

I certify that this Form is correct  
Standing Order  
CLERK OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

I, John Vukovich of  
promoter of this petition which contains 1 signatures.

in the State of Western Australia, at the  
*John Vukovich*

**PETITION FOR REPEAL OF THE FLUORIDATION OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIES ACT 1966**

To the President and Members of the Legislative Council of the Parliament of Western Australia in Parliament assembled.

We, the undersigned, say:

1. By virtue of s. 109 of the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act*, ss. 9, 10 and further provisions of the *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1966* are invalid by reason of inconsistency with the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989*.
2. Notwithstanding any direction to add fluorine to a public water supply in Western Australia purportedly granted or purportedly made pursuant to the *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1966*, it is not lawful for any person to add fluorine to such a water supply because fluoridated water is "therapeutic goods" but not "registered goods" for the purposes of the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989*.
3. The *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1966* does not provide for protection of health but in fact provides for impairment of health.
4. Fluoridation of public water supplies does not protect dental health. Notwithstanding NHMRC's false claims to the contrary -
  - (a) fluoridation of public water supplies does not reduce the incidence of dental caries when compared with no population-level fluoridation treatment; and
  - (b) fluoride is a proven neurotoxin and a proven nephrotoxin clearly associated with adverse health impacts when administered as fluoridated drinking water.
5. Having regard to item 4, each of the NHMRC and the CEO of NHMRC demonstrate lack of capacity or inefficiency, or engage in misbehaviour, by maintaining as current:
  - (a) a regulatory recommendation recommending fluoridation of public water supplies in Australia; and
  - (b) a guideline setting a tolerable upper intake level for fluoride in relation to small children at a level equal to double the corresponding tolerable upper intake level set by the US National Academy of Science.

Your petitioners therefore respectfully request the Legislative Council to recommend passage of a Bill to repeal the *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1966*, such Bill to include the following provision: "The object of this Act is to repeal the *Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Act 1966* and other legislation inconsistent with the *Therapeutic Goods Act 1989*".

And your petitioners as in duty bound, will ever pray.

NAME	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE