

**Hon Ken Baston MLC
Minister for Agriculture and Food; Fisheries**

Our ref: 47-04911

Hannah Moore
Committee Clerk
Estimates and Financial Operations Committee
Legislative Council
Parliament House
PERTH WA 6000

Dear Ms Moore

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD, WA – SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS**

Please find attached responses in regard to requests for supplementary information and additional questions provided by Committee members in regard to the Department of Agriculture and Food, WA arising from your Committee's hearing on 13 June 2014.

Yours sincerely

**KEN BASTON MLC
MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE AND FOOD**

4 JUL 2014

PUBLIC

**ESTIMATES AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

FRIDAY, 13 JUNE 2014

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
(DAFWA)**

Supplementary Information A1: Hon Lynn MacLaren asked –

Would you please table the MOU between DAFWA and the RSPCA

Answer: A copy of the MOU is provided as **Attachment 1**.

Supplementary Information A2: Hon Lynn MacLaren asked –

Could you please provide a list of the positions in the DAFWA 'Animal Welfare Unit', and the locations of those positions?

Answer: please see below table.

| FTE | Occupancy | Role | Location |
|-------------|------------------|--|--------------------|
| 0.5 | 0.5 | Program manager, auditor | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Veterinary officer - technical advice and policy | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Legislative and Policy | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Scientific licensing - assessment & administration | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Development officer - policy & program support | Metro |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | Principal Compliance officer | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Senior Inspector (regional - South West) | Albany |
| 1 | 1 | Senior Inspector (metro) | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Inspector (metro) | Metro |
| 0.8 | 0.8 | Inspector (metro) | Metro |
| 1 | vacant | Inspector (metro) | Metro |
| 1 | vacant | Inspector (metro) | Metro |
| 1 | 1 | Inspector (regional - South West) | Cranbrook/Kojonup |
| 0.2 | 0.2 | Inspector (regional - Southern Rangelands) | Kalgoorlie |
| 0.9 | 0.9 | Inspector (regional - South West) | Narrogin, Williams |
| 1 | vacant | Inspector (regional - Northern Rangelands) | Broome |
| 1 | vacant | Admin support | Metro |
| 14.9 | 10.9 | | |

Supplementary Information A3: Hon Ken Travers asked –

How many of the [DAFWA] FTEs have as their primary function the undertaking of inspections?

Answer: Eight point nine (8.9) of the DAFWA positions are designated as ‘Inspectors’. Of these, three (3.0) are presently vacant.

Supplementary Information A4: Hon Lynn MacLaren asked –

Would you please provide us with a list of DAFWA’s inspections and prosecutions under the Animal Welfare Act?

Answer:

A copy of the DAFWA Livestock Compliance Unit’s activity report for the 2012-13 financial year is provided as **Attachment 2**. This report distinguishes between inspections, responses and reports: all of which may be considered ‘inspections’ in the normal regulatory sense.

In 2012-13, the Unit continued/finalised two prosecutions under the Animal Welfare Act. Though reporting for the 2013-14 year is incomplete, one case has been prosecuted this year and a further four are with the State Solicitor’s Office.

Supplementary Information A5: Hon Darren West MLC asked–

(a) How much has been allocated in the 2014–15 to the enforcement of declared pests and

Answer:

It is estimated that in 2014-15 within the Invasive Program, approximately \$284,000 will be available for the enforcement of declared pest (declared plants and animals) management.

(b) Will this enforcement be conducted by the department staff or will it delegated to someone else?

Answer: This enforcement will be conducted by departmental staff.

Supplementary Information A6: Hon Paul Brown MLC asked –

You have got your 10 lines in the R for R, as Hon Stephen Dawson alluded to. How much is indicated to be spent in each one of those programs for this year, and the business cases being developed for those programs?

Answer:

The indicative budget for the ten DAFWA-led *Seizing the Opportunity - Agriculture* initiative projects for 2014/2015 is \$27.57M. This is tabulated below along with the indicative total budget for each project. These figures are proposed and are not yet approved by Cabinet.

| Project Title | Proposed budget 2014/15 \$M | Proposed 4-year budget \$M | total |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Agricultural Sciences Research and Development Fund | 4.00 | 20.00 | |
| Boosting Biosecurity Defences | 3.98 | 20.00 | |
| Food Industry Innovation | 1.00 | 5.75 | |
| Asia Market Development/Brand WA | 1.50 | 13.00 | |
| Building a Positive Profile for WA Agriculture | 2.00 | 19.25 | |
| Boosting Business Skills of Agrifood Businesses | 5.14 | 20.00 | |
| Northern Beef Futures | 2.21 | 15.00 | |
| Sheep Industry Business Innovation | 1.50 | 10.00 | |
| Boosting Grains Research and Development | 4.00 | 20.00 | |
| Helping Grain Growers Manage Risk | 2.24 | 10.00 | |
| Total | 27.57 | 153.00 | |

Supplementary Information A7: Hon Stephen Dawson MLC asked –

How much of the funding under the Seizing the Opportunity initiative will be spent on the Gascoyne, Kimberley and Pilbara?

Answer:

As indicated by the Director General, the department is unable to provide definitive information on expenditure from this initiative at a regional level until the business cases have been endorsed Cabinet and memoranda of understanding established with the Department of Regional Development. This information will be provided to the Committee once all business cases have been approved.

Supplementary Information A8: Hon Stephen Dawson, MLC asked

I refer to Budget Paper No 2 Volume pages 571, 572 and 373 and ask –

(a) Does the department still have a discrete research unit?

Answer:

The Department has not had discrete ‘research’ units for many years. As an economic development department, DAFWA’s organisational structure reflects the major agrifood industries such as grains and livestock; and cross-cutting issues such as resource management and biosecurity. Each of these groups uses a wide variety of approaches – including research – in order to develop economic activity and manage risks.

(b) How many staff in the agency have been working on research or are classed as researchers?

Answer:

The department currently has 200 staff members that are formally appointed as research officers, representing 145 FTEs. These, and a number of other individuals, undertake research activities to varying degrees, depending on the objectives they are seeking to deliver against at any one time.

Research is currently estimated to make up 29% of the department’s front-line activities; and 14% of its overall activity.

(c) How has the number of researchers changed since 2008; and is it expected to change in the future

Answer:

The number of individuals in research positions, and their full time equivalents, are tabulated below for the period 2007/08 to 2013/04. The number of FTEs has reduced over the period, but researchers continue to represent around 16% of the department’s total work force. It is expected that this ratio will remain reasonably constant.

| FTE & head count | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Research: | | | | | | | |
| FTEs | 236 | 246 | 235 | 202 | 190 | 187 | 177 |
| Individuals | 255 | 264 | 260 | 223 | 217 | 212 | 204 |
| Total FTEs | 1,565 | 1,436 | 1,332 | 1,214 | 1,191 | 1162 | |
| Research FTEs as % of total FTEs | 15% | 17% | 18% | 17% | 16% | 16% | |

Supplementary Information A8: Hon Stephen Dawson MLC asked –

(a) Has an allocation been made in this year’s budget for the Gascoyne Food Bowl Initiative, and, if so, how much has been set aside for that initiative over the next four years?

Answer:

2014-15 is intended to be the last year of this the Gascoyne Food Bowl project. \$9.68 million has been set aside for the 2014-15 year. The project is funded through Royalties for Regions, it is a \$25 million project which is the subject of an MOR between the MOU between RDL and DAFWA. The original cashflow, as set out in the MOU, is outlined below:

| Expenditure category | 2011/2012 \$'000 | 2012/2013 \$'000 | 2013/2014 \$'000 | 2014/2015 \$'000 | Total \$'000 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Capital | 1,161 | 4,869 | 9,290 | 9,680 | 25,000 |

(b) If the money (9.8 million) is still there, how does the department propose to spend it over the next few years? How much in each year please?

Answer: The items in the budget per years are shown in the budget below:

| Category of expenditure as per cabinet approval | 2011/2012 \$'000 | 2012/2013 \$'000 | 2013/2014 \$'000 | 2014/2015 \$'000 | Total \$'000 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Manage, plan, supervise | | | 60 | 1900 | 1960 |
| Detailed Design | | | 150 | 150 | 300 |
| Ancillary services | | | | 225 | 225 |
| Road | | | | 225 | 225 |
| Power fixed costs | | | 250 | | 250 |
| Power line | | | 2650 | | 2650 |
| Pipeline fixed costs | | | | 400 | 400 |
| Pipeline | | | | 2500 | 2500 |
| Bore development | | 250 | 300 | 4000 | 4550 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|------|--------|-------|
| Bore equipping | | | | GWAMCO | |
| SCADA | | | | GWAMCO | |
| Surface spear set, 10 L/sec | | | | 250 | 250 |
| New land development | | 250 | | 50 | 300 |
| TOTAL | | 500 | 3410 | 9700 | 13610 |

The budget document below shows the breakdown of activities to be achieved with these budgets:

| DAFWA Gascoyne Food Bowl Initiative Development Budget | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Task | Total Cost per task \$ |
| Manage, plan, supervise | 3,217,517 |
| Detailed Design | 300,000 |
| Ancillary services | 225,000 |
| Road | 225,000 |
| Power fixed costs | 504,000 |
| Power line | 6,975,000 |
| Pipeline fixed costs | 543,375 |
| Pipeline | 7,143,608 |
| Bore development | 4,416,000 |
| Bore equipping | |
| SCADA | |
| Surface spear set, 10 L/sec | 350,000 |
| New land development | 1,075,500 |
| Total Cost | 24,975,000 |
| Royalties for Regions Project Budget | |
| Land Expansion | |
| Land Use Plan | 250,000 |
| Land Development for Expansion | 3,000,000 |
| Economic Modelling | 110,000 |
| Water Resource Development | |
| Phase 2 Northern Borefield Development | 22,130,000 |
| Top water optimisation strategy for additional water resources from Basin A-L | 550,000 |
| Natural Resource Management | |
| Gascoyne Catchment Plan | 1,700,000 |
| Improved Farm Practices for improved Soil retention and improved water use efficiency | 600,000 |
| Total Funds including in kind | 28,340,000 |

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Less In kind contributions | 3,340,000 |
| Total R4R Budget | 25,000,000 |
| Surplus/Deficit | 25,000 |

Supplementary Information A9: Hon Stephen Dawson, MLC asked-

(a) Has an allocation been made in this year's budget for the Gascoyne Food Bowl Initiative, and, if so, how much has been set aside over the next four years?

Answer:

Yes, an allocation of \$9.7 million has been made for this project in 2014/15. It is a \$25 million project funded through Royalties for Regions and prescribed in an MOU between the (then) Department of Regional Development and Lands and DAFWA. The original cash flow for the project is set out below.

Table: Original cash flow, as set out in the Memorandum of Understanding

| 2011/12 \$'000 | 2012/13 \$'000 | 2013/14 \$'000 | 2014/15 \$'000 | Total \$'000 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1161 | 4869 | 9290 | 9680 | 25000 |

Expenditure has been delayed for a number of reasons, with the total to June 2014 being \$3.91 Million as against an expected \$14.82 million, as below.

Table: Actual cash flow- 2011/12 – 2013/14

| 2011/12 \$'000 | 2012/13 \$'000 | 2013/14 \$'000 | Total \$'000 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 0 | 500 | 3,410 | 3,910 |

(b) If the money (9.8 million) is still there, how does the department propose to spend it over the next few years? How much in each year please?

Answer:

It is expected that \$9.7 million will be expended in 2014/15. Future cash flows are under consideration given the implementation delays and its relationship with other, related initiatives in the region. The major types of activities to be undertaken under this project are illustrated in the table below.

Table: Major activities proposed under the Gascoyne Food Bowl Initiative

Land Expansion

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Land Use Plan | 250,000 |
| Land Development for Expansion | 3,000,000 |

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Economic Modelling | 110,000 |
| Water Resource Development | |
| Phase 2 Northern Borefield Development | 22,130,000 |
| Top water optimisation strategy for additional water resources from Basin A-L | 550,000 |
| Natural Resource Management | |
| Gascoyne Catchment Plan | 1,700,000 |
| Improved Farm Practices for improved Soil retention and improved water use efficiency | 600,000 |
| Total funds including in kind | 28,340,000 |
| Less DAFWA in kind contributions | 3,340,000 |
| Total R4R Budget | 25,000,000 |

Supplementary Information A10: Hon Martin Aldridge MLC asked

I refer to Budget Paper No 2 Volume, "Details of controlled Grants and Subsidies, Research Grant allocation "at page 576. Of the list that was provided on notice in Attachment 1, how many of those grants related to research and development and the total cost of those grants?

Answer:

Grant payments for 13/14 were valued at \$20.125M, of which \$18M was for related to research, as below:

- \$18M Research Grants
- \$2M Children Concessions
- \$0.13M Subsidies

At the time of developing the budget in March/April 2014, it was estimated that the department would spent \$20.125M in research and grant allocations. However, it is now estimated have the department will spend around \$11.4M; mainly due to delays in industry projects.

Research grant allocations encompass a range of different grant types with differing allocation processes. All allocations are based on contractual arrangements, and payment is made upon milestones and related reporting requirements.

List of Agencies, payments and the type of grants are provided as **Attachment 3**.

Supplementary Information A11: Hon MARTIN ALDRIDGE asked –

Continuing on that line item...could you perhaps explain to me the reduction in research grant allocations in those forward estimates?

Answer:

The decline in the estimate from \$20.75M to \$11M in the out years is mainly due to the expected completion of industry-funded projects. It is expected that the out-year figures will increase as new grants funding contracts are established.

Supplementary Information A12: Hon Anna Clohesy MLC asked –

That clarification on delivery arrangements for the Commonwealth Government's National Landcare Program be provided to the committee when known.

Answer:

Delivery arrangements for the Commonwealth Government's National Landcare Program are still being finalised. Commonwealth officers are planning to consult with stakeholders within the next two months on future arrangements. It is not anticipated that funds will be administered by WA government agencies. This is consistent with current delivery arrangements for the regional component of the National Landcare Program whereby funding is provided by the Commonwealth directly to regional natural resource management bodies.

Supplementary Information No. A13: Hon Alanna Clohesy asked –

Please advise the resources that have been allocated to identify and manage the recent outbreak of the citrus gall wasp and to examine, in particular, and to consider treatment in relation to the recent outbreak in the East Metropolitan Region.

Answer:

In April 2013, citrus gall wasp was detected at a suburban property in Eden Hill. An eradication program was undertaken on the two infested properties at a cost of under \$1000.

A follow-up survey in April 2014 found a further five infested properties. A subsequent extended survey of more than 500 properties within Eden Hill and surrounding suburbs found wasps on a further 18 properties. Four staff were involved in the survey at a cost of \$9000.

The survey identified a number of trees that were heavily infested in a manner that suggests wasps have been present in the area for at least five years.

Given the level and distribution of infestation, DAFWA considers that eradication is not technically feasible; and that the wasp will need to be managed as an established pest. The WA citrus industry was informed of the current distribution and actions at a joint meeting with DAFWA on 9 June 2014.

Supplementary Information No. A14: Hon Rick Mazza asked –

(a) Please advise the cost of sterile fruit flies being sold to South Australia.

Answer:

The contract with South Australia this year was for four million sterile fruit flies for \$20,000 over a four-week period, representing a cost of \$5,000 per million sterile pupae

(b) How much is that program worth?

Answer:

The program was costed at \$300,000 in 2013/14. This is expected to increase to \$1,300,000 in 2014/15 subject to negotiations with Horticulture Australia and the commencement of a program to breed five million medfly per week for the Carnarvon medfly eradication program. The increased cost of the program will be largely offset by revenue estimated at \$800,000 over the 2014-15 year.

Supplementary Information A15: Hon Nigel Hallett MLC asked –

(a) How much of the co-investment in the department-led initiatives referred to on page 571 of the budget is GRDC funds?

Answer:

The following supplementary information in relation to GRDC income and expenditure is summarised from the GRDC Annual Report 2012-13.

The GRDC Annual Report provides a full R&D Project List categorised by the lead research organisation. From this, DAFWA is able to provide a summary of R&D investment provided to WA based organisations.

| Total allocation to WA-based organisations 2012-13 | \$ million |
|--|--------------|
| DAFWA | 10.21 |
| Australian Export Grains Innovation Centre | 5.65 |
| University of Western Australia | 6.70 |
| Murdoch University | 1.76 |
| Curtin University | 2.14 |
| Grower Group Alliance | 0.32 |
| Other | 2.49 |
| TOTAL (excluding CSIRO)^A | 29.27 |

(b) How much does WA provide to the GRDC?

Answer:

GRDC is funded through a Grower Levy with along with additional revenue from the Commonwealth government and other sources, as below.

| Funding Source | \$ million |
|--|--------------|
| Grain Grower Levy | 118.2 |
| Revenue from the Australian Government | 62.8 |
| Royalties, Interest and Other | 15.4 |
| TOTAL | 196.4 |

GRDC indicated to DAFWA that it does not have information on the proportion of the levy collected from Western Australia. However as the levy is based on farm gate production value, and WA growers typically produce about one third of the national crop, it is reasonable to assume that WA growers typically contribute around one third of the levy. This proportion obviously varies with seasonal conditions around Australia.

(c) How much of what WA contributes to GRDC is returned to Western Australia?

Answer:

DAFWA understands that GRDC expended \$13.9 million in 2012-13 on R&D specifically targeted and applicable to the growers of Western Australia.

In addition, Western Australians organisations undertake a considerable amount of R&D that is categorised as 'national' (ie. aimed and applicable to all Australian growers), which is not included in this figure. It is also not clear how much of GRDC's investment in CSIRO is delivered in Western Australia.

Supplementary Information A16: Hon Darren West asked –

- (a) *I cannot find any funding allocated to the rural financial counselling support scheme. If that is the case, then what will happen to those who need those services?*

Answer:

The State sets aside \$111,000 of consolidated funds annually for the provision of the Rural Financial Counselling Service. The Commonwealth is contractually committed to providing the service with \$1,390,923.47 in the 2014-2015 financial year to continue to provide the service.

- (b) *The second part is around the regional men's health initiative. I cannot seem to find any funding through Ag, even though previous budgets have that in the forward estimates.*

Answer:

The Regional Men's Health Initiative has been funded from 2009-2010 to 2013-2014 financial years by Royalties for Regions. A new submission has been made to Royalties for Regions for 2014/2015 to 2017/2018 financial years. Funding sought is \$3,200,000 at \$800,000 per year for the next four financial years.

- (c) *And the Royal Show admission, that seems to be gone as well.*

Answer:

The Department of Agriculture and Food budget for 2014-2015 has \$2 Million allocated for the Royal Agricultural Society (RAS) Show concessions – free entry for children. The funding for the RAS and the RAS affiliated show groups in Western Australia will be available in early July 2014.



Department of
Agriculture and Food



MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

BETWEEN

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD WESTERN
AUSTRALIA**

AND

**THE ROYAL SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO
ANIMALS, WESTERN AUSTRALIA INCORPORATED**

2013



This Memorandum of Understanding is made:

BETWEEN

The Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia (DAFWA)

and

The Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Western Australia, Incorporated
(RSPCA)

Recital

- A** The Animal Welfare Act is administered by DAFWA on behalf of the Minister for Agriculture and Food.
- B** DAFWA recognises that the RSPCA plays an important role in enforcing animal welfare legislation in Western Australia.
- C** DAFWA and the RSPCA are committed to working together to enforce animal welfare legislation consistently and achieve improved animal welfare outcomes and regulatory excellence.

OPERATIVE PROVISIONS

1. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

Act means the *Animal Welfare Act 2002* and any subsidiary legislation made under the Act;

Aggregation point means a saleyard, export depot, wharf side loading area, lairage, rodeo, processing plant, abattoir, or any other place where livestock are brought for sale, transport, processing or for any other commercial purposes;

Agreement means the Grant Agreement between DAFWA and RSPCA;

Chief Executive Officer means the Chief Executive Officer of the RSPCA;

Commercial Livestock means any livestock present at an aggregation point and in relation to livestock at any place other than an aggregation point means more than 50 head of cattle or buffalo, more than 150 sheep, goats or deer, more than 500 poultry or more than 10 pigs;

Companion Animal means any animals other than commercial livestock;

DAFWA means the Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia;

Director-General means the Director-General of DAFWA and has the same meaning as CEO in the Act;

Identification Card has the same meaning as in the Act;

Inspector has the same meaning as in the Act;

Livestock means an animal of a type included in Schedule 1;

Livestock Compliance Unit or LCU means the operational unit of that name within DAFWA at the date this MOU is signed and no matter by what name it may subsequently be known;

Operations Co-ordinator means the person nominated by a Party as responsible for the day to day operational activities of inspectors employed by that Party;

Party means each of DAFWA or the RSPCA as the context requires and **Parties** means both of them;

Regulation and Response means the program within DAFWA responsible for the LCU at the time this MOU is signed and no matter by what name that program may subsequently be known;

2 SCOPE AND TERM OF MOU

- 2.1 This MOU expresses the intentions of the Parties and is not intended to be legally binding between the Parties.
- 2.2 This MOU remains in effect while the Agreement remains in effect.

3 MEDIA REPRESENTATION

- 3.1 The RSPCA will not, and will ensure that its Inspectors do not, make any public comment on any DAFWA investigations or actions of DAFWA Inspectors.
- 3.2 DAFWA will not, and will ensure that its Inspectors do not, make any public comment on any RSPCA investigations or actions of RSPCA Inspectors unless necessary to meet the requirements of the Act.
- 3.3 Where an investigation has a high level of public interest, the Chief Executive Officer or designated representative and the Director Regulation and Response will liaise to provide a consistent message and approach.
- 3.4 The RSPCA and DAFWA will ensure that its Inspectors are not accompanied by media onto a property under investigation unless the media has obtained consent from the owner of the property under investigation.
- 3.5 The RSPCA will not, and will ensure its Inspectors, employees and representatives do not make public comment on decisions made by the Minister or Director-General under the Act without prior consultation with DAFWA.

4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE RSPCA

- 4.1 The RSPCA and its employees will at no time impede DAFWA, the Director-General or the Minister in fulfilling their obligations under the Act.

- 4.2 The RSPCA will notify DAFWA in writing of any relevant matters, including a conviction for an offence, that may affect the suitability as an Inspector of any person appointed under section 33(1)(a) of the Act.
- 4.3 The RSPCA will notify DAFWA in writing within 7 days of an Inspector ceasing employment with the RSPCA.
- 4.4 The RSPCA will ensure that the obligation to return an Identification Card when a person ceases to be an Inspector is complied with as soon as practicable and in any event within 30 days.
- 4.5 The RSPCA has an established presence and recognised profile as a key organisation providing educational and promotional material on responsible companion animal ownership. The RSPCA will continue to have leadership in the development of educational programs and extension in this regard.
- 4.6 The RSPCA will be the principal recipient of and respondent to reports of cruelty to animals.
- 4.7 The RSPCA may at its discretion, transfer to the LCU reports involving commercial livestock defined as Level 1, or Level 2 (Schedule 2) or any level where the LCU has responded previously.
- 4.8 With mutual agreement, the RSPCA may transfer to the LCU reports involving commercial livestock defined as Level 3. (Schedule 2)
- 4.9 All transfers must be through respective Operations Co-ordinators or their delegates.
- 4.10 Transferred reports will include, where possible, details of the original complainant, location and directions to any person(s) concerned and a complete description of the nature of the cruelty event being reported.
- 4.11 The RSPCA will accept the decision made on any action taken in an investigation subsequent to the transfer to the LCU.

5 DAFWA RESPONSIBILITIES

- 5.1 DAFWA will consult with the RSPCA in the development of any proposal for amendment of the Act.
- 5.2 DAFWA will refer members of the public to the RSPCA to report cruelty to animals.
- 5.3 The LCU may retain and action reports of cruelty identified by officers of DAFWA, the Australian Government Department of Agriculture (or whatever name that organisation may subsequently be known), staff of other Government agencies and reports made by staff of aggregation points.
- 5.4 The LCU will accept and action reports of cruelty to commercial livestock referred by the RSPCA defined as Level 1 or Level 2 (Schedule 2) or any level where the LCU has responded previously.
- 5.5 The LCU will accept and action reports cruelty to commercial livestock referred by the RSPCA defined as Level 3 (Schedule 2) which does not require an urgent response and/or the RSPCA has not already commenced any investigation or regulatory activity in relation to the complaint.

- 5.6 The LCU will assume full responsibility for the investigation after the formal transfer has taken place.
- 5.7 Feedback will be provided to the RSPCA on the outcome and/or progress of a complaint, if requested.

6 ASSISTANCE POLICY

- 6.1 There may be instances where one Party will need the assistance of the other Party in the day to day discharge of duties.
- 6.2 Where the assistance is of a minor nature (low time and resource commitment and is not regarded as controversial) assistance will be requested at the operational level via Principal Compliance Officer DAFWA and Chief Inspector RSPCA.
- 6.3 In circumstances where more substantial assistance is required, assistance must be ratified by the CEO RSPCA and Director Regulation and Response DAFWA. Such requests should be given proper consideration, taking into account the urgency of the matter and the resourcing implications and be confirmed in writing.
- 6.4 The requesting Party will retain full responsibility for the investigation.
- 6.5 Unless negotiated otherwise, where assistance is sought, each Party will retain responsibility for its own costs.

7 RSPCA DAFWA LIAISON

- 7.1 The Parties will hold discussions on policy and operational issues of strategic importance at least twice a year for the duration of the Agreement.
- 7.2 The Parties will maintain appropriate communication channels for routine matters relating to the administration and enforcement of the Act.
- 7.3 The Parties will exchange information that is relevant or useful to the other Party in carrying out their responsibilities under the Agreement where it is appropriate to do so and is not subject to statutory restraints.
- 7.4 To ensure that regulatory actions carried out by general inspectors under the Act are legally robust, consistent across agencies and embody the concept of natural justice and procedural fairness, including being free from the impact of regulatory bias and as far as possible the perception of conflict of interest both organisation agree to work towards regulatory excellence as set out in the "Strategy for achieving regulatory consistency in the application of the *Animal Welfare Act 2002*" (Schedule 3).

8 POLICY SEPARATION


- 8.1 DAFWA acknowledges that the RSPCA may have or advocate policies that differ from those of the Western Australian Government.
- 8.2 DAFWA and the RSPCA must clearly separate their enforcement role and enforcement policies from other policies so that the proper enforcement of the Act by either Party is not compromised or brought into disrepute.


9 VARIATION


Any variation to this MOU must be agreed to in writing by both DAFWA and the RSPCA.


EXECUTION:

Executed on behalf of the State of Western Australia by the Director General of the Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia:


Signature 
Robert Delane
DIRECTOR GENERAL


Date 


Signature 


Name of Witness
Date 

The Common Seal of the Royal Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Western Australia, was affixed hereto in the presence of:

Signature 
Lynne Bradshaw
President RSPCA WA (Inc.)

Date 

Signature 

Name of Witness
Date 

SCHEDULE 1

DEFINITION OF LIVESTOCK

| Common name of animal | Scientific name of animal |
|--|---|
| Alpaca | <i>Vicugna pacos</i> |
| Buffalo including buffalo cattle hybrids | <i>Bubalus</i> spp. |
| Camel | <i>Camelus dromedarius</i> |
| Cattle including cattle buffalo hybrids | <i>Bos</i> spp. |
| Deer and any hybrids | <i>Cervus elaphus canadensis</i> (elk); <i>Cervus elaphus</i> (red); <i>Cervus timorensis rusa</i> (rusa); <i>Cervus unicolour</i> (sambar); <i>Dama dama</i> (fallow) |
| Emu | <i>Dromaius novae-hollandiae</i> |
| Goat | <i>Capra</i> spp. |
| Horse and any hybrids | <i>Equus caballus</i> ; <i>Equus asinus</i> |
| Ostrich | <i>Struthio camelus</i> |
| Pig | <i>Sus</i> spp. |
| Poultry and any hybrids | <i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i> (domestic chickens); <i>Meleagris gallopavo</i> (turkeys); <i>Anser anser</i> (geese); <i>Anas</i> spp., <i>Cairina moschata</i> (ducks); <i>Numida meleagris</i> (guinea fowls); <i>Coturnix japonica</i> (quails); <i>Columba livia</i> (pigeons); <i>Symaticus</i> spp., <i>Lophura</i> spp., <i>Phasianus</i> spp., <i>Chrysolophus</i> spp. and <i>Lophophorus</i> spp. (pheasants and partridges) |
| Sheep | <i>Ovis</i> spp. |

SCHEDULE 2

Definitions of cruelty report levels

The categorisation of an animal welfare issue identified by a report of cruelty will be based on the four-level approach reflected in the DAFWA operational procedures.

- Level 0 – no animal welfare issue identified.
- Level 1 – the welfare of the animal/s is compromised but the management of the situation is consistent with what would be expected of a reasonable person in the circumstances.
- Level 2 – the welfare of the animal/s is compromised and the situation is not consistent with (is less than) what would be expected of a reasonable person in the circumstances.
- Level 3 – the person in charge of an animal is considered to have intentionally or recklessly caused harm or failed to take action that is consistent with what would be expected of a reasonable person in the circumstances.

SCHEDULE 3 **Strategy for achieving regulatory consistency in the application of the *Animal Welfare Act 2002***

Context

Section 3 of the *Animal Welfare Act 2002* states:

- (1) *This Act provides for the protection of animals by —*
- (a) *regulating the people who may use animals for scientific purposes, and the manner in which they may be used;*
 - and
 - (b) *prohibiting cruelty to, and other inhumane or improper treatment of, animals.*
- (2) *This Act intends to —*
- (a) *promote and protect the welfare, safety and health of animals;*
 - (b) *ensure the proper and humane care and management of all animals in accordance with generally accepted standards; and*
 - (c) *reflect the community's expectation that people who are in charge of animals will ensure that they are properly treated and cared for.*

Strategic Aim

To increase the communities' confidence in the regulatory functions of general inspectors appointed under the *Animal Welfare Act 2002 (the Act)*.

Objective

To ensure that regulatory actions of general inspectors under the Act are legally robust, consistent across agencies and embody the concept of natural justice and procedural fairness, including being free from the impact of regulatory bias and as far as possible the perception of conflict of interest.

This framework relates only to the regulatory activities of DAFWA and the RSPCA under the *Animal Welfare Act 2002*

Neither this framework nor the LCU exerts, or intends to exert, any influence over RSPCA policy outside of the regulatory activities under the *Animal Welfare Act 2002*.

Background

As the expectations of community, standards and codes of practice and legal expectations change over time, so the continued achievement of regulatory excellence will require that ongoing dialogue and interaction be maintained with shared learning and ongoing improvements in practice.

The Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia (DAFWA) assists the Minister in the administration of the Act. The CEO of DAFWA is provided with, or delegated specific roles and responsibilities under the Act, including

- Appointment of inspectors and the terms of those appointments (Section 33); and
- Restriction and revocation of appointments (Section 35).
- Consider objections of reviewable decisions relating to the exercise of specified inspectors' powers (Division 4 - Section 71 to 74).

The requirement for the CEO to appoint inspectors in accordance with terms or restrictions that he determines appropriate implies a level of accountability for the actions and role of the inspectors.

All inspectors appointed under the Act are public officers and have certain responsibilities and accountability. All public officers, including non-government inspectors, are subject to the powers of the Ombudsman and CCC. Inspectors must behave in a manner that befits a public officer administering government legislation.

RSPCA and DAFWA are committed to the concept of achieving regulatory excellence as identified in this strategy.

Achievement of regulatory excellence by both RSPCA's and DAFWA's regulatory activities will promote community confidence in the existing arrangements to provide for the welfare of animals in Western Australia.

Activities to support regulatory excellence

Joint Training

DAFWA has organised certificate IV Statutory Compliance/Investigative techniques training, tailored to the role of General Inspector in WA. This is being delivered by an external consultant and specifically contextualised to the *Animal Welfare Act 2002*. This course has been arranged for a one-time event to provide training to Inspectors in both DAFWA and RSPCA, targeting those without current qualifications in this area. Limits apply to total number on this course. DAFWA has provided four (4) fully funded positions to the RSPCA.

DAFWA is developing on-line and face to face training packages on Direction Notices. Both will be made available to inspectors from the RSPCA and other government agencies.

Future cross agency training opportunities will be organised by DAFWA on a bi-annual basis.

Common Tools

DAFWA is currently developing a seizure notification/property receipt form. This will be made available to all inspectors.

Other tools, as developed, will be made available to the RSPCA.

DAFWA will publish a regular newsletter to all inspectors covering pertinent topics on regulations such as evidence collection, prosecution briefs and as case examples.

Infringement Notices.

Infringement notices are a useful regulatory tool and provide an excellent intermediary between a warning letter and prosecution.

The introduction of regulations supporting infringement notices was identified by RSPCA as high importance. Regulations are currently being drafted.

RSPCA has articulated concerns in being able to meet the treasury requirements for collection of moneys and meeting the requirements determined by the Minister in drafting the Regulations. DAFWA has agreed to develop a system to issue infringement notices on behalf of the RSPCA, collect moneys and refer to FER if unpaid. RSPCA will still be responsible to pursue prosecution in those instances where a person elects to have the matter heard by the Court.

This involves a significant resource commitment by DAFWA resources, but will allow RSPCA inspectors to issue infringements without the RSPCA being required to establish an auditable financial trail, paying FER registration etc.

Strategic Consultation

DAFWA and the RSPCA will engage regularly.

This will be facilitated by monthly meeting between senior RSPCA and DAFWA staff with a strategic outlook to focus the agencies' interactions in needed areas as well as find ways to address and prioritise issues which emerge that are beyond resources currently available.

DAFWA will provide a policy officer to attend the RSPCA led cross agency policy development forum monthly meetings.

Additional policy development resources from either agency will need to be negotiated between the agencies at the strategic level and are expected to be the subject of individual written agreements.

Operational Matters

Regular meetings between senior operational staff will identify strategies to improve consistency in operational practice including interpretation of codes of practice, regulation and provisions of the Act; methods of investigation and adherence to the provision of natural justice through appropriate process and procedure. This is also likely to include comparison and where expedient alignment of standard operating procedures, key elements of regulatory documents and operational approaches.

Consistent Compliance and Enforcement Policy.

Both Organizations are to maintain and apply up-to-date "prosecution policies" based on the relevant principles detailed in the "Statement of Prosecution Policy & Guidelines 2005" (Director of Public Prosecutions for Western Australia).

Conceptual issues relevant to all levels.

Conflict of Interest.

Conflict of Interest is a challenging and difficult area for all public officers.

A conflict of interest is a situation arising from conflict between the performance of public duty and private or personal interests.

Conflicts of interest may be actual, or be perceived to exist, or potentially exist at some time in the future

Perception of a conflict of interest is important to consider because public confidence in the integrity of an organisation is vital. (Conflict of Interest Guidelines, WA Public Sector Integrity Coordinating Group, 2011. <http://www.icg.wa.gov.au/conflicts-interest-guidelines-and-scenarios>)

Achieving a consistent understanding and application of conflict of interest considerations is a high priority for both organisations.

Model Litigant (appendix i)

There is a clear and unambiguous duty on the State to act fairly and honourable in the course of litigation. That is, the State must observe and follow the principle of being a model litigant; this includes but is not limited to the duty to act honestly and fairly, the duty to avoid delay, the duty to only plead arguments that have an appreciable prospect of success so as not to waste time and money, the duty to disclose to the court important relevant facts known to them, etc.

The Animal Welfare Act is government legislation enforced by public officers it is expected therefore that all enforcement activities will adhere to the model litigant principles regardless of the agency employing the inspectors.

Prosecutor's Duties

Both organisation will draw the attention of the prosecutors acting on their behalf to the Legal Profession Conduct Rules, particularly rule 44 (appendix ii) with the intention of ensuring that the appropriate prosecutorial standards are met. Full version available at [http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/pco/prod/FileStore.nsf/Documents/MRDocument:24710P/\\$FILE/LeglProfessionCndc tRu2010-00-d0-00.pdf?OpenElement](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/pco/prod/FileStore.nsf/Documents/MRDocument:24710P/$FILE/LeglProfessionCndc tRu2010-00-d0-00.pdf?OpenElement)

Review

This framework is to be reviewed in two years against the notion of improved regulatory excellence and adjusted accordingly; earlier if significant changes are identified.

Attachments

Extract from *Hansard* [COUNCIL - Tuesday, 21 September 2010] p6886b-6886b

Hon Giz Watson; Hon Michael Mischin

[1] LEGAL PRACTITIONERS — MODEL LITIGANT GUIDELINES

Hon GIZ WATSON to the parliamentary secretary representing the Attorney General:

I refer to the model litigant guidelines issued by the federal government pursuant to section 55ZF of the *Judiciary Act 1903*, and the New South Wales government's model litigant policy for civil litigation.

(1) When will the Attorney General issue model litigant guidelines for legal practitioners acting for the state and its agencies?

(2) In the absence of model litigant guidelines in Western Australia, what mechanism, if any, currently prevents the state and its agencies involved in litigation from —

(a) failing to disclose relevant parliamentary or government information that may not be otherwise available to the court or tribunal or the other side; for example, the policies and materials upon which a decision under judicial review is based, or statutory regulatory provisions that are intended to be introduced or repealed or a motion that is about to be debated; and

(b) engaging in the behaviours prescribed by the federal and New South Wales model guidelines, including —

(i) taking advantage of a litigant who lacks the resources to litigate a legitimate claim; and

(ii) relying on technical defences even if the state's or agency's interest would be prejudiced otherwise?

Hon MICHAEL MISCHIN replied:

I thank the honourable member for some notice of this question. The Attorney General advises the following

(1) The government has no plans to issue model litigant guidelines for legal practitioners. Australia dating back to at least 1912 have identified a clear and unambiguous duty upon the state to act fairly and honourably in the conduct of litigation. Such strong and authoritative pronouncements by courts must necessarily hold greater weight than mere guidelines adopted by a government to advise or instruct government lawyers. Moreover, the proposal to create model litigant guidelines incorrectly presumes that the obligations on advocates acting for government bodies differ significantly from those obligations incumbent upon all barristers and solicitors conducting litigation. Such obligations include, but are not limited to: the duty not to use the court's process for reasons other than to win litigation; the duty to disclose to the court important relevant facts known to them; the duty not to mislead the court, and particularly to inform the court of any relevant authority or legislation that is directly in point yet adverse to their client's case; the duty to only plead arguments that have an appreciable prospect of success so as not to waste time and money; the more general duty not to waste time and money; the duty to act honestly and fairly; the duty not to take advantage of an opponent's purely technical errors; and, the duty to avoid delay. The convergence between general barristers' duties and model litigants' duties has been further supported by judicial statements.

Appendix ii

44. Prosecutor's duties

- (1) *In this rule — prosecutor means a practitioner who appears for a complainant, the Commonwealth or the State in criminal proceedings.*
 - (2) *A prosecutor must —*
 - (a) *seek to have the whole of the relevant evidence placed before the court in an impartial and intelligible manner; and*
 - (b) *assist the court with adequate submissions of law to enable the law properly to be applied to the facts.*
 - (3) *A prosecutor must as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any information or material which might arguably affect or assist either the defence case or the prosecution case make the information or material available to the defence.*
 - (4) *A prosecutor must not press the prosecution's case for a conviction beyond a full, firm and impartial presentation of that case.*
 - (5) *A prosecutor must not, by language or other conduct, seek to inflame or bias the court against the accused.*
 - (6) *A prosecutor must not argue any proposition of fact or law which the prosecutor does not believe on reasonable grounds —*
 - (a) *is capable of contributing to a finding of guilt; and*
 - (b) *carries weight.*
 - (7) *A prosecutor who has reasonable grounds to believe that material available to the prosecution may have been unlawfully or improperly obtained must promptly —*
 - (a) *inform the defence if the prosecutor intends to use the material; and*
 - (b) *make available to the defence a copy of the material if it is in documentary form; and*
 - (c) *inform the defence of the grounds for believing that the material was unlawfully or improperly obtained.*
 - (8) *A prosecutor must not confer with or interview an accused person except in the presence of the accused person's legal representative.*
 - (9) *A prosecutor must not inform a court or an opponent that the prosecution has evidence supporting an aspect of its case unless the prosecutor believes on reasonable grounds that such evidence will be available from material already available to the prosecutor.*
 - (10) *A prosecutor who informs a court of evidence supporting an aspect of the prosecution's case and who later learns that the evidence will not be available, must —*
 - (a) *promptly inform the defence; and*
 - (b) *inform the court when next the case is before the court.*
 - (11) *A prosecutor must —*
 - (a) *correct any error made by the defence; and*
 - (b) *assist the court to avoid appealable error.*
 - (12) *If an accused person is unrepresented, a prosecutor must inform the court of any mitigating circumstances of which the prosecutor is aware.*
- END.

ATTACHMENT 2: DAFWA Livestock Compliance Unit Inspectorate Activity Report 2012 - 13 financial year

LCU Operational General Inspectors:

8.27 FTE broken down to 7.27 FTE inspectors plus 1 manager, providing coverage from Albany to Broome.

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|--|----------|
| 1st | quarter | = | 125 | events | # |
| 16 | Animal welfare reports | | 42 | saleyard inspections | |
| 6 | Responses | | 19 | port inspections during livestock export | |
| 42 | Export depot inspections | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------|----|--|----------|
| 2nd | quarter | =140 | | events | # |
| 24 | Animal welfare reports | | 29 | port inspections during livestock export | |
| 3 | Responses | | 6 | piggery inspections | |
| 20 | Export depot inspections | | 2 | abattoir inspections | |
| 56 | saleyard inspections | | | | |

Other activities related to animal cruelty:
 December 2012 a workshop was held by LCU with RSPCA inspectors to ensure consistency in regulation of the livestock Transport Standards.

| | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------|---|---------------------|----------|
| 3rd | quarter | =143 | | events | # |
| 22 | Animal welfare reports | | 5 | piggery inspections | |
| 3 | Responses | | 1 | abattoir inspection | |
| 20 | Export depot inspections | | 9 | farm inspections | |
| 62 | saleyard inspections | | 1 | knackery inspection | |
| 20 | port inspections during livestock export | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|--|----------|
| 4th | quarter | = | 126 | events | # |
| 27 | Animal welfare reports | | 21 | port inspections during livestock export | |
| 13 | Responses | | 2 | piggery inspections | |
| 41 | Export depot inspections | | 2 | farm inspections | |
| 55 | saleyard inspections | | 5 | abattoir inspections | |

Other activities related to animal cruelty:
 June 2013 LCU hosted the first of 2 parts of a cert IV – Government Investigations course (tailored to the Animal Welfare Act 2002) with RSPCA inspectors attending.

Description of events

Animal welfare report. *This is a report which has been referred to the LCU from any of the agreed sources; RSPCA, DAFF, DAFWA staff. An investigation into the allegation requires DAFWA resources such as a vet to assess the health of the livestock, and an investigation to determine each element of the alleged offence. Some reports are investigated and resolved in a short space of time, less than a week, and some may take many months to resolve after multiple follow up visits to ensure advice or direction has been followed.*

Response. *A response is recorded when some regulatory action such as advice or direction is given as a result of an inspection. An example would be an animal detected to be unfit for transport during a saleyard inspection and a direction given not to transport the animal until it is recovered.*

Inspections. *Take place at points of livestock aggregation either by pre – arranged appointment (such as a piggery- for biosecurity reasons) or by attending during known events such a regional sale. Both livestock and facilities are monitored to ensure compliance with the Act and Codes of Practice. Inspections are not a response to an allegation of cruelty but are planned as part of routine duties.*

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| A & B & BP SOUTHCOTT | Community Grants | \$ 920 | |
| A & P OETIKER | Community Grants | \$ 392 | |
| A J JONES & M D JONES | Community Grants | \$ 4,176 | |
| A L & M E SHADBOLT | Community Grants | \$ 676 | |
| A W & A P LATHAM | Community Grants | \$ 2,444 | |
| A W & A P LATHAM | Community Grants | \$ 2,744 | |
| A.C. & B.H. HEASMAN | Community Grants | \$ 300 | |
| AD NG & KA STIRRAT | Community Grants | \$ 340 | |
| AD NG & KA STIRRAT | Community Grants | \$ 672 | |
| AD NG & KA STIRRAT | Community Grants | \$ 1,020 | |
| AJ & ME CURNOW & CO | Community Grants | \$ 980 | |
| ALBANY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 42,313 | |
| AM & DK PASCOE | Community Grants | \$ 4,240 | |
| ARTHUR BENIAMINO PANIZZA | Community Grants | \$ 1,700 | |
| AT LANE & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 7,928 | |
| B & A HARVEY & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 7,208 | |
| B R & L J WALTON & CO | Community Grants | \$ 820 | |
| B S & D G AULD & CO | Community Grants | \$ 3,822 | |
| BAHLOO FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 576 | |
| BALINGUP PROGRESS ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 12,500 | |
| BANKSIA DOWNS GRAZING CO | Community Grants | \$ 2,372 | |
| BENEDICT SYDNEY PANIZZA | Community Grants | \$ 6,612 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 3,890 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 4,279 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 4,500 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 5,300 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 5,800 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 3,500 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 4,455 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 4,500 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 5,800 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 8,600 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 8,600 | |
| BESSEN CONSULTING SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 8,600 | |
| BEVERLEY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 3,948 | |
| BINA-WAJI NYURRA-NGA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION | Community Grants | \$ 9,010 | |
| BINA-WAJI NYURRA-NGA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION | Community Grants | \$ 15,000 | |
| BINA-WAJI NYURRA-NGA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION | Community Grants | \$ 3,000 | |
| BINA-WAJI NYURRA-NGA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION | Community Grants | \$ 9,000 | |
| BINA-WAJI NYURRA-NGA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION | Community Grants | \$ 10,040 | |
| BINDOON AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 3,188 | |
| BLACKWOOD ENVIRONMENT SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 5,000 | |
| BM CASHMORE & JD CASHMORE | Community Grants | \$ 196 | |
| BM ROBINSON & GW ROBINSON | Community Grants | \$ 1,560 | |
| BONVIEW PASTORAL CO PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 3,872 | |
| BORONA FARMING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 8,228 | |
| BRETT DRAPER | Community Grants | \$ 364 | |
| BRETT DRAPER | Community Grants | \$ 2,548 | |
| BRETT KEITH BIGLIN | Community Grants | \$ 1,548 | |
| BRIAN THOMAS BUTLER | Community Grants | \$ 1,028 | |
| BRIAN THOMAS BUTLER | Community Grants | \$ 8,092 | |
| BRIDGETOWN AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 3,462 | |
| BRUCE PARSONS FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 3,532 | |
| BRUCE ROCK AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 750 | |
| BRUNSWICK AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 38,480 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|------------|-----------------|
| BUNBURY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 15,625 | |
| BURRACOPPIN PASTORAL CO PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 808 | |
| BYRON HART LYNCH | Community Grants | \$ 872 | |
| C & NL JEFFERYS | Community Grants | \$ 220 | |
| C J RIDOUT & J RIDOUT | Community Grants | \$ 3,412 | |
| C M STEPHEN & K A STEPHEN | Community Grants | \$ 5,700 | |
| C Y O'CONNOR INSTITUTE | Community Grants | \$ 144,998 | |
| CALCALING FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 400 | |
| CANNING AGRICULTURAL HORTICULTURAL AND RECREATIONAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 14,000 | |
| CAPEL LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| CARNARVON FESTIVAL INC | Community Grants | \$ 6,250 | |
| CARNARVON RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 299,869 | |
| CARNARVON RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 330,925 | |
| CENTRAL MIDLANDS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 6,915 | |
| CHAPMAN VALLEY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 6,000 | |
| CJ & TL BUTLER | Community Grants | \$ 320 | |
| CK LINDLEY & CP LINDLEY | Community Grants | \$ 1,148 | |
| COLDSTREAM FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 2,112 | |
| COLESTAN | Community Grants | \$ 1,976 | |
| COLLIE AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 2,000 | |
| COOLUP LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| CRAMPHORNE FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 720 | |
| CRICHTON VALE | Community Grants | \$ 5,708 | |
| CROGAN KP & DM | Community Grants | \$ 136 | |
| CROGAN KP & DM | Community Grants | \$ 204 | |
| CROSS CONTRACTORS WA | Community Grants | \$ 4,440 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 833 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 1,860 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 3,445 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 3,824 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 5,070 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 7,184 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 7,451 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 8,363 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 8,655 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 9,135 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 10,133 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 10,410 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 10,920 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 11,725 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 12,345 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 16,080 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 16,418 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 18,458 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 19,023 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 20,385 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 22,530 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 22,560 | |
| CWC PROFESSIONAL AG CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 28,531 | |
| D & M LE MOIGNAN | Community Grants | \$ 1,040 | |
| D & M ROGERS | Community Grants | \$ 356 | |
| D C N LANARK FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 1,480 | |
| D E SEDGWICK & CO | Community Grants | \$ 2,524 | |
| DALWALLINU & DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 1,400 | |
| DE GREY LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| DE LUIS & SON | Community Grants | \$ 1,180 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|------------|-----------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & FOOD - ADMINISTERED FUND | Community Grants | \$ 1,816 | |
| DI & RJ SMOKER | Community Grants | \$ 856 | |
| DI & RJ SMOKER | Community Grants | \$ 2,500 | |
| DI & RJ SMOKER | Community Grants | \$ 2,792 | |
| DONNYBROOK APPLE FESTIVAL INC | Community Grants | \$ 20,000 | |
| DOVE CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 6,190 | |
| DOWERIN EVENTS MANAGEMENT (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 7,500 | |
| DUMBLEYUNG LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| E & A BORMOLINI & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 2,880 | |
| E BRAYSHAW & SON | Community Grants | \$ 2,920 | |
| E L DIXON & SON | Community Grants | \$ 1,332 | |
| E R ARNOLD & W A ARNOLD | Community Grants | \$ 728 | |
| ESPERANCE & DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 36,000 | |
| EXPERIENCE GIDGEGANNUP INC | Community Grants | \$ 15,000 | |
| F & M INFERRERA | Community Grants | \$ 3,452 | |
| F S LOPEZ & S & M LOPEZ | Community Grants | \$ 1,516 | |
| F S LOPEZ & S & M LOPEZ | Community Grants | \$ 3,772 | |
| FARES RURAL PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 952 | |
| FARM INFORMATION SERVICES PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 2,600 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 180 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 600 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 861 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,020 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,200 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,215 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,530 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,802 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 3,800 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 8,025 | |
| G & S CARR CROP SPRAYING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 10,668 | |
| G C & L R JEFFERYS & SON | Community Grants | \$ 340 | |
| G E & J V JONES | Community Grants | \$ 836 | |
| G G GUADAGNIN & J L GUADAGNIN & M G GUADAGNIN & P A GUADAGNIN | Community Grants | \$ 4,288 | |
| G MADDOCK & JA MADDOCK | Community Grants | \$ 4,228 | |
| G. MENEGOLA & CO | Community Grants | \$ 3,612 | |
| G. MENEGOLA & CO | Community Grants | \$ 3,612 | |
| GEORGE IRVING & SON | Community Grants | \$ 4,148 | |
| GETHIN FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 2,644 | |
| GIDGEGANNUP AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INCORPORATED | Community Grants | \$ 11,156 | |
| GOLDEN SQUARE FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 3,840 | |
| GOLDFIELDS NULLARBOR RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 45,250 | |
| GOLDFIELDS NULLARBOR RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 90,500 | |
| GOLDFIELDS NULLARBOR RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 235,000 | |
| GOLDFIELDS NULLARBOR RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 339,862 | |
| GREGORY STEPHEN HUNTER | Community Grants | \$ 232 | |
| H & U D REED & SON | Community Grants | \$ 1,840 | |
| H RAY & SON | Community Grants | \$ 160 | |
| HALLEY COWAN & CO | Community Grants | \$ 2,132 | |
| HUNT PARTNERS | Community Grants | \$ 420 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 1 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 3,851 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 12,867 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 16,318 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 16,939 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 17,664 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 33,801 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|--|--------------------|------------|-----------------|
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 38,651 | |
| HYDEN-KARLGARIN SPRAYING | Community Grants | \$ 60,700 | |
| I & E OGILVIE | Community Grants | \$ 4,068 | |
| I S & A DOLTON | Community Grants | \$ 812 | |
| J & C CURRIE & CO | Community Grants | \$ 4,044 | |
| J D & A L POLLARD | Community Grants | \$ 1,280 | |
| J F YANDLE & CO PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,176 | |
| J GRAY & R M GRAY | Community Grants | \$ 568 | |
| J H & V I ROWE | Community Grants | \$ 1,520 | |
| J M MIOLINI & M R MIOLINI & P G MIOLINI | Community Grants | \$ 544 | |
| J P & S J ROSE | Community Grants | \$ 4,656 | |
| J R & V J SPRIGG | Community Grants | \$ 2,052 | |
| J S HUNTER & K A HUNTER | Community Grants | \$ 356 | |
| JANDACROSS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 2,496 | |
| JEFFRIES FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 240 | |
| JM POPE & TL POPE | Community Grants | \$ 1,276 | |
| K & L THOMPSON | Community Grants | \$ 480 | |
| K A & L R DOWNSBOROUGH | Community Grants | \$ 1,088 | |
| KALAMUNDA AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 15,938 | |
| KALGOORLIE BOULDER COMMUNITY FAIR SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 34,180 | |
| KARTHINA FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 2,124 | |
| KATANING LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| KELLERBERRIN AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 450 | |
| KIMBERLEY RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 270,000 | |
| KIMBERLEY RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 342,732 | |
| KOJONUP PASTORAL & AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INCORPORATED) | Community Grants | \$ 1,250 | |
| KS & RM COLE | Community Grants | \$ 1,248 | |
| KUMBOORAN PLAINS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 412 | |
| KUNUNURRA AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 9,562 | |
| L R HAYES & CO | Community Grants | \$ 3,368 | |
| LAKE GRACE LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| LAKE MEARS | Community Grants | \$ 448 | |
| LANDCARE SJ INC | Community Grants | \$ 5,000 | |
| LD & JF HALL & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 280 | |
| LD & JF HALL & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 608 | |
| LENFIELD FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 4,236 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 4,150 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 3,325 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 3,325 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 3,325 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 5,000 | |
| LIMINA CONSULTING PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 7,450 | |
| M & A MORRONE & CO | Community Grants | \$ 1,320 | |
| M & H SMOKER | Community Grants | \$ 284 | |
| M AND S FALCONER AGRICULTURAL CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 1 | |
| M AND S FALCONER AGRICULTURAL CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 2,418 | |
| M AND S FALCONER AGRICULTURAL CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 2,507 | |
| M AND S FALCONER AGRICULTURAL CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 11,951 | |
| M C & J A CULLOTON | Community Grants | \$ 400 | |
| M J & S L SYMES | Community Grants | \$ 3,116 | |
| MAELROAN COMPUTERS | Community Grants | \$ 3,380 | |
| MAELROAN COMPUTERS | Community Grants | \$ 5,700 | |
| MARGARET RIVER AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 15,000 | |
| MARGOT ROCK | Community Grants | \$ 2,004 | |
| MEEKATHARRA RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 40,000 | |
| MEEKATHARRA RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 36,000 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|--|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| MEEKATHARRA RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 110,000 | |
| MEEKATHARRA RANGELANDS BIOSECURITY ASSOCIATION INC | Community Grants | \$ 215,820 | |
| MENTIS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 272 | |
| MICHAEL JOHN HALL | Community Grants | \$ 1,656 | |
| MICHAEL JOSEPH MALONE | Community Grants | \$ 632 | |
| MOORIBIN FAMILY TRUST | Community Grants | \$ 680 | |
| MT MARSHAL & DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 2,400 | |
| MULLEWA DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 4,215 | |
| N A & F J COSGROVE | Community Grants | \$ 1,360 | |
| NAREMBEEN SPRAYING SERVICES (2000) PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 36,045 | |
| NAREMBEEN SPRAYING SERVICES (2000) PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 76,110 | |
| NAREMBEEN SPRAYING SERVICES (2000) PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 91,220 | |
| NAREMBEEN SPRAYING SERVICES (2000) PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 35,110 | |
| NEWDEGATE MACHINERY FIELD DAY COMMITTEE (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 750 | |
| NG & MA MCGELLIN | Community Grants | \$ 1,096 | |
| NOKANING FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 400 | |
| NORTH EAST FARMING FUTURES GROUP (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 27,750 | |
| NORTH EAST FARMING FUTURES GROUP (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 27,750 | |
| NORTH KIMBERLEY LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| NORTH MIDLANDS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 2,400 | |
| NORTH WEST EXPO INC | Community Grants | \$ 6,000 | |
| NORTHAMPTON DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 2,000 | |
| P & AJ IZZI | Community Grants | \$ 420 | |
| P & PD GUERINI & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 2,524 | |
| P & PD GUERINI & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 2,740 | |
| P & PD GUERINI & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 4,556 | |
| P & S OETIKER | Community Grants | \$ 4 | |
| P & S OETIKER | Community Grants | \$ 4,408 | |
| P & S R NEGRI | Community Grants | \$ 776 | |
| P. GOBETTI & CO | Community Grants | \$ 6,640 | |
| PARTNERS IN GRAIN | Community Grants | \$ 56,000 | |
| PARTNERS IN GRAIN | Community Grants | \$ 68,000 | |
| PERENJORI AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 250 | |
| PETER ROBERT SHENTON | Community Grants | \$ 464 | |
| PILBARA REGIONAL BIOSECURITY GROUP INC | Community Grants | \$ 137,500 | |
| PILBARA REGIONAL BIOSECURITY GROUP INC | Community Grants | \$ 241,700 | |
| PLANFARM PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 2,000 | |
| R & AM BLAIR & SON | Community Grants | \$ 1,776 | |
| R & E NICHOLSON & SON | Community Grants | \$ 2,372 | |
| R & J PANIZZA PARTNERSHIP | Community Grants | \$ 5,992 | |
| R & J PANIZZA PARTNERSHIP | Community Grants | \$ 18,276 | |
| R A & E BAILEY | Community Grants | \$ 304 | |
| R A & E BAILEY | Community Grants | \$ 796 | |
| R A & E BAILEY | Community Grants | \$ 1,200 | |
| R R CREAGH & CO | Community Grants | \$ 304 | |
| R S & M SPRIGG | Community Grants | \$ 600 | |
| R W THOMPSON & SON | Community Grants | \$ 584 | |
| RA & L DELLA BOSCA | Community Grants | \$ 640 | |
| ROBERT A & MAXINE K MIOLINI | Community Grants | \$ 4,008 | |
| ROLAND D & JENNIFER A DIXON | Community Grants | \$ 800 | |
| ROSS OLIVER SUPER PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 2,056 | |
| ROYAL AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA INC | Community Grants | \$ 1,200,000 | |
| RS & JA DELLA BOSCA | Community Grants | \$ 2,876 | |
| RSPCA WA INC | Community Grants | \$ 550,000 | |
| RURAL FINANCIAL COUNSELLING SERVICE WA | Community Grants | \$ 27,750 | |
| RURAL FINANCIAL COUNSELLING SERVICE WA | Community Grants | \$ 27,750 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| SAM THORN | Community Grants | \$ 460 | |
| SHIRE OF BRUCE ROCK | Community Grants | \$ 8,000 | |
| SHIRE OF COLLIE | Community Grants | \$ 6,492 | |
| SHIRE OF GOOMALLING | Community Grants | \$ 7,000 | |
| SHIRE OF KONDININ | Community Grants | \$ 44,500 | |
| SHIRE OF NAREMBEEN | Community Grants | \$ 130,000 | |
| SHIRE OF SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE | Community Grants | \$ 10,000 | |
| SHIRE OF YILGARN | Community Grants | \$ 142,000 | |
| SITE SPECIFIC SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 3,780 | |
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY BUSSELTON INC | Community Grants | \$ 30,000 | |
| SR & NE PARSONS | Community Grants | \$ 10,796 | |
| SWAN VIEW AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL AND ART SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 3,000 | |
| T M & A I RUTHERFORD | Community Grants | \$ 940 | |
| TF & DM MAJOR | Community Grants | \$ 720 | |
| THE BERT GARBIN FAMILY TRUST | Community Grants | \$ 1,496 | |
| THE CORRIGIN AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| THE DARDANUP BULL AND BARRELL FESTIVAL INCORPORATED | Community Grants | \$ 21,875 | |
| THE DARDANUP BULL AND BARRELL FESTIVAL INCORPORATED | Community Grants | \$ 1,989 | |
| The Grow Zone incorporated | Community Grants | \$ 25,000 | |
| THE HARVEY AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INCORPORATED | Community Grants | \$ 11,325 | |
| THE KATANNING AGRICULTURAL PASTORAL AND HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY (INCORP) | Community Grants | \$ 10,625 | |
| THE KELMSCOTT AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 30,009 | |
| THE KOORDA AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 2,000 | |
| THE LATHAM FARMING TRUST & MERRICK C & DIANNE & GAYNOR C & PATRICIA D I | Community Grants | \$ 1,140 | |
| THE MERREDIN AND DISTRICTS AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 4,375 | |
| THE NARROGIN AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 9,000 | |
| THE OSBORNE PARK AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 20,000 | |
| THE TAMBELLUP AGRICULTURE SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 1,200 | |
| THE TRUSTEE FOR TERRY ARMSTRONG FAMILY TRUST | Community Grants | \$ 180 | |
| THE TRUSTEE FOR THE K J MILLER FAMILY TRUST | Community Grants | \$ 1,228 | |
| THE WILLIAMS GATEWAY EXPO (INC) | Community Grants | \$ 5,047 | |
| THE YEENYELLUP TRUST | Community Grants | \$ 208 | |
| THOMININ FARMS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 2,284 | |
| TOMLIJANOVICH & CO | Community Grants | \$ 860 | |
| TRD CONTRACTORS | Community Grants | \$ 3,444 | |
| UPPER BLACKWOOD AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 1,100 | |
| W & D DELLA BOSCA & SON | Community Grants | \$ 1,589 | |
| W & M HANDSCOMBE & SONS | Community Grants | \$ 480 | |
| WA & D NEGRI | Community Grants | \$ 580 | |
| WAGIN AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 10,000 | |
| WAITAVALO FARMS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 5,368 | |
| WALGOOLAN FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 1,580 | |
| WALORTIM FARM | Community Grants | \$ 1,000 | |
| WAROONA AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 31,450 | |
| WARREN DISTRICT ARGICULTURAL HORTICULTURAL AND INDUSTRY SOCIETY INC | Community Grants | \$ 10,000 | |
| WASS HOLDINGS PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,144 | |
| WATERQUIP PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 808 | |
| WAIKARINGA FARMS | Community Grants | \$ 904 | |
| WELLSLEY NOMINEES PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 1,124 | |
| WEST KOOJAN-GILLINGARRA LAND CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMITTEE | Community Grants | \$ 500 | |
| WHEATBELT MEN'S HEALTH INC | Community Grants | \$ 140,000 | |
| WHEATBELT MEN'S HEALTH INC | Community Grants | \$ 200,000 | |
| WHEATBELT MEN'S HEALTH INC | Community Grants | \$ 200,000 | |
| WOOLENA DOWNS | Community Grants | \$ 348 | |
| YILGARN AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY | Community Grants | \$ 1,000 | |
| YILGARN FIELD SERVICES | Community Grants | \$ 1,320 | |
| YORK AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY INCORPORATED | Community Grants | \$ 1,008 | |
| ZANIK PTY LTD | Community Grants | \$ 428 | |
| RGB | Community Grants | \$ 5,000 | |
| Total Community Grants | | \$ 7,601,674 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| NSW Trade & Investment | Direct | \$ 5,513 | Y |
| BADAN TENAGA NUKLIR NASIONAL | Direct | \$ 9,060 | Y |
| FUTURE FARM INDUSTRIES CRC LIMITED | Direct | \$ 50,000 | Y |
| GRAIN INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA (INC) | Direct | \$ 32,500 | Y |
| GRAIN INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA (INC) | Direct | \$ 100,000 | Y |
| GRAIN INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA (INC) | Direct | \$ 200,000 | Y |
| National Soil Reserch | Direct | \$ 13,636 | Y |
| SHEEPMET COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA | Direct | \$ 2,750 | Y |
| SHEEPMET COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA | Direct | \$ 7,085 | Y |
| SHEEPMET COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA | Direct | \$ 9,185 | Y |
| UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA | Direct | \$ 10,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 4,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 4,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 5,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 5,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 5,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 6,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 7,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 8,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 8,000 | Y |
| WA BEEF COUNCIL INC | Direct | \$ 10,000 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| WESTERN DAIRY INCORPORATED | Direct | \$ 18,750 | Y |
| Total Direct | | \$ 670,479 | |

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| ANIMAL HEALTH AUSTRALIA | PISC Payments | \$ 41,567 | |
| ANIMAL HEALTH AUSTRALIA | PISC Payments | \$ 86,364 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | PISC Payments | \$ 2,764 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | PISC Payments | \$ 2,814 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | PISC Payments | \$ 53,429 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | PISC Payments | \$ 367,500 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | PISC Payments | \$ 367,500 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (Commonwealth) | PISC Payments | \$ 2,455 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (Commonwealth) | PISC Payments | \$ 40,641 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (NSW) | PISC Payments | \$ 14,230 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (QLD) | PISC Payments | \$ 3,143 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (QLD) | PISC Payments | \$ 21,190 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY (QLD) | PISC Payments | \$ 21,190 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND PRIMARY INDUSTRIES | PISC Payments | \$ 11,753 | |
| PLANT HEALTH AUSTRALIA LIMITED | PISC Payments | \$ 153,999 | |
| PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND RESOURCES SA | PISC Payments | \$ 339 | |
| PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND RESOURCES SA | PISC Payments | \$ 1,141 | |
| RURAL INDUSTRIES RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION | PISC Payments | \$ 11,250 | |
| RURAL INDUSTRIES RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION | PISC Payments | \$ 11,250 | |
| RURAL INDUSTRIES RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION | PISC Payments | \$ 40,000 | |
| Total PISC PAYMENTS | | \$ 1,254,519 | |

PUBLIC

| Employee/Supplier | Category of Grants | Amount | Research Grants |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| CSIRO | Third Party | \$ 50,000 | Y |
| CURTIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | Third Party | \$ 56,876 | Y |
| CURTIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | Third Party | \$ 60,229 | Y |
| CURTIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY | Third Party | \$ 200,000 | Y |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES & FORESTRY | Third Party | \$ 23,727 | Y |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | Third Party | \$ 33,323 | Y |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | Third Party | \$ 34,220 | Y |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FISHERIES AND FORESTRY | Third Party | \$ 34,220 | Y |
| DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND PRIMARY INDUSTRIES | Third Party | \$ 8,600 | Y |
| Dept Primary Industriesa Queensland | Third Party | \$ 7,505 | Y |
| HORTICULTURE AUSTRALIA LIMITED | Third Party | \$ 87,083 | Y |
| HORTICULTURE AUSTRALIA LIMITED | Third Party | \$ 323,146 | Y |
| MEAT & LIVESTOCK AUSTRALIA LIMITED | Third Party | \$ 831 | Y |
| MICROMETEOROLOGY RESEARCH AND EDUCATION SERVICES | Third Party | \$ 168,628 | Y |
| MURDOCH UNIVERSITY | Third Party | \$ 32,000 | Y |
| MURDOCH UNIVERSITY | Third Party | \$ 73,000 | Y |
| MURDOCH UNIVERSITY | Third Party | \$ 83,572 | Y |
| NORTH AUSTRALIA BEEF RESEARCH COUNCIL | Third Party | \$ 7,500 | Y |
| PORK CRC LTD | Third Party | \$ 6,250 | Y |
| PORK CRC LTD | Third Party | \$ 6,250 | Y |
| PORK CRC LTD | Third Party | \$ 6,250 | Y |
| PORK CRC LTD | Third Party | \$ 6,250 | Y |
| PRIMARY INDUSTRIES AND RESOURCES SA | Third Party | \$ 50,000 | Y |
| RANGELANDS NRM CO-ORDINATING GROUP (INC) | Third Party | \$ 130,267 | Y |
| South Australian Research Institute | Third Party | \$ 13,010 | Y |
| South Australian Research Institute | Third Party | \$ 12,851 | Y |
| University of Queensland | Third Party | \$ 17,991 | Y |
| UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA | Third Party | \$ 23,640 | Y |
| UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA | Third Party | \$ 40,124 | Y |
| UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA | Third Party | \$ 275,117 | Y |
| Total Third Party | | \$ 1,872,460 | |
| Total | | \$ 11,399,132 | |

PUBLIC