

We, **Geoffrey Pearson**
and **Marina Hansen**
are the promoters of this petition which contains **3619** signatures

PETITION

To the President and Members of the Legislative Council of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned residents of Western Australia, respectfully oppose the decision of the Metro Central Joint Development Assessment Panel (JDAP) of 10 March 2014 to approve the planning application for the site at 94 Kitchener Road, Alfred Cove, as we consider that it was seriously flawed. In particular, we oppose the fact that subjective opinions about building design coupled with the use of discretionary powers, both of which were used extensively in this decision, should be allowed to form the basis for approving gross variations to, and thereby completely negating the relevance of, all objective planning requirements, both in the Residential Design Codes and the Melville City Community Planning Scheme No. 5. We respectfully submit that this decision demonstrably allows for a high-density development to be built on a medium-density site in a low-density suburb, and in no way reflects "the existing or future desired built form of the locality", as is required under R-Code Objective 6.1 Context.

Your petitioners therefore respectfully request that the Legislative Council will instigate an immediate investigation into the circumstances of this decision, requiring a full and public disclosure of the arguments justifying the JDAP decision to allow such a development to be built in such a locality, and to seek the decision's reversal on the grounds that basic planning requirements of the locality have not been appropriately observed.

We, your petitioners, also respectfully request that, in order to prevent any other non-compliant proposals for this site again being approved in future, the Legislative Council will instigate a full, independent and transparent enquiry into the proper functioning and regulation of the JDAP process in general, with a special focus on ensuring a restrained use of discretionary powers to approve gross planning requirement variations.

And your petitioners, as in duty bound, will ever pray.

NAME (Block Caps)	ADDRESS	Signature
		<i>1/11/14</i>