



THIRTY-EIGHTH PARLIAMENT

REPORT 25
STANDING COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND
PUBLIC AFFAIRS
OVERVIEW OF PETITIONS

Presented by Hon Brian Ellis MLC (Chair)

May 2012

STANDING COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Date first appointed:

17 August 2005

Terms of Reference:

The following is an extract from Schedule 1 of the Legislative Council Standing Orders:

“1. Environment and Public Affairs Committee

- 1.1 An *Environment and Public Affairs Committee* is established.
- 1.2 The Committee consists of 5 members.
- 1.3 The functions of the Committee are to inquire into and report on -
 - (a) any public or private policy, practice, scheme, arrangement, or project whose implementation, or intended implementation, within the limits of the State is affecting, or may affect, the environment;
 - (b) any bill referred by the House; and
 - (c) petitions.
- 1.4 The Committee, where relevant and appropriate, is to assess the merit of matters or issues arising from an inquiry in accordance with the principles of ecologically sustainable development and the minimisation of harm to the environment.
- 1.5 The Committee may refer a petition to another committee where the subject matter of the petition is within the competence of that committee.
- 1.6 In this order “**environment**” has the meaning assigned to it under section 3(1), (2) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.”

Members of the Committee:

Hon Brian Ellis MLC (Chair)

Hon Colin Holt MLC

Hon Kate Doust MLC (Deputy Chair)

Hon Lynn MacLaren MLC

Hon Phil Edman MLC

Staff:

Ms Suzanne Veletta, Advisory Officer (until 22 July 2011)

Ms Linda Omar, Committee Clerk (until 28 February 2011)

Ms Denise Wong, Advisory Officer (Legal) (from 31 January 2011)

Ms Teila Reilly, Committee Clerk (February – July 2011)

Ms Amanda Gillingham, Research Officer

Address:

Parliament House, Perth WA 6000, Telephone (08) 9222 7222

lcco@parliament.wa.gov.au

Website: <http://www.parliament.wa.gov.au>

ISBN 978-1-921634-96-3

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
HISTORY AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE	1
PETITIONS.....	1
Petitions Process	1
Committee Website.....	2
Overview of Petitions.....	2
CHAPTER 2 PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JULY 2010 – 31 DECEMBER	
2010.....	3
PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JULY 2010 – 31 DECEMBER 2010	3
Petition No 54—Carnarvon and Gascoyne Region: Criminal Sentencing and Delivery of Justice.....	3
Petition No 60—Prostitution Amendment Act 2000 (WA).....	3
Petition No 63—Interim Care Centre for Abused Juveniles in Wanneroo	4
Petition No 64—Residents at Condicote Loop Butler	4
Petition No 65—Water Equality in Esperance.....	5
Petition No 67—Closure of all Waters including Oyster Harbour to Professional Fisherman	5
Petition No 69—Protection of Donovan Street Bushland Augusta	6
Petition No 70—Inadequate Mobile Telephone Coverage in the Eyre Region	6
Petition No 73—Rezoning of Underground Water Pollution Control Area in Pinjar South.....	6
Petition No 75—Endorsement of Community Psychology and Health Psychology as Specialist Practices	7
Petition No 76—Rezoning of Special Rural Land Mariginiup	7
Petition No 78—Better Bus Services.....	8
Petition No 79—Proposed Chlorination of Busselton’s Water Supply	8
Petition No 80—Tier 3 Narrow Gauge Rail Lines in the Wheatbelt	9
Petition No 85—Installation of Fixed Speed Cameras on the Great Northern Highway, Bindoon.....	9
Petition No 87—Location of a Department of Child Protection Facility without Consultation.....	9
Petition No 88—Installation of a Zebra Crossing on the Great Northern Highway, Bindoon	10
Petition No 89—Removal of Year 11 and 12 Students from 21 District High Schools	10
Petition No 90—Closure of ACTIV Business Service Centre in Busselton.....	10
Petition No 91—Dampier Primary School: Year 7 Children Relocating to High School.....	11

CHAPTER 3 PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2011 – 30 JUNE 2011

.....	13
PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2011 – 30 JUNE 2011	13
Petition No 50 - Shack Site Communities	13
Petition No 68 - Air Conditioning in State Government Schools.....	13
Petition No 77 - Infill Sewerage Program in Quinns Rocks.....	14
Petitions No 82 and 83 - Live Animal Trade.....	14
Petition No 84 - Closure of Muresk Campus.....	15
Petition No 86 - Preserving Part of Bunbury's Timber Jetty.....	15
Petition No 92 - Kite-Surfing on Mullaloo Beach.....	16
Petition No 93 - Pinjar South Inclusion as a Priority Area in the Industrial Land Strategy 2009	16
Petition No 94 - Water Use in Karratha.....	17
Petition No 95 – Appointment of the Independent Expert Panel on Uranium Regulations	18
Petition No 96 – Reduction of Boat Speed in the Swan and Canning Rivers	18
Petition No 98 – Establishment of a Mental Impairment Intervention Program	19
Petition No 99 – Industrial LNG Hub at James Price Point	19
Petition No 100 – Transperth Changes to Bus Route No 19 Yokine.....	20
Petition No 101 – Funding for the Animal Welfare Unit Inspectorate.....	20
Petition No 102 – Canning Bridge Precinct Vision.....	21
Petition No 103 – Kununarra Heavy Vehicle Route and Bridge over Ord River.....	21
Petition No 104 – Water License for Karara Mining.....	22
Petition No 105 – Payment of Sewerage Rates in Wyndham (New Town).....	22
Petition No 106 – Transperth Service Contracts	23
Petition No 109 – Transportation of Detained Persons	23
Petition No 110 – Building Disputes Tribunal	23
Petition No 111 – Cottesloe Local Planning Scheme 3.....	23

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

HISTORY AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE

- 1.1 The Standing Committee on Environment and Public Affairs (Committee) was appointed by the Legislative Council on 17 August 2005.
- 1.2 The functions of the Committee are outlined in the Committee's terms of reference:

The functions of the Committee are to inquire and report on –

- (a) any public or private policy, practice, scheme, arrangement, or project whose implementation, or intended implementation, within the limits of the State is affecting, or may affect, the environment;*
- (b) any Bill referred by the House; and*
- (c) petitions.*

PETITIONS

- 1.3 A petition is a formal request for action from individuals or groups. The petitions process, through which the general public can bring issues of concern to the attention of the Parliament, provides a fundamental link between the community and the Parliament.
- 1.4 All conforming petitions that are tabled by a Member of the Legislative Council, except those raising a matter of privilege, are referred to the Committee. While a petition only needs one signature to be tabled, most petitions contain many signatures.
- 1.5 A petition will not always bring about a change of policy by the government or achieve the specific objectives desired by petitioners however the Committee's inquiries ensure that petitioners are provided with an explanation for government decisions or actions. The Committee's consideration of petitions serves to enhance transparency and to inform the Parliament and public about current issues of concern to the community.

Petitions Process

- 1.6 The nature and extent of inquiries relating to each petition will vary depending on the nature of the issues raised however, in most cases, the Committee will request a submission from the principal petitioner and tabling Member. These submissions enable the Committee to better understand the issues involved and the action, if any, already undertaken by the petitioner/s to resolve the matter.
- 1.7 Once submissions are received, the Committee will usually request a response to the petition from the relevant government Minister. The Committee may also seek

responses from other organisations (such as local governments) and carry out other research as required.

- 1.8 In many instances, the Ministerial response to the petition will provide an explanation for the government policy or action in question although sometimes the Committee will need more information to clarify the issues. These inquiries may take the form of further correspondence with the relevant parties or a hearing to obtain more detailed evidence. On occasion, the Committee will resolve to conduct a formal inquiry into the matter.

Committee Website

- 1.9 The Committee has recently upgraded its website to enable it to become a central source of information about petitions tabled in the Legislative Council.
- 1.10 Documents such as submissions, government responses and transcripts of evidence will be publicly available on the website. The Committee believes that these changes will assist in bringing current issues and community views to the attention of Members of Parliament and the wider community.

Overview of Petitions

- 1.11 This report provides an overview of the petitions finalised by the Committee during the period 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011.
- 1.12 The terms of each petition, related submissions, correspondence and other evidence that are given a public status by the Committee, are available on the Committee's website at www.parliament.wa.gov.au. Hard copies will be made available on request to the Committee office.

CHAPTER 2

PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JULY 2010 – 31 DECEMBER 2010

PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JULY 2010 – 31 DECEMBER 2010

2.1 The Committee concluded its inquiries on the following petitions between 1 July 2010 and 31 December 2010.

Petition No 54—Carnarvon and Gascoyne Region: Criminal Sentencing and Delivery of Justice

2.2 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Baston MLC on 3 March 2010 and contained 1,129 signatures. The petition asserts that “*inadequate sentencing of offenders*” is leading to an increase in crime in the Carnarvon and Gascoyne region.¹

2.3 The Commissioner of Police advised the Committee that the clearance rate of reported offences in Carnarvon “*remains consistently higher than the state average*” and that increases in staff numbers were providing “*a better patrolling capability, especially the ability to target particular problems that local police have identified and which public complaints indicate need attention.*”²

2.4 The Attorney General’s response to the Committee pointed out that the “*Liberal-National Government was elected on its tough approach to law and order*” and he outlined some of the Government’s legislative measures in this area.³ The Attorney General also pointed out that Western Australia has

*the second highest rate of adult imprisonment in the country behind the Northern Territory. This demonstrates both the efficiency of our police service in investigating and apprehending criminals, and the willingness of the courts to impose terms of imprisonment on offenders.*⁴

2.5 Copies of documents relating to the petition, including a table of reported offences and clearance rates in Carnarvon provided by the Commissioner of Police, are available on the Committee’s website. The Committee concluded its inquiries on 11 August 2010.

Petition No 60—Prostitution Amendment Act 2000 (WA)

2.6 This petition, which was tabled by Hon Nick Goiran MLC on 4 March 2010, contained 101 signatures. The petitioners oppose legislation that “*treats prostitution*

¹ Tabled Paper No 1765, 3 March 2010.

² Letter from Karl O’Callaghan, Commissioner of Police, 22 June 2010, p2.

³ Letter from Hon Christian Porter MLA, Attorney General, 11 June 2010, pp1-2.

⁴ Ibid, p3.

as an ordinary, legitimate industry” and request action to stop recruitment and promotion activities in local newspapers and other media.⁵

- 2.7 The Deputy Commissioner of Police explained in his response to the Committee that a number of “*policy and legislative factors ...have led to the current situation*” whereby WA Police “*have difficulties in enforcing existing, private place, prostitution provisions*”.⁶ The Deputy Commissioner advised the Committee that there is “*a need for more contemporary legislation that provides certainty of expectations for all concerned*” and that the Government was considering ways to improve legislation on prostitution.⁷
- 2.8 A copy of the petition, the submission from the principal petitioner and responses from the Attorney General and the Deputy Commissioner for Police are available on the Committee’s website.
- 2.9 The Committee concluded its inquiries in relation to this petition on 11 August 2010.

Petition No 63—Interim Care Centre for Abused Juveniles in Wanneroo

- 2.10 This petition expressed safety concerns by some members of the Wanneroo community following the purchase of a residential property by the Department for Child Protection for use as an interim care centre for abused children. The petition was tabled by Hon Michael Mischin MLC and contained 138 signatures.⁸
- 2.11 The Committee’s inquiries with the City of Wanneroo (City) revealed that the City was of the view that the proposed care centre would constitute a change of use of the premises and would require planning approval. The matter would therefore be pursued through appropriate planning procedures.⁹
- 2.12 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 11 August 2010 and a copy of the petition and related documents are available on the Committee’s website.

Petition No 64—Residents at Condicote Loop Butler

- 2.13 This petition was tabled by Hon Michael Mischin MLC and contained 28 signatures. The petitioners complain about antisocial behaviour by tenants of a residential property and the unsatisfactory response by the Department for Housing (Department) to complaints from neighbours.¹⁰
- 2.14 A response to the petition from the then Minister for Housing, Hon Bill Marmion MLA, explained that the Department’s *Disruptive Behaviour Management Strategy*

⁵ Tabled Paper No 1780, 4 March 2010.

⁶ Letter from C J Dawson, Deputy Commissioner of Police, 13 July 2010, p1.

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Tabled Paper No 1875, 30 March 2010.

⁹ Letter from Mr Len Kosova, A/Chief Executive Officer, City of Wanneroo, 14 July 2010.

¹⁰ Tabled Paper No 1925, 20 April 2010.

(Strategy) contained a number of initiatives designed to address antisocial behaviour. Implementation of the Strategy had commenced and would continue into 2011.¹¹

- 2.15 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 15 September 2010 and public documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 65—Water Equality in Esperance

- 2.16 This petition was tabled by Hon Wendy Duncan MLC and contained 871 signatures. The petitioners are concerned about the poor quality of potable water in Esperance and they support construction of a desalination plant.¹²

- 2.17 A response to the issues raised in the petition by the then Minister for Water, Hon Dr Graham Jacobs MLA, referred the Committee to the Economic Regulation Authority's (ERA) 2005 report, *Inquiry on the Cost of Supplying Bulk Potable Water to Kalgoorlie-Boulder*¹³ which concluded that a desalination plant at Esperance was not a viable option.¹⁴

- 2.18 In relation to water hardness, the Minister advised that:

*A feasibility study identified the preferred treatment technology to reduce the hardness in the water supply recommended membrane softening treatment. This technology would cost up to \$20 million to construct and integrate and is provisionally planned for 2018/2019. However, this will be reviewed periodically pending capital funding constraints on the aesthetics of water program.*¹⁵

- 2.19 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 24 November 2010 and copies of submissions and the response from the Minister for Water are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 67—Closure of all Waters including Oyster Harbour to Professional Fisherman

- 2.20 The petition was tabled by Hon Colin Holt MLC and contained 1,113 signatures. The petitioners sought to keep Oyster Harbour open to professional fishermen.¹⁶

- 2.21 A response from Hon Norman Moore MLC, Minister for Fisheries, explained that the management arrangements for the 29 estuaries on the South Coast include “*spatial and temporal closures, which are designed to manage fishing effort and limit the interaction between commercial and recreational fishers.*”¹⁷ The Minister advised

¹¹ Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Housing, 30 August 2011, p1.

¹² Tabled Paper No 1974, 4 May 2010.

¹³ Available at http://www.erawa.com.au/2/120/46/kalgoorlie_bulk.pm.

¹⁴ Letter from Hon Dr Graham Jacobs MLA, Minister for Water, 26 August 2010, p2.

¹⁵ Ibid, p1.

¹⁶ Tabled Paper No 2003, 5 May 2010.

¹⁷ Letter from Hon Norman Moore MLC, Minister for Fisheries, 5 August 2010, p1.

that the Department of Fisheries continues to monitor the present arrangements and respond to issues as they arise.¹⁸

- 2.22 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 11 August 2010. Submissions and the response from the Minister for Fisheries are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 69—Protection of Donovan Street Bushland Augusta

- 2.23 This petition was tabled by Hon Nigel Hallett MLC and contained 645 signatures. The objective of the petition was to permanently protect the Donovan Street bushland from future development.¹⁹
- 2.24 The Minister for Planning, Hon John Day MLA, informed the Committee that the Donovan Street bushland would be reserved as 'Parks and Recreation' under the Augusta-Margaret River Local Planning Scheme.²⁰ In a subsequent letter, the Minister advised that the land would be vested as a conservation park to be managed by the Conservation Commission.²¹
- 2.25 Documents relating to the petition, including responses from the Minister for Planning, are available on the Committee's website. The Committee concluded its inquiries on 15 September 2010.

Petition No 70—Inadequate Mobile Telephone Coverage in the Eyre Region

- 2.26 The petition was tabled by Hon Wendy Duncan MLC and contained 386 signatures. The petition expressed concern about inadequate mobile telephone coverage in the Eyre region.²²
- 2.27 A submission was not received from the principal petitioner and the Committee finalised the petition on 18 August 2010. The principal petitioner was informed by the Committee that \$120 million had been allocated in the State budget for regional communications through the Royalties for Regions initiative.
- 2.28 A copy of the petition and the submission from the tabling Member are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 73—Rezoning of Underground Water Pollution Control Area in Pinjar South

- 2.29 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 501 signatures.²³ The petitioners oppose the recommended rezoning of the Priority One Underground Water Pollution Control Area boundary in Pinjar South in the Gnamangara Sustainability Strategy (GSS) and express concern about the possible contamination of groundwater if such a change were to occur.

¹⁸ Letter from Hon Norman Moore MLC, Minister for Fisheries, 5 August 2010, pp1-2.

¹⁹ Tabled Paper No 2047, 20 May 2010.

²⁰ Letter from Hon John Day MLA, Minister for Planning, 4 August 2010.

²¹ Letter from Hon John Day MLA, Minister for Planning, 8 September 2010, p1.

²² Tabled Paper No 2049, 20 May 2010.

²³ Tabled Paper No 2075, 26 May 2010.

- 2.30 The Committee requested responses from the Ministers for Indigenous Affairs, Planning, Water and Environment and copies of those letters are available on the Committee's website. The advice received was that a number of technical investigations were required before a final decision was made in relation to the recommendations of the GSS. Planning, environmental and other assessment processes would provide further opportunities for public consultation prior to implementation of land use changes.
- 2.31 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 October 2010 and documents relating to the petition, including Government responses, are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 75—Endorsement of Community Psychology and Health Psychology as Specialist Practices

- 2.32 This petition, containing 55 signatures, was tabled by Hon Alison Xamon MLC and expressed dissatisfaction with the decision of the Australian Health Workforce Ministerial Council not to endorse Community Psychology and Health Psychology as Specialist Practices under the National Registration and Accreditation Scheme.²⁴
- 2.33 Responses from the Hon Dr Kim Hames MLA, Minister for Health, and Hon John Hill, Chair of the Australian Health Workforce Ministerial Council, advised the Committee that the issue had been referred to the Health Workforce Principal Committee which is developing a framework to evaluate requests for endorsements.
- 2.34 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 24 November 2010 and public documents in relation to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 76—Rezoning of Special Rural Land Mariginiup

- 2.35 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 467 signatures.²⁵ The petition opposes the Gnamagara Sustainability Strategy's (GSS) recommendations in relation to Zone Two, affecting Lake Mariginiup and Lake Gnamagara sub-areas. The petitioners are concerned about possible contamination of groundwater and the impact on indigenous sites and the environment.
- 2.36 The Committee requested responses from the Ministers for Indigenous Affairs, Planning, Water and Environment and copies of those letters are available on the Committee's website. The advice received was that a number of technical investigations were required before a final decision is made in relation to the recommendations of the GSS. Planning, environmental and other assessment processes would provide further opportunities for public consultation prior to implementation of land use changes.
- 2.37 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 October 2010.

²⁴ Tabled Paper No 2133, 17 June 2010.

²⁵ Tabled Paper No 2079, 27 May 2010.

Petition No 78—Better Bus Services

- 2.38 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 49 signatures.²⁶ The petition seeks better bus services throughout Perth suburbs. It is asserted that the suburbs of Ashby, Tapping, Sinagra, Carramar and Banksia Grove do not receive an adequate bus service.²⁷
- 2.39 A response from the Minister for Transport, Hon Simon O'Brien MLC, acknowledged that a number of new and fast-growing suburbs needed improved bus services and the suburbs identified in the petition are included in Transperth's Service Development Plan. Since funding had not yet been approved, the implementation date of the improved services could not be confirmed.²⁸ The Minister also advised that a 20 year plan for Perth's public transport network was currently in development.²⁹ The Minister's letter and other documents relating to the petition are available on the Committee's website.
- 2.40 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 24 November 2010.

Petition No 79—Proposed Chlorination of Busselton's Water Supply

- 2.41 This petition was tabled by Hon Adele Farina MLC and contained 5,352 signatures.³⁰ The petitioners are opposed to the decision by the Busselton Water Board to implement chlorination of Busselton's water supply.
- 2.42 Responses to the petition were obtained from the Minister for Health, the Minister for Water and Busselton Water. The Minister for Water explained that:
- As Busselton has grown, the drinking water distribution system has become more complex, and the risk of a barrier breach and contamination has also increased.*³¹
- 2.43 The Minister for Health explained that the ongoing isolation of Naegleria species throughout the distribution system "*demonstrates the vulnerability of the community's drinking water distribution system to contamination and microbiological colonization after UV treatment.*"³²
- 2.44 Introduction of a residual biocide is necessary to "*protect public health*" and "*chlorination is simple, effective and the most commonly used water disinfection system used by the drinking water industry around the world.*"³³

²⁶ Another copy of the petition contained a further 48 signatures.

²⁷ Tabled Paper No 2161, 22 June 2010.

²⁸ Letter from Hon Simon O'Brien MLC, Minister for Transport, 5 November 2010.

²⁹ Ibid.

³⁰ Tabled Paper No 2162, 22 June 2010.

³¹ Letter from Hon Dr Kim Hames MLA, Minister for Health, 1 November 2010, p1.

³² Ibid.

³³ Ibid, p2.

2.45 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 17 November 2010 and copies of documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 80—Tier 3 Narrow Gauge Rail Lines in the Wheatbelt

2.46 This petition was tabled by Hon Matt Benson-Lidholm MLC and contained 50 signatures. The petition opposes a negative finding by the Strategic Grain Network Review Committee in relation to the continued utilization of Tier 3 rail lines.³⁴ Tier 3 lines are relied upon by many grain growers to transport grain to port.³⁵

2.47 In response to the Committee's inquiries, the Minister for Transport advised that:

*The Government has announced a comprehensive grain freight network package that will provide up to \$352 million for the rail and road network transporting grain from bins to port. The road investment contribution of this package is \$104.3 million of which approximately half is for upgrades on local government roads.*³⁶

2.48 Documents related to the petition, including responses from the Minister for Transport, are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 85—Installation of Fixed Speed Cameras on the Great Northern Highway, Bindoon

2.49 This petition, which contained 48 signatures and was tabled by Hon Mia Davies MLC, raised the issue of cars and trucks failing to observe the speed limit when entering the town of Bindoon. The nearest police station is over 20 kilometres away and the petitioners believe that the installation of fixed speed cameras will "help to protect the safety of residents and visitors".³⁷

2.50 A submission was not received from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalised on 18 August 2010. A submission from the tabling Member, Hon Mia Davies MLC, is available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 87—Location of a Department of Child Protection Facility without Consultation

2.51 This petition contained 73 signatures and was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC. The petitioners complained that the Department of Child Protection failed to consult with local residents regarding the establishment of a child protection facility in the suburb of Darch.³⁸

2.52 The response to the petitioners' concerns by the Minister for Child Protection explained that the Department for Child Protection (Department) and

³⁴ Tabled Paper No 2174, 23 June 2010. Additional copies of the petition contained a further 580 signatures.

³⁵ Submission from K and J Fuchsichler, 23 July 2010, p1.

³⁶ Letter from Hon Simon O'Brien MLC, Minister for Transport, 6 December 2010.

³⁷ Tabled Paper No 2236, 30 June 2010.

³⁸ Tabled Paper No 2246, 1 July 2010. Another copy of the petition contained a further 211 signatures.

UnitingCareWest (who will manage the family group home) contacted residents and provided information about the intended use of the property following acceptance of the Department's offer to purchase the property. The managers of the property have also agreed to establish a 24-hour telephone contact service for local residents.³⁹

- 2.53 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 October 2010 and copies of documents relating to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 88—Installation of a Zebra Crossing on the Great Northern Highway, Bindoon

- 2.54 This petition was tabled by Hon Philip Gardiner MLC and contained 57 signatures. The petitioners express concern about cars failing to reduce their speed on the section of the Great Northern Highway which runs through the Bindoon town site and they request the installation of a zebra crossing.⁴⁰
- 2.55 A submission was not received from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalized on 15 September 2010.

Petition No 89—Removal of Year 11 and 12 Students from 21 District High Schools

- 2.56 The petition, which opposes the removal of Years 11 and 12 students from 21 district high schools throughout Western Australia, was tabled by Hon Matt Benson-Lidholm MLC and contained 40 signatures.⁴¹
- 2.57 Given that an inquiry on this issue was conducted by the Standing Committee on Estimates and Financial Operations (which recommended that the senior school allocation be reinstated), the Committee did not conduct further inquiries into this issue.⁴²
- 2.58 The petition was finalized on 11 August 2010.

Petition No 90—Closure of ACTIV Business Service Centre in Busselton

- 2.59 This petition opposed the proposed closure of the ACTIV Business Service Centre in Busselton. It was tabled by Hon Adele Farina MLC and contained 1,576 signatures.⁴³
- 2.60 The response to the petition by the Minister for Disability Services outlined the action the Government and supporters of the organisation had taken in order to encourage the continued operation of the ACTIV Business Service Centre. As a consequence, ACTIV management postponed the closure of the centre for six months, with the long

³⁹ Letter from Hon Robyn McSweeney MLC, Minister for Child Protection, 16 September 2010, pp1-2.

⁴⁰ Tabled Paper No 2237, 30 June 2010.

⁴¹ Tabled Paper No 2340, 12 August 2010.

⁴² See Parliament of Western Australia, Legislative Council, Estimates and Financial Operations Committee, Report 27, *The Removal of Senior School Allocation Funding for Year 11 and 12 Courses at 21 District High Schools*, 1 July 2010.

⁴³ Tabled Paper No 2334, 11 August 2010.

term viability of the service dependent upon the continuation of work contracts and funding from the Commonwealth.⁴⁴

- 2.61 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 October 2010 and documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 91—Dampier Primary School: Year 7 Children Relocating to High School

- 2.62 This petition was tabled by Hon Colin Holt MLC (on behalf of Hon Wendy Duncan MLC) and contained 273 signatures. The petitioners oppose relocating Year 7 Dampier Primary School students to high school.⁴⁵

- 2.63 The response from the Minister for Education advised that no decision had been made to transfer Year 7 Dampier school children to secondary school:

*The current policy is that the provision of public schooling for Year 7 students remains in primary settings with the exception of those Year 7 students that attend: Atwell College; Ballajura Community College; Dalyellup College; Ellenbrook Secondary College; Gilmore College; and Kinross College. ... I have asked the Department of Education to examine whether the existing policy is in the best educational and personal interests of all Year 7 students.*⁴⁶

- 2.64 The Minister also advised that:

*Dampier Primary School will not be closed if a decision was taken to transfer the provision of Year 7 students to their local high school. It is unlikely that other primary schools will close if a decision was taken to transfer the provision of Year 7 students to a secondary setting.*⁴⁷

- 2.65 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 17 November 2010 and documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

⁴⁴ Letter from Hon Simon O'Brien MLC, Minister for Disability Services, 1 October 2010, pp1-4.

⁴⁵ Tabled Paper No 2341, 12 August 2010.

⁴⁶ Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 18 October 2010, p1.

⁴⁷ Ibid.

CHAPTER 3

PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2011 – 30 JUNE 2011

PETITIONS FINALISED BETWEEN 1 JANUARY 2011 – 30 JUNE 2011

- 3.1 The Committee concluded its inquiries on the following petitions between 1 July 2010 and 31 December 2010.

Petition No 50 - Shack Site Communities

- 3.2 This petition was tabled by Hon Liz Behjat MLC and contained 1,932 signatures.⁴⁸ The petition was subject to an inquiry by the Committee and *Shack Sites in Western Australia* report (Report 21) is available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 68 - Air Conditioning in State Government Schools

- 3.3 This petition, tabled on 6 May 2010 by Hon Linda Savage MLC and containing 138 signatures, called for the provision of air conditioning in all state government schools in Western Australia.⁴⁹
- 3.4 Submissions received by the Committee questioned the adequacy of the Department of Education's (Department) air cooling policy which creates an 'air cooling zone' based on meteorological data.
- 3.5 The Minister for Education, Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, informed the Committee that she had asked the Department to review the air cooling policy and she expected the matter to be considered in the Budget process.⁵⁰ In March 2011 the Minister advised the Committee that the Department's review of the air-cooling policy had been completed and was before Cabinet.⁵¹
- 3.6 The Committee concluded its inquiries into this matter on 23 March 2011 and the Minister subsequently confirmed that the Department's review of the air-cooling policy recommended a partial extension to the existing air cooling zone. In addition,
*the Government has decided to air-cool the entire government school system in two stages commencing in the current financial year.*⁵²
- 3.7 Copies of documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

⁴⁸ Tabled Paper No 1499, 10 November 2009.

⁴⁹ Tabled Paper No 2007, 6 May 2010

⁵⁰ Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 27 August 2010.

⁵¹ Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 4 March 2011.

⁵² Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 21 July 2011.

Petition No 77 - Infill Sewerage Program in Quinns Rocks

- 3.8 This petition was tabled on 22 June 2010 by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 409 signatures.⁵³ The petitioners sought an extension of the infill sewerage programme to the older areas of Quinns Rocks.
- 3.9 In a letter to the Committee dated 15 February 2011, the Minister for Water, Hon Bill Marmion MLA, advised that “*all remaining unsewered areas of Quinns Rocks would be provided with infill services over the next two years.*”⁵⁴
- 3.10 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 23 March 2011.

Petitions No 82 and 83 - Live Animal Trade

- 3.11 These petitions were tabled on 23 June 2010 and contained 3,667 signatures. The petitions outline a number of concerns about aspects of the live animal trade including:
- animals suffer during transport to the Middle East;
 - animals are slaughtered in a cruel manner that would be illegal in Australia;
 - the inadequate policing of road transportation and loading regulations; and
 - the live animal trade undermines the processed meat trade.⁵⁵
- 3.12 The Committee obtained written responses to the petitioners’ concerns from the Minister for Local Government and the Minister for Agriculture and Food. The Committee also conducted a hearing with Dr Jennifer Hood, Manager, Animal Welfare Branch of the Department of Local Government. A copy of the transcript of evidence and other documents in relation to these petitions are available on the Committee’s website.
- 3.13 The Committee recognised that some of the concerns outlined in the petition related to matters within the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth rather than the State. The livestock export industry operates under the authority of the Commonwealth Government and it is the Commonwealth that is responsible for licensing exporters and compliance with the *Australian Standards for the Export of Livestock*.
- 3.14 The Committee concluded that the question of the adequacy of resources for enforcing the *Animal Welfare Act 2002* (State legislation that provides penalties for animal cruelty in Western Australia) was the pivotal issue that fell within the State’s jurisdiction. Consequently, given that a related petition (Petition No 101) which raised the issue of funding for the Animal Welfare Branch was still under consideration by the Committee, Petitions 82 and 83 were finalized on 23 March 2011.

⁵³ Tabled Paper No 2160, 22 June 2010.

⁵⁴ Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Water, 15 February 2011, p1.

⁵⁵ Tabled Paper No 2178, 23 June 2010 and Tabled Paper No 2177, 23 June 2010.

- 3.15 Hon Lynn MacLaren MLC opposed the Committee decision to conclude its inquiries. She was of the view that there was little evidence presented of compliance or enforcement of the industry standards. Evidence of the state of exported Australian animals at their destination broadcast on television news programs countered industry claims. While industry bodies (Pastoralist and Graziers Association, WA Farmers Federation) were invited by the Committee to comment on the petitioner's submission, the petitioner was not given an opportunity to respond to their claims. The dissenting Member considered that the petition warranted further investigation.

Petition No 84 - Closure of Muresk Campus

- 3.16 This petition, which expressed concern about the closure of Muresk Agricultural College and the diminution of tertiary level agricultural courses, was tabled by Hon Philip Gardiner MLC and contained 201 signatures.⁵⁶
- 3.17 A response to the petition from the Minister for Education advised that a review of higher education in agriculture had been conducted by Hon Hendy Cowan. According to the Minister, although the Government was still finalising long term arrangements, "*the future of Muresk as an agricultural education institution is secure*"⁵⁷ and the CY O'Connor Institute would "*commence certificate level courses in agriculture and related studies at the Muresk campus from the beginning of 2011.*"⁵⁸
- 3.18 Further correspondence from the Minister informed the Committee that this issue was still being considered by the Government:

*The future of Muresk is being considered carefully by the Premier, the Minister for Training and Workforce Development and myself. The range of issues impinging on the future of the Muresk campus are complex and need to be fully considered to ensure that the Government's decision will lead to a sustainable future for Muresk. The Government's decision will be announced when all the relevant issues have been resolved.*⁵⁹

- 3.19 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 18 May 2011 and copies of submissions and correspondence are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 86 - Preserving Part of Bunbury's Timber Jetty

- 3.20 This petition was tabled by Hon Adele Farina MLC and contained 407 signatures.⁶⁰ The petitioners seek State Government funding for the part demolition and rebuild of the Bunbury timber jetty.

⁵⁶ Tabled Paper No 2182, dated 24 June 2010.

⁵⁷ Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 29 October 2010, p2.

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ Letter from Hon Dr Elizabeth Constable MLA, Minister for Education, 12 May 2011.

⁶⁰ Tabled Paper No 2235, 30 June 2010.

- 3.21 The Committee requested a response to the petition from the Minister for Local Government and the Minister for Regional Development. The Minister for Regional Development explained that in October 2009 the State Government committed \$3.5 million to the demolition and part restoration of the jetty and the funding would be

available immediately to the City upon confirmation of the City's matching funds and the preparation of an ongoing business case for the maintenance of any restored portion.

To date, the City has not sought the State's funding portion nor has it provided a business case for the ongoing maintenance of any restored portion as such the state takes no responsibility for cost escalation as a result of the City's inaction.⁶¹

- 3.22 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 16 February 2011. Documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 92 - Kite-Surfing on Mullaloo Beach

- 3.23 This petition opposed a proposal by the City of Joondalup (City) to have a designated kite-surfing area on Mullaloo Beach. The petition contained 68 signatures and was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC.⁶²

- 3.24 The Committee requested responses to the petition from the City and the Minister for Transport. The City explained that:

the City is not of the view that kitesurfing should be banned to the detriment of the growth of the sport and the tourism value it contributes to the City. With effective management, education and enforcement, kitesurfing is able to be undertaken with limited impact on or restriction over other beach activities.⁶³

- 3.25 The City's response also outlined the consultation process that had occurred prior to the decision to allow a designated kite surfing area.

- 3.26 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 16 February 2011 and documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 93 - Pinjar South Inclusion as a Priority Area in the Industrial Land Strategy 2009

- 3.27 This petition, which opposed the inclusion of Pinjar South as a priority industrial site in the Industrial Land Strategy 2009, was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 4,518 signatures.⁶⁴

⁶¹ Letter from Hon Brendon Grylls MLA, Minister for Regional Development, 10 September 2010, p2.

⁶² Tabled paper No 2352, dated 17 August 2010.

⁶³ Letter from Mr Garry Hunt, Chief Executive Officer, City of Joondalup, 12 January 2011, p5.

⁶⁴ Tabled Paper No 2406, dated 9 September 2010. Another copy of the petition contained a further 696 signatures.

3.28 The principal petitioner's submission pointed out that Pinjar South is situated within the Priority One Resource Drinking Water of the Gnangara Mound and that the development of industry on the site would pose an unacceptable risk of contamination to this water source.⁶⁵

3.29 The Committee obtained responses from the Minister for Environment; Water and the Minister for Planning. The Minister for Planning explained that:

*The concerns expressed in the submission are relevant. It is the position of State Government that further study needs to be undertaken prior to the potential for development on the Pinjar South site being assessed as feasible.*⁶⁶

3.30 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 16 February 2011 and copies of documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 94 - Water Use in Karratha

3.31 This petition expressed opposition to the use of drinking water for dust suppression in the West Pilbara. The petition was tabled by Hon Kate Doust MLC on 15 September 2011 and contained 164 signatures.

3.32 The Committee obtained responses from the Minister for Mines and the Minister for Environment and Water. The Minister for Water explained that:

Rapid growth in mining has meant increased demand on the West Pilbara water supply scheme. Water availability for the coastal towns of the Pilbara is problematic. Water supply sources rely on cyclones which vary from year to year, and there may be many consecutive years without rain followed by several wet years.

*Developing and securing water supplies in the Pilbara was identified as a priority in the Department of Water's (DoW) Pilbara Regional Water Plan (2008) and has since been progressed as a State priority by the Government.*⁶⁷

3.33 Approximately 35 per cent of water used in the West Pilbara water supply scheme was for dust suppression at ports:

*Although undesirable, the use of potable water by the construction industry is short term and necessary to support growth in the Pilbara.*⁶⁸

3.34 The Minister informed the Committee that Bungaroo Creek was being investigated as an additional water source and that construction of a 6 GL/a desalination plant was

⁶⁵ Submission from Mrs Glynis Monks, principal petitioner, 7 October 2010, pp1-2.

⁶⁶ Letter from Hon John Day MLA, Minister for Planning, 24 December 2010, p2.

⁶⁷ Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Environment; Water, 14 March 2011, p1.

⁶⁸ Ibid.

about to commence.⁶⁹ The Committee concluded its inquiries on 23 March 2011 and copies of the Government's responses and other documents are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 95 – Appointment of the Independent Expert Panel on Uranium Regulations

3.35 This petition was tabled by Hon Robin Chapple MLC on 16 September 2011 and contained 17 signatures. The petitioners call for an inquiry into the transparency and independence of appointments to the expert panel on uranium regulations.

3.36 A response to the petition by the Minister for Mines and Petroleum explained that the 'panel' referred to in the petition was in fact a consultancy contract:

*The Department has decided in this instance to seek independent technical advice to assist in its assessment of new uranium proposals. The consultants have no regulatory role and are merely another source of technical advice to the Department.*⁷⁰

3.37 According to the Minister the contract for the provision of technical advice did not constitute a public inquiry into uranium mining and there was no requirement for public consultation. The contract for advice was

*in addition to the statutory processes for the assessment of any uranium mining proposal, and there are already public engagement processes under the Environmental Protection Act 1986.*⁷¹

3.38 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 April 2011.

Petition No 96 – Reduction of Boat Speed in the Swan and Canning Rivers

3.39 This petition was tabled by Hon Sally Talbot MLC on 23 September 2010.⁷² It contained 185 signatures.

3.40 The petition drew attention to riverbank erosion caused by waves from speeding boats and the petitioners call for a reduction of the maximum boat speed to five knots.

3.41 A response from the Minister for Transport, Hon Troy Buswell MLA, informed the Committee that:

*the maximum boat speed for motorized vessels operating on the Swan River upstream of the Belmont Water Ski Area was reduced from eight knots to five knots on 30 November 2010.*⁷³

3.42 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 16 February 2011. Documents relating to the petition are available on the Committee's website.

⁶⁹ Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Environment; Water, 14 March 2011, p2.

⁷⁰ Letter from Hon Norman Moore MLC, Minister for Mines and Petroleum, 21 March 2011, p1.

⁷¹ Ibid, p4.

⁷² Tabled Paper No 2570, 23 September 2010.

⁷³ Letter from Hon Troy Buswell MLA, Minister for Transport, 25 January 2011.

Petition No 98 – Establishment of a Mental Impairment Intervention Program

- 3.43 This petition, which contained 35 signatures, was tabled by Hon Alison Xamon MLC on 13 October 2010.⁷⁴
- 3.44 The petition urged the Attorney General to adopt certain recommendations of the Law Reform Commission of Western Australia, including the establishment of a mental impairment court intervention program in metropolitan courts, programs in regional areas and the expansion of the Intellectual Disability Diversion Program.
- 3.45 The Committee obtained responses to the petition from the Attorney General and the Minister for Mental Health. The Attorney General confirmed that a Mental Impairment Court Intervention Program would be established at the Perth Magistrates Court.⁷⁵ The Committee concluded its inquiries on 23 March 2011 and documents related to the petition are available on the Committee’s website.

Petition No 99 – Industrial LNG Hub at James Price Point

- 3.46 This petition was tabled on 9 November 2010 by Hon Robin Chapple MLC and contained 12 signatures.⁷⁶ The petition opposed the development of an LNG hub at James Price Point and expressed dissatisfaction with the public consultation process.
- 3.47 The tabling Member, Hon Robin Chapple MLC, provided a submission which argued that members of the community who oppose an LNG hub at James Price Point “*have not been adequately listened to or properly represented at the local, State or Federal lever of Government.*”⁷⁷ The tabling Member asserted that:

*Rather than being consulted, they have been subjected to Government and industry presentations, without adequate opportunity to feedback their views. Contrary to the Agreement, there has been no independent examination of the impacts of the proposal: on the local economy, community, culture, heritage, environment or lifestyle values.*⁷⁸

- 3.48 In addition to receiving detailed responses from the Premier and the Minister for the Environment, the Committee reviewed a number of documents and reports in relation to the site selection process for the LNG Hub. The Premier’s response noted that

the petition and the subsequent submission of the principal petitioner were submitted ahead of the public release for comment of the Browse LNG Precinct Strategic Assessment Report (SAR). The public review process satisfies the requirements of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (State) and the Environment Protection and Biodiversity

⁷⁴ Tabled Paper No 2716, 13 October 2010. Another copy of the petition contained a further 39 signatures.

⁷⁵ Letter from Hon Christian Porter MLA, Attorney General, 4 January 2011, p1.

⁷⁶ Tabled Paper No 2795, 9 November 2010. Another copy of the petition contained a further 85 signatures.

⁷⁷ Submission from Hon Robin Chapple MLC, 10 November 2010, p2.

⁷⁸ Ibid.

*Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth). The SAR and its appendices contain a comprehensive description of the consultation which has been undertaken to date.*⁷⁹

- 3.49 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 April 2011. Documents related to the petition, including the Government's response, are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 100 – Transperth Changes to Bus Route No 19 Yokine

- 3.50 This petition was tabled on 17 November 2010 by Hon Ken Travers MLC and contained 59 signatures.⁸⁰ The petitioners oppose changes to a bus route and are dissatisfied with a lack of community consultation about the changes. The petitioners assert that the changes will force some elderly residents to walk longer distances to use public transport.
- 3.51 A response to the petition from the Minister for Transport, Hon Troy Buswell MLA, advised the following:

*Community consultation is taken very seriously by Transperth, however the degree of consultation undertaken depends on the likely impact of the change. As you may appreciate, Transperth makes many changes to its bus services, large and small, almost every week. These changes are only implemented when it is firmly understood that the change will provide an overall benefit to the community. Prior to making the change to the Route 19 bus service, Transperth examined passenger usage at all stops that were to be removed and also pedestrian access to the revised bus services. As a result, the new bus route featured the installation of additional bus stops in Blythe Avenue to assist patrons to access the service. It is Transperth's aim to provide a bus route to within 400 metres of 90% of residents living in Perth's built up areas and this criterion is met for the residents of the Raymond Street loop.*⁸¹

- 3.52 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 18 May 2011.

Petition No 101 – Funding for the Animal Welfare Unit Inspectorate

- 3.53 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC on 24 November 2010 and contained 20 signatures.⁸² The petition asserted that due to inadequate staffing at the Animal Welfare Unit Inspectorate, the *Animal Welfare Act 2002* was not being enforced. The petitioners called for funding to employ six full-time General Inspectors to ensure that livestock animals involved in live export, transportation, saleyards, knackeries or abattoirs are treated humanely.

⁷⁹ Letter from Hon Colin Barnett MLA, Premier; Minister for State Development, 17 March 2011, p1.

⁸⁰ Tabled Paper No 2827, 17 November 2010.

⁸¹ Letter from Hon Troy Buswell MLA, Minister for Transport, 11 April 2011, p1.

⁸² Tabled Paper No 2871, 24 November 2010.

3.54 The Committee obtained information from the Minister for Local Government regarding this issue and copies of the Minister's correspondence are available on the Committee's website. The release of the 2011-2012 Western Australian State Budget revealed that administration of the *Animal Welfare Act 2002* would be transferred to the Department of Agriculture and Food, with significantly increased resources. The additional resources include the appointment of six additional full-time inspectors and a doubling of annual funding to the RSPCA to \$500,000.⁸³

3.55 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 25 May 2011.

Petition No 102 – Canning Bridge Precinct Vision

3.56 This petition was tabled by Hon Phil Edman MLC on 24 November 2010 and contained 145 signatures. The petition opposed the draft Canning Bridge Precinct Vision.

3.57 A submission was not received from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalised on 16 February 2011.

Petition No 103 – Kununurra Heavy Vehicle Route and Bridge over Ord River

3.58 This petition was tabled by Hon Helen Bullock MLC on 25 November 2010 and contained 47 signatures.⁸⁴ The petitioners opposed the proposed alignment for the new Kununurra Heavy Vehicle Route and the site of the new bridge.

3.59 According to a submission from Hon Tom Stephens MLA, some residents considered that there had been inadequate community consultation about the proposed route.⁸⁵

3.60 A detailed response to the petition was received from the Minister for Transport, Hon Troy Buswell MLA, which acknowledged that a number of residents of Riverfarm Road had expressed dissatisfaction with the proposed alignment of the Kununurra Heavy Vehicle Route and bridge over the Ord River.⁸⁶ The Minister pointed out that "*significant community and stakeholder input*" had been provided over a number of years and most of the feedback had been supportive of the current alignment."⁸⁷

3.61 According to the Minister, the proposed alignment "*best meets the community's needs and project's objectives*" and it

has the support of the Traditional Owners, the local government, the majority of the local community and key stakeholders. It is considered that environmental and social impacts have been

⁸³ Government of Western Australia, 2011-12 Budget, Budget Statements, Budget Paper No.2, Volume 2, 19 May 2011, pp773-775.

⁸⁴ Tabled Paper No 2882, 25 November 2010.

⁸⁵ Submission from Hon Tom Stephens MLA, Member for Pilbara, 16 December 2010, p2.

⁸⁶ Letter from Hon Troy Buswell MLA, Minister for Transport, 25 March 2011, p1.

⁸⁷ Ibid, p3.

*addressed and consequently, Main Roads has no plans to review or alter the proposed alignment.*⁸⁸

- 3.62 A copy of the Minister's letter and submissions in relation to the petition are available on the Committee's website. The Committee concluded its inquiries on 13 April 2011.

Petition No 104 – Water License for Karara Mining

- 3.63 This petition was tabled by Hon Mia Davies MLC on 25 November 2010 and contained 175 signatures. The petitioners oppose Karara Mining's application for a water license for 5.3gl of water, representing 86 per cent of allocable water in the Parmelia Leederville aquifer of the Mingenew Sub Region.⁸⁹

- 3.64 The Minister for Water advised the Committee that:

*The volume of water an applicant can apply for is not limited, as this type of restriction may affect the viability of a project and prevent development. There is no restriction on the amount of water that can be licensed to a single applicant in the RIWI Act and subsequent legislation or the local area management plans. The amount of water that KML will receive is still being determined as the assessment process has not been completed by the DoW.*⁹⁰

- 3.65 The Minister explained that the assessment process will include consideration of hydrogeological test pumping, modeling data and groundwater dependent ecosystems studies.⁹¹ A subsequent letter by the Minister to the Committee confirmed that:

*The issues listed on the petition and comments made by the community during the submission period will be considered in the assessment process.*⁹²

- 3.66 The Committee concluded its inquiries on 25 May 2011 and the petition documents are available on the Committee's website.

Petition No 105 – Payment of Sewerage Rates in Wyndham (New Town)

- 3.67 This petition, tabled by Hon Wendy Duncan MLC with 14 signatures, protests the requirement to pay full sewerage rates for the removal of grey water only. The residents of Wyndham (New Town) provide their own septic tanks and do not receive assistance from the Water Corporation for the pump-out of the tanks.⁹³

- 3.68 The Committee did not receive a submission from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalised on 16 February 2011.

⁸⁸ Letter from Hon Troy Buswell MLA, Minister for Transport, 25 March 2011, p4.

⁸⁹ Tabled Paper No 2883, 25 November 2010.

⁹⁰ Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Environment; Water, 23 March 2011, p1.

⁹¹ Ibid, p2.

⁹² Letter from Hon Bill Marmion MLA, Minister for Environment; Water, 16 May 2011, p1.

⁹³ Tabled Paper No 2893, 2 December 2010.

Petition No 106 – Transperth Service Contracts

- 3.69 This petition was tabled by Hon Ken Travers MLC on 2 December 2011 and contained four signatures. The petition requested an investigation into the process for awarding Transperth service contracts and the impact that changes in contracts have on drivers.⁹⁴
- 3.70 The Committee did not receive a submission from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalised on 16 February 2011.

Petition No 109 – Transportation of Detained Persons

- 3.71 The petition, tabled by Hon Giz Watson MLC on 5 April 2011, contained 1,225 signatures and opposed the policy of contracting out detainee transport to private companies.⁹⁵ Another copy of the petition contained a further 13,436 signatures.⁹⁶
- 3.72 The Committee did not receive a submission from the principal petitioner and the petition was finalised on 22 June 2011.

Petition No 110 – Building Disputes Tribunal

- 3.73 This petition, containing one signature, was tabled by Hon Michael Mischin MLC on 6 April 2011. The petitioner requested the immediate termination of the Building Disputes Tribunal.⁹⁷
- 3.74 The Committee did not receive a submission from the principal petitioner within the requested timeframe and the petition was finalised on 18 May 2011. The petitioner was advised that the *Building Services (Complaint Resolution and Administration) Bill 2010*, which establishes a new system for resolving building complaints, had been recently passed by the Parliament.

Petition No 111 – Cottesloe Local Planning Scheme 3

- 3.75 This petition was tabled on 5 April 2011 by Hon Giz Watson MLC and contained 13,436 signatures.⁹⁸ The petition supports the proposed Cottesloe Local Planning Scheme 3 which contains height limits on buildings on Marine Parade.
- 3.76 A submission by Mr John Hammond expressed the view that:

*The current State Government planning laws which allow special development zones to be declared on sites such as the Cottesloe Beach Hotel and Ocean Beach Hotel are both undemocratic and usurp the power of duly elected local governments such as the Town of Cottesloe.*⁹⁹

⁹⁴ Tabled Paper No 2900, 2 December 2010.

⁹⁵ Tabled Paper No 3200, 5 April 2011.

⁹⁶ Tabled Paper No 3201, 5 April 2011.

⁹⁷ Tabled Paper No 3208, 6 April 2011.

⁹⁸ Tabled Paper No 3201, 5 April 2011.

⁹⁹ Submission from Mr John Hammond, 3 May 2011, p2.

- 3.77 During its consideration of the petition, the Committee took into account provisions of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* which provides that the Minister for Planning may require a local government to modify a planning scheme. In this case, the Minister had requested certain modifications be made to the Cottesloe Local Planning Scheme No 3 and for those modifications to be advertised for public comment. Given that statutory planning processes in relation to the Scheme were continuing, the Committee resolved not to undertake further inquiries in relation to this issue on 18 May 2011.
- 3.78 Documents related to the petition are available on the Committee's website.



Hon Brian Ellis MLC
Chair
3 May 2012