

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

QUESTIONS

No. 185

Wednesday, 27 February 2008

Questions appear according to the date on which notice was delivered.
When a question has been answered it is removed from the postponed list.

POSTPONED QUESTIONS

Notice Given ***Wednesday, 6 December 2006***

4443. Hon Simon O'Brien to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)

Notice Given ***Tuesday, 20 March 2007***

4476. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Multicultural Interests and Citizenship (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4519. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4520. Hon Peter Collier to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Small Business (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4521. Hon Peter Collier to the Leader of the House representing the Minister Assisting the Minister for Federal-State Relations (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4574. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4575. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Small Business (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)
4576. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister Assisting the Minister for Federal-State Relations (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

4665. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Sport and Recreation (*SO 138(d) response - May 2 2007*)

Notice Given ***Wednesday, 28 November 2007***

5644. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training

Notice Given ***Tuesday, 4 December 2007***

5654. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Leader of the House representing the Premier

5655. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

5656. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training

Notice Given ***Thursday, 6 December 2007***

5720. Hon Nigel Hallett to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure

5725. Hon Donna Faragher to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Energy

Notice Given ***Tuesday, 19 February 2008***

5732. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training

5733. Hon Norman Moore to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services

5734. Hon Barbara Scott to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure

5735. Hon Barbara Scott to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

5736. Hon Barbara Scott to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

5737. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Treasurer

5738. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services

5739. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure

5740. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Treasurer

5741. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

5742. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services

5743. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

5744. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5745. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food
- 5746. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Forestry
- 5747. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Mid West and Wheatbelt
- 5748. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Great Southern
- 5749. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government
- 5750. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Racing and Gaming
- 5751. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Multicultural Interests and Citizenship
- 5752. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Government Enterprises
- 5753. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5754. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Goldfields-Esperance
- 5755. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Youth
- 5756. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development
- 5757. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Fisheries
- 5758. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne
- 5759. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection
- 5760. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Communities
- 5761. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Women's Interests
- 5762. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Seniors and Volunteering
- 5763. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Premier
- 5764. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Federal-State Relations
- 5765. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Trade
- 5766. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Innovation
- 5767. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Science
- 5768. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Public Sector Management
- 5769. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Deputy Premier
- 5770. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Treasurer
- 5771. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
- 5772. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services
- 5773. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Community Safety

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5774. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Water Resources
- 5775. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Sport and Recreation
- 5776. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Attorney General
- 5777. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
- 5778. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Electoral Affairs
- 5779. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Employment Protection
- 5780. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5781. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5782. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Heritage
- 5783. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Land Information
- 5784. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5785. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Disability Services
- 5786. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Tourism
- 5787. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Culture and the Arts
- 5788. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Consumer Protection
- 5789. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training
- 5790. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for South West
- 5791. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Energy
- 5792. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources
- 5793. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Industry and Enterprise
- 5794. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services
- 5795. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Small Business

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5796. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister Assisting the Minister for Federal-State Relations
- 5797. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment
- 5798. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Climate Change
- 5799. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Peel
- 5800. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food
- 5801. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Forestry
- 5802. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Mid West and Wheatbelt
- 5803. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Great Southern
- 5804. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government
- 5805. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Racing and Gaming
- 5806. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Multicultural Interests and Citizenship
- 5807. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Government Enterprises
- 5808. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5809. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Goldfields-Esperance
- 5810. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Youth
- 5811. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development
- 5812. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Fisheries
- 5813. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne
- 5814. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection
- 5815. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Communities
- 5816. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Women's Interests
- 5817. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Seniors and Volunteering
- 5818. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Premier
- 5819. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Federal-State Relations
- 5820. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Trade
- 5821. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Innovation
- 5822. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Science
- 5823. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Public Sector Management
- 5824. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Deputy Premier

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5825. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Treasurer
- 5826. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
- 5827. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services
- 5828. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Community Safety
- 5829. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Water Resources
- 5830. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Sport and Recreation
- 5831. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Attorney General
- 5832. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
- 5833. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Electoral Affairs
- 5834. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Employment Protection
- 5835. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5836. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5837. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Heritage
- 5838. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Land Information
- 5839. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5840. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Disability Services
- 5841. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Tourism
- 5842. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Culture and the Arts
- 5843. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Consumer Protection
- 5844. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training
- 5845. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for South West
- 5846. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Energy

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5847. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources
- 5848. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Industry and Enterprise
- 5849. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services
- 5850. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Small Business
- 5851. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister Assisting the Minister for Federal-State Relations
- 5852. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment
- 5853. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Climate Change
- 5854. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Peel
- 5855. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Agriculture and Food
- 5856. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Forestry
- 5857. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Mid West and Wheatbelt
- 5858. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Great Southern
- 5859. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government
- 5860. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Racing and Gaming
- 5861. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Multicultural Interests and Citizenship
- 5862. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Government Enterprises
- 5863. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister Assisting the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5864. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Goldfields-Esperance
- 5865. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Youth
- 5866. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development
- 5867. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Fisheries
- 5868. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for the Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne
- 5869. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection
- 5870. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Communities
- 5871. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Women's Interests
- 5872. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Seniors and Volunteering
- 5873. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Premier
- 5874. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Federal-State Relations

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5875. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Trade
- 5876. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Innovation
- 5877. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Science
- 5878. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Public Sector Management
- 5879. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Deputy Premier
- 5880. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Treasurer
- 5881. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
- 5882. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Police and Emergency Services
- 5883. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Community Safety
- 5884. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Water Resources
- 5885. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Sport and Recreation
- 5886. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Attorney General
- 5887. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
- 5888. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Electoral Affairs
- 5889. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Employment Protection
- 5890. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5891. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5892. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Heritage
- 5893. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Land Information
- 5894. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure
- 5895. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Disability Services
- 5896. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Tourism
- 5897. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Culture and the Arts

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5898. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Consumer Protection
- 5899. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training
- 5900. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for South West
- 5901. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Energy
- 5902. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources
- 5903. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Industry and Enterprise
- 5904. Hon Ray Halligan to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services
- 5905. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Small Business
- 5906. Hon Ray Halligan to the Leader of the House representing the Minister Assisting the Minister for Federal-State Relations
- 5907. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment
- 5908. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Climate Change
- 5909. Hon Ray Halligan to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Peel
- 5910. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Attorney General
- 5911. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services
- 5912. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Attorney General
- 5913. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment
- 5914. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
- 5915. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
- 5916. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5917. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5918. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment
- 5919. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5920. Hon Giz Watson to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions***Notice Given*** ***Wednesday, 20 February 2008***

5921. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5922. Hon Shelley Archer to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development
5923. Hon Peter Collier to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training
5924. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5925. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5926. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5927. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Regional Development representing the Minister for Corrective Services
5928. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5929. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health

Notice Given ***Thursday, 21 February 2008***

5930. Hon Ken Baston to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Education and Training
5931. Hon Helen Morton to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5932. Hon Giz Watson to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources

Notice Given ***Tuesday, 26 February 2008***

5933. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Minister for Child Protection representing the Minister for Health
5934. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5935. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5936. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5937. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5938. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5939. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5940. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
5941. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Postponed Questions

- 5942. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5943. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5944. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5945. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5946. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5947. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5948. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5949. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5950. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5951. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5952. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5953. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5954. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works
- 5955. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs
- 5956. Hon Shelley Archer to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Indigenous Affairs

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

QUESTIONS ASKED TODAY

*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

5957. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

Japanese-owned INPEX Browse Ltd is proposing to establish a 12 million tonne per annum liquefied natural gas plant on the Maret Islands on the North-West Kimberley Coast. INPEX Browse Ltd is wholly-owned by INPEX Corporation, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of INPEX Holdings Inc. (IHI). IHI, and hence INPEX Browse Ltd (IBL), is held 29.35 percent by the Japanese Government. Shareholders of IHI, and hence IBL, include (but are not limited to) Itochu Corporation, Japan Petroleum Exploration Corporation Co. Ltd, Mitsubishi Corporation, Mitsui Oil Exploration Co. Ltd and Nippon Oil Corporation. Major customers of IBL are likely to include key Japanese electricity and gas utilities (e.g. Osaka Gas, Toho Gas Company, Tokyo Electric Power Co. Ltd and Tokyo Gas Company Ltd). I ask -

- (1) Has the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) entered into any arrangement with INPEX Browse Ltd or its associated entities, including shareholders or customers to which it is likely to supply (hereafter referred to as INPEX), for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research at, or in the vicinity of, the Maret Islands?
- (2) If yes to (1), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (3) If no to (2), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to) -
 - (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided, and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (4) Has the DEC entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research in the Kimberley region?
- (5) If yes to (4), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (6) If no to (5), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to) -
 - (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (7) Has the DEC entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research outside of the Kimberley region?
- (8) Has the DEC entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work by the DEC or parties associated with the DEC at or in the vicinity of the Maret Islands?
- (9) If yes to (8), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (10) If no to (9), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to):
 - (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (11) Has the DEC entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work in the Kimberley region?
- (12) If yes to (11), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (13) If no to (12), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to) -
- (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (14) Has the DEC entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the DEC, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the DEC, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work outside of the Kimberley region?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (15) If yes to (14), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (16) If no to (15), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to) -
- (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (17) Have any of the DEC Kimberley District Offices entered into any direct arrangements with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties sub-contracted to those parties) for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research in the Kimberley region?
- (18) If yes to (17), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (19) If no to (18), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to):
- (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (20) Have any of the DEC Kimberley District Offices entered into any direct arrangements with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties sub-contracted to those parties) for the conduct of the DEC Kimberley District Offices' work in the Kimberley region (whether related to terrestrial or marine research or not)?
- (21) If yes to (20), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties?
- (22) If no to (21), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the DEC and/or associated parties, including (but not limited to) -
- (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects;
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?
- (23) Have any of the DEC Kimberley District Offices had discussions with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties sub-contracted to those parties) for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research in the Kimberley region?
- (24) If yes to (23), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these discussions, including meeting minutes and file memoranda?
- (25) If yes to (23), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to -
- (a) the dates on which these discussions were held;
 - (b) the parties involved on each occasion, including the dates at which each party was in attendance; and

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (c) the nature of the discussions held, including their outcome?
- (26) Have any of the DEC Kimberley District Offices had discussions with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties associated with the DEC (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of DEC Kimberley District Offices, or parties sub-contracted to those parties) for the conduct of the DEC Kimberley District Offices' work in the Kimberley region (whether related to terrestrial or marine research or not)?
- (27) If yes to (26), can the Minister please table any documentation relating to these discussions, including meeting minutes and file memoranda?
- (28) If yes to (26), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to -
 - (a) the dates on which these discussions were held;
 - (b) the parties involved on each occasion, including the dates at which each party was in attendance; and
 - (c) the nature of the discussions held, including their outcome?
- (29) Does the DEC have, or has it had, any staff (whether full-time or part-time) on full or part-time secondment to consultants associated with the INPEX terrestrial or marine studies in relation to its proposed Ichthys LNG project?
- (30) If yes to (29), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to -
 - (a) the names of the staff involved, including their titles and areas of expertise;
 - (b) the length of the secondment(s);
 - (c) the nature of any financial compensation being received by the DEC for the secondment and its value;
 - (d) the work to be/ or undertaken by each of the staff members involved;
 - (e) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be/ or was publicly made available and documented;
 - (f) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be/ or was subject to public and independent peer review; and
 - (g) any other information that might be relevant?

5958. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for State Development

Japanese-owned INPEX Browse Ltd (INPEX) is proposing to establish a 12 million tonne per annum liquefied natural gas plant on the Maret Islands on the North-West Kimberley Coast. On or about 4 May 2006, the Office of Development Approvals Coordinator referred the 'Ichthys gas-condensate field development Maret Islands in the Bonaparte Archipelago off the Kimberley Coast' (the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development) to the Department of Environmental Protection (EPA). On or about 18 May 2006, the EPA recommended that the environmental assessment for the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development be set at the level of Environmental Review and Management Programme (ERMP). The Minister and Government has made much of the rigorous environmental

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

scrutiny to which the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development will be subject. The Minister and Government have also made much of the economic benefits that the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development will deliver to the Kimberley Region and to the State of Western Australia more generally. I ask -

- (1) Subject to all other State and Federal legislative requirements being satisfied, if, following the ERMP, the EPA recommends that, from an environmental point of view, the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development should not be permitted to proceed, does the Premier or Government or any other Ministers of the Crown have the power to over-ride the recommendations of the EPA and allow the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to proceed?
- (2) Will the State of Western Australia be able to directly levy State Royalties on gas-condensate production from the INPEX-held WA-285P (the INPEX Ichthys Field)?
- (3) If yes to (2), will the Minister please outline the basis upon which the State of Western Australia will be able to directly levy State Royalties on gas-condensate production from the INPEX Ichthys Field?
- (4) If yes to (2), will the Minister please provide Government modelling as to the quantum and timing of any royalties that it expects to receive from the INPEX LNG development at the Maret Islands?
- (5) Will the State of Western Australia be able to directly levy State Royalties on LNG production from the proposed INPEX LNG development at the Maret Islands?
- (6) If yes to (5), will the Minister please outline the basis upon which the State of Western Australia will be able to directly levy State royalties on LNG production from the proposed INPEX LNG development at the Maret Islands?
- (7) If yes to (5), will the Minister please provide Government modelling as to the quantum and timing of any royalties that it expects to receive from the INPEX LNG development at the Maret Islands?
- (8) Has the Government or its agencies (including consultants acting on its behalf) undertaken economic modelling of the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to the State of Western Australia?
- (9) If yes to (8), will the Minister please outline the primary economic models that the Government or its agencies (including consultants acting on its behalf) has applied in evaluating the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to the State of Western Australia?
- (10) If yes to (8), will the Minister please table the results and reports of the primary economic modelling of the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to the State of Western Australia?
- (11) Has the Government or its agencies (including consultants acting on its behalf) undertaken economic modelling of the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to the Kimberley Region?
- (12) If yes to (11), will the Minister please outline the primary economic models that the Government or its agencies (including consultants acting on its behalf) has applied in

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

evaluating the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to Kimberley Region?

- (13) If yes to (11), will the Minister please table the results and reports of the primary economic modelling of the projected benefits and downsides of the INPEX Kimberley Coast LNG Development to the Kimberley Region?

5959. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Culture and the Arts

Japanese-owned INPEX Browse Ltd is proposing to establish a 12 million tonne per annum liquefied natural gas plant on the Maret Islands on the North-West Kimberley Coast. Toho Gas Company, Tokyo Electric Power Co. Ltd and Tokyo Gas Company Ltd are Corporate Partners of the Western Australian Museum. Each are Japanese electricity and gas utilities servicing the Japanese market almost exclusively and stand to be major customers of the proposed INPEX Ichthys LNG development. The Western Australian Museum, as outlined in its values statement, inter alia, 'endeavours to play a vital role in terms of its operations, research, education and communication on environmental sustainability issues'. I ask -

- (1) Has the Western Australian Museum (WA Museum) entered into any arrangement with INPEX Browse Ltd or its associated entities, including major shareholders or customers to which it supplies (hereafter referred to as INPEX), for the provision of resources (financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research at, or in the vicinity of, the Maret Islands?
- (2) If yes to (1), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (3) If no to (2), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -
 - (a) the commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (4) Has the WA Museum entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research in the Kimberley region?
- (5) If yes to (4), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (6) If no to (5), can the Minister please provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -
 - (a) the total commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided, and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?
- (7) Has the WA Museum entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of terrestrial or marine research outside of the Kimberley region?
- (8) If yes to (7), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (9) If no to (8), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -
 - (a) the total commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?
- (10) Has the WA Museum entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work by the WA Museum or parties associated with the WA Museum at or in the vicinity of the Maret Islands?
- (11) If yes to (10), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (12) If no to (11), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -
- (a) the total commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?
- (13) Has the WA Museum entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work in the Kimberley region?
- (14) If yes to (13), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (15) If no to (14), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (a) the total commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?
- (16) Has the WA Museum entered into any arrangement with INPEX for the provision of resources (whether financial or otherwise) to the WA Museum, or parties associated with the WA Museum (including parties that have been or are to be commissioned to undertake work on behalf of the WA Museum, or parties sub-contracted to those parties), for the conduct of work outside of the Kimberley region?
- (17) If yes to (16), can the Minister table any documentation relating to these arrangements, including the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties?
- (18) If no to (17), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to the nature of these arrangements and the terms under which INPEX has agreed to provide and/or has provided the said resources to the WA Museum and/or associated parties, including -
- (a) the total commercial A\$ value of these resources;
 - (b) the corporate and trading names from which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided;
 - (c) the dates upon which the said resources have been and/or are to be provided and the commercial A\$ value provided upon each date;
 - (d) the corporate and trading names to which the said resources are to be provided (whether Government or otherwise);
 - (e) specific projects benefiting from receipt of the said resources, and the names of the people responsible for management of those projects; and
 - (f) reports produced as a result of the receipt of the said resources, or that are currently in production or will be produced?
- (19) Does the WA Museum have any staff (whether full-time or part-time) on full or part-time secondment to INPEX and/or consultants associated with the INPEX terrestrial or marine studies in relation to its proposed Ichthys LNG project?
- (20) If yes to (19), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to -
- (a) the names of the staff involved, including their titles and areas of expertise;

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (b) the length of the secondment(s);
 - (c) the nature of any financial compensation being received by the WA Museum for the secondment and its value;
 - (d) the work to be undertaken by each of the staff members involved;
 - (e) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be publicly made available and documented and
 - (f) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be subject to public and independent peer review?
- (21) Has the WA Museum had any staff (whether full-time or part-time) on full or part-time secondment to INPEX and/or consultants associated with the INPEX terrestrial or marine studies in relation to its proposed Ichthys LNG project at any time?
- (22) If yes to (21), can the Minister provide a detailed overview as to -
- (a) the names of the staff involved, including their titles and areas of expertise;
 - (b) the length of the secondment(s);
 - (c) the nature of any financial compensation being received by the WA Museum for the secondment and its value;
 - (d) the work undertaken by each of the staff members involved;
 - (e) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be publicly made available and documented; and
 - (f) whether the work undertaken by each of the staff members, and their findings, will be subject to public and independent peer review?
- (23) Can the Minister outline what operational interests each of Toho Gas Company, Tokyo Electric Power Co. Ltd and Tokyo Gas Company Ltd have in Western Australia, a State some ten thousand kilometres away from their dominant operational and customer base?
- (24) Can the Minister outline what corporate benefit each of Toho Gas Company, Tokyo Electric Power Co. Ltd and Tokyo Gas Company might obtain from a philanthropic association with the Western Australian Museum: an organization located well over ten thousand kilometres away from their dominant operational and customer base?

5960. Hon Giz Watson to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources

With regard to formation waters produced from off shore facilities and the requirements of section 50 of the *Offshore Petroleum Act 2006* and the Minister and DoIR being the designated authority to run the offshore oil and gas industry in Commonwealth waters off the Western Australian coast and Section 407 and 408 Direction to keep records. Offshore petroleum operators required to give records to a designated authority, I ask -

- (1) Can the Minister provide detailed information on waste waters (total produced formation waters) discharged into the ocean in 2005/2006 from all the production platforms around the North West coast of Australia, including the following -

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (a) Timor Sea producing platforms, feeding Darwin (off North Kimberley Coast) which includes -
 - (i) Puffin;
 - (ii) Jabiru;
 - (iii) Challis/Cassini;
 - (iv) Blacktip (Bonaparte Basin – close to Kimberley Coast near Berkeley);
 - (v) Bayu Undan;
 - (vi) Buffalo; and
 - (vii) Laminaria East?
- (b) Pilbara producing platforms which includes -
 - (i) Mutineer;
 - (ii) Exeter;
 - (iii) Hermes;
 - (iv) Lambert;
 - (v) Angel;
 - (vi) Cossack;
 - (vii) Wanaea;
 - (viii) Legendre North;
 - (ix) Legendre South;
 - (x) Wandoo;
 - (xi) Stag;
 - (xii) North Rankin;
 - (xiii) Perseus;
 - (xiv) Echo/Yodel;
 - (xv) Goodwyn South/Pueblo;
 - (xvi) John Brookes;
 - (xvii) East Spar;
 - (xviii) Woollybutt;
 - (xix) Chinook/Scindian;
 - (xx) Griffin;
 - (xxi) Yammaderry;
 - (xxii) Cowle;

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (xxiii) Crest;
- (xxiv) Saladin;
- (xxv) Skate;
- (xxvi) Roller;
- (xxvii) Novara;
- (xxviii) Enfield;
- (xxix) Vincent;
- (xxx) Eskdale;
- (xxxi) Skybarrow;
- (xxxii) Campbell;
- (xxxiii) Wonnich;
- (xxxiv) Endymion/Sinbad;
- (xxxv) Bambra;
- (xxxvi) Linda;
- (xxxvii) Harriet;
- (xxxviii) Gudrun;
- (xxxix) Lee/Rose;
- (xl) North Alkimos;
- (xli) Agincourt;
- (xlii) Gipsy;
- (xliii) Monet/Simpson/Tanami;
- (xliv) S. Plato;
- (xlv) Little Sandy/Pedirka/North Pedirka/Hoover;
- (xlvi) Victoria; and
- (xlvii) Double Island?

- (2) What is the total volume of waste water discharged per year?
- (3) What is the breakdown and concentration of National Pollution Inventory (NPI) substances in the formation water (mg/L)?
- (4) What is the mass of NPI substances emitted from each platform in 2005/2006 (kg/year)?
- (5) Section 52 Delegations, delegates the authority to a person. Will the Minister identify that person?
- (6) If not to (5), why not?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today**Notice given****Wednesday, 27 February 2008**

5961. Hon Giz Watson to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for Consumer Protection

I refer to the Minister to an accident involving the release of ammonia at Burrup Fertilisers on 6 February 2008 -

- (1) Did Burrup Fertilisers (BFPL) inform the Resources Safety Division (RSD) of the ammonia release?
- (2) If yes to (1), at what time was that notice given to the RSD of the ammonia release?
- (3) Is it correct that industry nearby detected that ammonia release at approximately 7.25 am of that morning?
- (4) If there is any discrepancy between the incident time and the reporting time can the Minister explain why?
- (5) Given that BFPL is designated as a Major Hazard Facility and therefore requires a Safety Report (Safety Case) consistent with the requirements of the National Standard for the Control of Major Hazard Facilities, what does the Minister or her Department intend to do to rectify this failure in reporting?
- (6) Given that this is the latest in a line of incidents at this plant, what is the RSD doing to ensure that BFPL addresses the situation and ensures no further releases occurs?
- (7) What was the size, nature, and location of this release?
- (8) Other than ammonia were any other constituents released?
- (9) What is the role of the Mission Mode Emergency Notification System (Mobile text messages and Email) in this process?
- (10) In respect of the answer to (9) -
 - (a) who initiates it;
 - (b) who authorises its initiation; and
 - (c) who is advised by this process?
- (11) Irrespective of the size of the incident quantified after the event, given that BFPL plant is designated as a Major Hazard Facility, what actions are taken to ensure that the public in the nearby vicinity of Deep Gorge and Hearson's Cove recreation beach, are either advised or removed?
- (12) At what exact time was DoCEP (RSD and WorkSafe), FESA (Karratha), Dampier Port Authority, the neighbouring industries, and the Karratha police informed of this incident?
- (13) Is there any cross check to ensure that the Mission Mode Emergency Notification System has indeed been received by the relevant officers in DoCEP (RSD and WorkSafe), FESA (Karratha), Dampier Port Authority, the neighbouring industries or the Karratha police in time for them to initiate any activity or evacuation should that be necessary?
- (14) If there has been a failure of BFPL to communicate the incident immediately, will they be prosecuted under the *Explosive and Dangerous Goods Act 1961*?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (15) Given the extremely hazardous nature of this plant and its potential impact, what is the maximum penalty available for failure to report the incident in a timely manner?
- (16) In relation to the incidents at BFPL, are these all investigated and, if so, will the Minister table copies of all investigations to date?

5962. Hon Wendy Duncan to the Minister for Local Government representing the Minister for Housing and Works

I refer to the subsidised housing provided for essential Government workers such as teachers, nurses and police officers in non-metropolitan areas of the State, and I ask -

- (1) How many in the following categories are currently residing in non-metropolitan Government Regional Officers' Housing (GROH) accommodation -
 - (a) nurses;
 - (b) teachers; and
 - (c) police officers?
- (2) How many in the above categories are on the waiting list for non-metropolitan GROH accommodation?
- (3) Please detail the total number of GROH properties in each non-metropolitan town or community?
- (4) Please detail the subsidy available for non-metropolitan GROH houses on a town by town basis.

5963. Hon Helen Morton to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Energy

- (1) Will the Minister confirm that Glevan Consultancy Services was commissioned by Western Power to conduct a Phytophthora dieback environmental survey of Western Power's preferred site for an Eastern Terminal substation, located close to Mundaring Weir Road and opposite the junction with Paulls Valley Road, Hacketts Gully, and that the survey was conducted on or about 28 August 2007?
- (2) Over the past 12 months, has Western Power commissioned Glevan Consultancy Services or any other environmental consulting company to conduct environmental surveys on any sites within the study area for the proposed Eastern Terminal?
- (3) If yes to (2), please provide the details of the locations surveyed, the purpose of each survey, the date of each survey and the name of the company conducting each survey?
- (4) Western Power announced on 7 February 2008 that a temporary structure called a 'gantry' would be constructed in Hacketts Gully at the intersection of the existing 330 KV and 132 KV transmission lines, and that the 132 KV transmission line from Boddington (Wells Terminal) to Hacketts Gully would be upgraded to 330 KV. If the Eastern Terminal were not constructed in the study area, is it the case that -
 - (a) the 330 KV transmission line from Collie would be extended from the gantry in Hacketts Gully to Guildford Terminal substation;
 - (b) this line would traverse Kalamunda National Park; and

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today

*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (c) the construction would involve the clearing of approximately 25 hectares of vegetation in Kalamunda National Park?
- (5) If the proposed Eastern Terminal substation is built in the study area, is it the case that it would be necessary at some time in the future to construct a further 330 KV transmission line through Kalamunda National Park to deliver energy from the Eastern Terminal substation to the metropolitan area?
- (6) On average in the past three months, how many hours per day did the Pinjar gas turbine generators provide power to the grid, rather than operating as synchronous condensers that provide reactive power only?

5964. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd (KCGM) on behalf of Newmont Australia and Barrick Gold proposal to restart the Kaltails tailings dam and to minutes of a meeting held 19 December 1991 at the offices of State Development titled 'Kaltails Tailings Dam- Seepage of Hypersaline Water' in which Mr Harvey Johnstone from the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) attended along with others from the Mines Department, Water Authority of Western Australia (WAWA) and the Department of Conservation and Land Management(CALM), and I ask -

- (1) Is it correct that the minutes dated 19 December 1991 in part state 'The consensus of the meeting was that the report submitted by Kaltails Management Services Pty Ltd in accordance with the *Tailings Treatment (Kalgoorlie) Agreement Act*, clause 9/(2) was not a satisfactory document. There was general disappointment that the report did not address the root cause of the problem. This was perceived to be the poor handling of tailings, which had resulted in the decant pond sitting on the ground surface for an extended period. The intended self sealing status of the dam was thus delayed. The seepage of hypersaline water had caused vegetation loss for a distance of over 500 metres from the tailings wall.'?
- (2) If no to (1), will the Minister quote the full text from these minutes dated 19 December 1991?
- (3) Is it correct that the minutes dated 19 December 1991 under the heading of 'Siting of Tailings Dam' in part state 'It was agreed that the site selection of the tailings dam was poor. The dam was constructed on sloping ground and this has prevented the entire area being coated with the tailings that would set and make an impervious layer to resist seepage of hypersaline water. This had not occurred and this can be attributed to poor site selection and dam design, and inadequate tailings management.'?
- (4) If no to (3), will the Minister quote the full text from these minutes dated 19 December 1991?
- (5) Will the Minister table a copy of the minutes dated 19 December 1991?
- (6) If no to (5), why not?
- (7) Can the Minister explain why the EPA, Department of Environmental Protection allowed the operator of the Kaltails tailings dam to cause extensive pollution, killing and stressing vegetation some 500 metres from the tailings dam wall, breaching the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* and licence conditions for the period from 1990 through to 2000 without facing serious enforcement and prosecution action to prevent ongoing breaches of the legislation?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

(8) If no to (7), why not?

5965. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to the Kaltails tailings dam and to various documents which may exist on files including a facsimile message from Normandy Kaltails Pty Ltd dated 29 April 1997 to Caroline Raphael, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and a letter dated 4 April 1997, signed by Andrew Baker from the DEP addressed to Mr Jim Kendal, and I ask -

- (1) Is it correct that part of the facsimile dated 29 April 1997 states, 'W1: OK, W2: I'm unsure of the relevance of this condition. Any facility cannot be constructed without a formal submission and assessment by the appropriate authorities and would be covered by section 8 of the *Tailings Treatment (Kalgoorlie) Agreement Act 1998*. Why have it as an operating condition'?
- (2) If no to (1), will the Minister quote the full text from this facsimile dated 29 April 1997?
- (3) Is it correct that part of the facsimile dated 29 April 1997 states, 'Barry is participating in a review of the ANZECC guidelines for water quality and has agreed to consider Goldfields groundwater as a 'special case' where low ph and high salinity render it unusable for any other purpose other than mineral processing. Quite apart from the consideration of what standards should apply to such waters, we know that high salinity can interfere with analysis and reduce the reliability of any data which is generated.'?
- (4) If no to (3), will the Minister quote the full text from this facsimile dated 29 April 1997?
- (5) Is it correct that part of the letter dated 4 April 1997 states, 'Tailings dam seepage, rising water levels to the North and East of the tailings storage indicate seepage occurring. I further understand that four additional abstraction bores are not operational to recover seepage losses.'?
- (6) If no to (5), will the Minister quote the full text from this letter dated 4 April 1997?
- (7) Will the Minister table copies of the facsimile message from Normandy Kaltails Pty Ltd dated 29 April 1997 to Caroline Raphael, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and a letter dated 4 April 1997 signed by Andrew Baker from the DEP addressed to Mr Jim Kendal?
- (8) If no to (7), why not?
- (9) For how long in years has the DEP been aware that high salinity can interfere with analysis and reduce the reliability of any data which is generated in saline to hypersaline water?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

5966. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to question on notice No. 3206 of 1 December 2005, the proposal by Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd (KCGM) Fimiston stage 3 expansion, on behalf of Newmont Mining and Barrick Gold, various mullock waste dumps proposed closer to Boulder and the two northern waste dumps proposed which will bring the mining operations along with the noise, dust and vibrations closer to Williamstown, other parts of Kalgoorlie and the Ninga Mia Aboriginal community, and I ask -

- (1) Can the Minister indicate what are the specific noise levels and the amount that will be exceeded from the current assigned levels during both the day and night time as a result of bringing the two northern waste dumps closer to Williamstown, other parts of Kalgoorlie and the Ninga Mia Aboriginal community?
- (2) If no to (1), why not?
- (3) Given the answer provided for parts 3 and 4 for question on notice No. 3206 where the Minister has stated 'No, I would not expect the public to tolerate noise, dust and vibration levels above the standards as set by legislation', to reduce the overall long term impact of noise on the wider community for the entire life of the mining operations and to provide for orderly development of the Super pit operations, will the Minister reject the two northern waste dumps proposed by KCGM with respect to the noise regulation 17 application (to increase noise levels) as being environmentally unacceptable and suggest or invite the proponent to look at alternatives, including placing the mullock material for these waste dumps to the South and East of their mining operations by either diverting the surface drainage channel or using large concrete culverts, just as is done in other open pit mining operations, in order that waste material can be deposited to the South and East of the mining operations further away from residences and the community?
- (4) If no to (3), why not?
- (5) To reduce the overall long term impact of noise on the wider community for the life of the operations and to provide for orderly development of the Super Pit operations, will the Minister reject parts of the waste dumps proposed by KCGM in the areas closer to the community near the environmental noise bund in Boulder, as part of the noise regulation 17 application to increase noise levels as a means to ensure that all residents living closest to the mining operations in Boulder are protected, and afforded a reasonable level of noise during the evenings for the entire life of the mining operations, and are also protected from closer increased dust emissions?
- (6) If no to (5), why not?

5967. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to the answers for questions on notice No.s 2889 of 10 November 2005, 2563 of 30 August 2005, and 3640 of 13 June 2006, the proposal by Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd (KCGM) on behalf of Newmont Australia and Barrick Gold to restart the Kaltails tailings dam and to minutes of a meeting held 19 December 1991 at the offices of State Development titled 'Kaltails

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today

*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

Tailings Dam- Seepage of Hypersaline Water' in which Mr Harvey Johnstone from the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) attended along with others from the Mines Department, Water Authority of Western Australia (WAWA) and the Department of Conservation and Land Management(CALM), and I ask -

- (1) Does the Minister support the recommissioning of the Kaltails tailings dam given that this -
 - (a) dam with toxic seepage emissions was deficient in its siting and construction; and
 - (b) dam built over fresh water drainage lines and on the edge of a major fresh water watercourse which provides porous seepage paths to the external environment including fresh water dams downstream, a paleochannel system and a lake in total disregard for the *Mining and Mineral Processing Tailings facilities Guidelines 2000*, advocated by various Government regulatory agencies, one of which has stated in answer to question on notice No. 3640 of 13 June 2006 'These guidelines were developed to establish actions required by operators to meet their obligations under all of the Acts administered by those Departments and to also guide operators into areas of best practice that may be over and above legislative requirements'?
- (2) If no to (1), why not?
- (3) If yes to (1), why?
- (4) Can the Minister explain why is it unreasonable for any member of the public to expect the proponents of all projects to adhere firstly to works approval conditions and licence conditions set and imposed previously by the EPA and the Department of Environmental Protection given -
 - (a) it is my understanding that the Kaltails dam originally had a works approval condition imposed which required the operator/proponent to sign a compliance certificate under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* to indicate that tailings dam had been constructed and designed to meet works approvals conditions, one of which stated that 'All saline, alkaline and cyanide constituents shall be retained within impervious holding facilities' with impervious, meaning a permeability of 10⁻⁹ metres per second, seepage of three centimetres per year; and
 - (b) the Minister has stated in answer to question on notice No. 2563 of 30 August 2005 'The Department continues to recommend that mining waste containment structures are constructed to limit seepage rates under normal conditions to 10⁻⁹ metres per second. This equates to an equivalent water seepage rate of three centimetres per year. This limit can be achieved by appropriate soils management and engineering compaction. This level of seepage is considered compatible with protection of surrounding values under most circumstances'?
- (5) If no to (4), why not?

5968. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to various documentation which may exist including a 'note to file' dated 7 February 2000, author Ann Barter, concerning the Kaltails tailings dam, a facsimile message dated 14 December 1995 from Jim Tucker, Manager-Kalgoorlie Branch, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

Greg Barret of Kaltails with a subject heading of 'Licence Condition B1 Interpretation' and the proposal by Kalgoorlie Consolidated Gold Mines Pty Ltd (KCGM) on behalf of Newmont Australia and Barrick Gold to restart using the Kaltails tailings dam which is partly referred to in Bulletin Nos 1270 and 1273, where the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) states, 'Water recovery has continued on a reduced scale to recover seepage from the southern wall', and I ask -

- (1) Is it correct that the note to file dated 7 February 2000 in part states, 'The larger vegetation to the South and East of the TSF is showing noticeable stress due to the rising water table. I understand that Kaltails is pumping from groundwater recovery bores in an effort to control the rising water table. There are also a couple of very denuded areas from previous tailings spills which they are attempting to revegetate'?
- (2) If no to (1), will the Minister quote the full text from this note to file dated 7 February 2000?
- (3) Is it correct that the facsimile message dated 14 December 1995 from the DEP referred to above in part states, 'In your case, where you have ongoing seepage from the tailings dam but recover the seepage fully, we regard the recovery system as being part of the holding facilities. Hence you are not in breach of condition B1 unless or until the seepage recovery system fails to fully recover the seepage, resulting in discernible impairment. The fact that seepage did cause an increase in groundwater levels and resultant vegetation damage before the installation of a suitable recovery system means that you were in breach until the recovery system was shown to work.'?
- (4) If no to (3), will the Minister quote the full text from the facsimile message dated 14 December 1995 from the DEP?
- (5) Will the Minister table copies of the 'note to file' dated 7 February 2000 and the facsimile message dated 14 December 1995 from the DEP?
- (6) If no to (5), why not?

5969. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Parliamentary Secretary representing the Minister for the Environment

I refer to a letter which I understand is dated 30 May 2007 titled 'Annual Environmental Inspection and Bond Request-McPhersons Reward Mining Lease 15/133' from the Department of Industry and Resources (DoIR), and I ask -

- (1) Is it correct that a letter dated 30 May 2007 was sent to the holder of mining lease 15/133?
- (2) If no to (1), what is correct date and will the Minister table a copy of the correspondence?
- (3) Is it correct that part of the letter dated 30 May 2007 states, 'The purpose of the unconditional performance bonds is to ensure the state is not exposed to unacceptable cost should mine operators fail to meet the rehabilitation requirements on their tenements. If an acceptable mine closure and rehabilitation plan is developed and implemented for M15/133 the Department will reconsider the bond. An acceptable mine and rehabilitation plan should outline closure and rehabilitation plans and include approximate timeframes and cost for the completion of activities.'?
- (4) If no to (3), will the Minister quote the specific text from the letter dated 30 May 2007?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today*Notice given**Wednesday, 27 February 2008*

- (5) Can the Minister explain why is it important to insist that all holders of mining tenements specifically list and identify the 'cost for completion of activities' in a mine closure and rehabilitation plan?
- (6) If no to (5), why not?
- (7) Is it correct that DoIR has recommended that the Lessee submit a site rehabilitation and closure management plan prepared in accordance with the principles set out in the ANZMECC/MCC strategic framework for mine closure?
- (8) If no to (7), what is correct?
- (9) Are all tenement holders required to adhere to the principles set out in the ANZMECC/MCC strategic framework for mine closure in the same manner for Mining Lease 15/133?
- (10) If yes to (9), why?
- (11) If no to (9), why not?
- (12) Can the Minister explain why DoIR insist the rehabilitation of all the waste dumps must occur throughout the life of the mining operations as opposed to being completed very close to the entire closure of the mining operations?
- (13) If no to (12), why not?
- (14) Why is DoIR making the current tenement holder responsible for mining work which was carried out in 1988 by HMCAustralasia NL and Belgravia Resources NL given the tenement has changed ownership to these parties?

5970. Hon Paul Llewellyn to the Leader of the House representing the Minister for Resources

I refer to questions on notice Nos 653 of 14 May 2003, and 370 of 19 December 2001, and the Ministers media statement dated 13 November 2007 titled 'Minister tells mining companies to clean up their act', and I ask -

- (1) Will the Minister state the names of the ten 'non-compliant sites', and the rationale/reasons why the Department of Industry and Resources (DoIR) regarded them as being non compliant?
- (2) If no to (1), why not?
- (3) Will the Minister state the names of the seven non-compliant sites, and the rationale/reasons why DoIR issued verbal instructions to undertake the work?
- (4) If no to (3), why not?
- (5) Can the Minister explain why DoIR regards the construction of exploration camps without approval as being serious?
- (6) If no to (5), why not?
- (7) Can the Minister explain why DoIR regards uncapped drill holes as being serious?
- (8) If no to (7), why not?

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Questions Asked Today

Notice given

Wednesday, 27 February 2008

- (9) Can the Minister explain what he regards as being excessive clearing for drill pads and access tracks referred to in the media statement dated 13 November 2007?
- (10) If no to (9), why not?
- (11) Will DoIR be applying the same rigour of inspection, enforcement including fines to all mining operations for non-compliance matters in the State?
- (12) If no to (11), why not?
- (13) If yes to (10), when will this be taking place?
- (14) Can the Minister explain how he intends to do everything within his power to ensure that 'it does not continue' at all mining operations throughout the State?
- (15) If no to (14), why not?
- (16) Can the Minister state the rationale as to how a fine of \$20 000 was determined and imposed upon Hancock Prospecting?
- (17) If no to (16), why not?
- (18) Can the Minister state the rationale as to how a fine of \$71 500 was determined and imposed on Golden West Resources for a 'number of breaches'?
- (19) If no to (18), why not?

MALCOLM PEACOCK

Clerk of the Legislative Council