

Standing Committee on Environment and Public Affairs

Ref: petition no. 78

I have not contacted the Ombudsman

I did report to the Governor via the Official Secretary, & some Members of The Legislative Council

1. owners who have prepared their land , as farmland, & leased to 3rd parties for qualified primary production(as defined in "business") –are excluded.
- this would also avoid describing & complying with all the following family & partnership requirements

2. there has been a confusing attempt to describe these.

For instance – 1 block of our Carlotta Farm –lot 11 Wesco rd. Nowergup
Zoned Rural "Resource"

3 owner partnership -1 unit trust of 2 unrelated families
-2 family SMSF s – unrelated genetically
but each with 4 inter-related own trustees

3. Commissioner's Discretion would adjudicate over the leaden & concrete interpretations of his staff of the Act & amendments
& perhaps avoid documentation ,fees, & legal fees for SAT tribunal or District Court

4.Land , obviously used rurally, should have a designated " deemed rural" valuation
rather than influence of resource – sand & limestone, proximity to freeway, nebulous N-W corridor plan, & inclusion in valuation of other family investments with , therefore higher assessment rates.

5." EVERY"- makes it difficult for a blue collar farmer to navigate 30G 30H 30D 2
for instance lot 12 Gibbs rd Nowergup
owner family company
only 2 of the 5 family shareholders have
50% of the "user "shareholding of Nowergup Poultry
which uses 20/150 of the land- so exemption should be 10/150
but will be refused by "not every"

Yours sincerely ,
Dr Isaac Raiter