the project that the primary reason for upgrading was to satisfy Football West's facility requirements for the All Flags State League Premier Division (Premier Division). These elements included ineligible and low priority items for the CSRFF program including media facilities, covered seating, car parking, match standard floodlighting and playing field extensions.
- In 2011 the Western Knights were notified by Football West that the facilities at Nash Field were no longer suitable for Premier Division matches.
- In 2012 the Western Knights secured a home ground at Wauhop Park in East Fremantle to play their Premier Division games whilst still utilising Nash Field for junior and lower senior grades.

Current Situation

- The Western Knights Soccer Club have been relegated from the Premier Division to the All Flags State League Division One after finishing 2nd last in the 2012 season.
- The Western Knights are returning to Nash Field in 2013 as the facility requirements for the Division One league are not as high as Football West's Premier Division requirements.
- This promotion and relegation system is the reason that CSRFF cannot support facility improvements purely on the basis of participating in a higher league. What is required in one year may not be required the next as teams are relegated.

• The Town of Mosman Park is believed to be considering an upgrade of the facilities at Nash Field. The change room and clubroom facilities are in a very poor condition and a revised project that focuses on the improvement of the facilities that assist the physical activity rather than spectator seating, corporate and media facilities would be encouraged.

White

ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Date Thursday 11 October 2012

Department of Sport and Recreation

Question No C4: Hon Philip Gardiner asked

How do we recognise the expenditure on sporting infrastructure on the States balance sheet?

Answer:

The Balance Sheet of the WA Sports Centre Trust accounts for the following non-current sporting facility assets:

Sporting Asset	\$ Millions
Arena Joondalup	32.930
Challenge Stadium	53.677
Speedome	7.070
Motorplex	20.687
WA Athletics Stadium	24.545
WA Basketball Centre	49.730
WA Rugby Centre	14.638
Champion Lakes	3.258
-	206.535

Perth Arena Works in Progress value at 30 June 2012 was \$484.085M. NIB Stadium value not carried in our books for the year-end Values above are for **buildings** don't include land or PP&E, at 30 June 2012.

The Balance Sheet of the Western Australian Greyhound Racing Association accounts for the following non-current sporting facility assets:

Sporting Asset	\$ Millions
Leasehold Improvements at Cost	3.974
Buildings, Structures and Improvements at	5.252
Valuation	
	9.226

Above values as 30 June 2012 and for tracks and facilities at Cannington, Northam and Mandurah.

The WA Football Commission recognises capital expenditure made by the WAFC on Subiaco Oval as Leasehold improvement. Funding for the leasehold improvements at Subiaco has been as a consequence of the WAFC applying own source revenues in combination with WA Government grants and loan guarantees. It is estimated that leasehold improvements at cost would amount to \$77 million excluding PP&E.

Grants to local governments to construct or procure sporting facilities would have no asset impact on the State's balance sheet item, as the asset would be wholly owned by a body external to the State. Examples of such grants are the Community Sport and Recreation Facilities Fund or the State Sporting Infrastructure Fund.

Market

ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Date Thursday 11 October 2012

Department of Sport and Recreation

Question No C5: Hon K Travis asked

Are you able to give us a breakdown of how much money is expected in the forward estimates from the Sports Lotteries Account and where it is notionally allocated at this stage, based on the past?

Answer

SLA Treasury	y Forecast	Total Budgets/Grants
Appropriation	n	(subject to Ministerial Approval)
2013/14	\$14,783,000	\$14,839,254
2014/15	\$14,783,000	\$15,168,254
2015/16	\$14,783,000	\$15,182,254

For information: Active Regional Communities program has an approved budget of 6% of the total SLA budget per year

2013/14 - \$886,980

2014/15 - \$886,980

2015/16 - \$886,980